



Annual Audit Compliance Report Form

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A – Licence Details

Licence number:	L9259/2020/1	Licence file number:	DER2020/000278
Licence holder:	Golden Spur Resources Pty Ltd		
Trading as:	Golden Spur Resources Pty Ltd		
ACN:	161 329 933		
Registered address:	Ground Floor, 24 Outram St, West Perth, WA, 6005		
Reporting period:	03/ 11 /2023 to 02/ 11 /2024		

Section B – Statement of Compliance with Licence Conditions

Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period?
(please tick the appropriate box)

☐ Yes – please complete:

- section C;
- section D if required; and
- sign the declaration in Section F.

☒ No – please complete:

- section C;
- section D if required;
- section E; and
- sign the declaration at Section F.

Section C – Statement of Actual Production

Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Production Quantity
Category 6	436,433 tonnes
Category 52	24.6 MW
Category 54	100.7 m ³ daily average
Category 64	426.8 tonnes
Category 70	37,487 tonnes

Section D – Statement of Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity

Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.			
Condition no:	2	Date(s) of non-compliance:	25/01/2024, 7/2/2024, 12/2/2024, 20/3/2024, 26/3/2024, 12/5/2024, 20, 21, 24 & 25/6/2024
Details of non-compliance:			
Treated effluent discharged to irrigation spray field exceeded 150m ³ daily limit on 11 occasions See AER Section 9.1, Table 9-1 for details.			
What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?			
NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the non-compliance took place.			
Negligible environmental impact as vegetation health in the spray field has remained in good condition, showing no sign of decline. The annual average daily effluent discharge to the spray field was 107m ³ , which is well below the Licence limit.			
Map provided in APPENDIX A.			
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:			
High volume of wastewater influent due to the Bellevue village being at capacity, this fills the WWTP tanks to a higher level. Once the irrigation tanks reach a certain trigger level the sprays automatically turn on to avoid overflow.			
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:			
Spray locations are changed weekly to distribute discharge throughout the irrigation area and giving areas periods of rest. Bellevue proposes to install a filtration system to the WWTP to treat the water to medium risk exposure levels which will enable the use of recycled water through the processing plant.			
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?			
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and			
<input type="checkbox"/> Reported to DWER verbally		Date: / /	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reported to DWER in writing		Date: / /	

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.			
Condition no:	3	Date(s) of non-compliance:	Ongoing throughout reporting period
Details of non-compliance:			
Waste not covered with sufficient quantities of inert waste within a fortnight period.			
What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?			
NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the non-compliance took place.			
Negligible impact to the environment as the landfill is checked daily and any windblown waste is collected.			
Map provided in APPENDIX B.			
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:			
Lack of available resources and appropriate equipment that can complete the covering. The current landfill at the Prospero waste dump has limited room for equipment movement above the trench which requires an excavator to cover the waste. Bellevue does not own excavators and requires this work to be contracted out.			
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:			
Contractor with excavator is engaged to cover trenches with sufficient quantities of inert waste at the closure of a trench when a new trench is opened for use. A new landfill location is in discussion that will have sufficient space to enable equipment such as IT or loader to cover the waste fortnightly.			
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?			
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and			
<input type="checkbox"/> Reported to DWER verbally		Date: / /	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reported to DWER in writing		Date: / /	

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.			
Condition no:	10	Date(s) of non-compliance:	Ongoing throughout reporting period
Details of non-compliance:			
<p>WWTP Spray field discharge exceeded parameter limits, as per the below and displayed in AER, Section 9.2, Table 9.2.</p> <p>52 samples were analysed by an NATA accredited laboratory throughout the reporting period.</p> <p>48x Total Nitrogen limit exceeded 8x Residual Chlorine limit exceeded 4x Total Suspended Solids limit exceeded 2x Phosphorous limit exceeded</p>			
What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?			
<p>NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the non-compliance took place.</p> <p>Negligible environmental impact visible as the vegetation health in the irrigation spray field has remained in good condition, showing no signs of decline.</p> <p>Map provided in APPENDIX A.</p>			
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:			
Cause not known, suspected causes are insufficient aeration or poor sludge settling. Other causes that were previously suspected have been ruled out through trial and error.			
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:			
<p>Spray locations are changed weekly to distribute discharge throughout the irrigation area and giving areas periods of rest.</p> <p>Sludge removal frequency has been increased, belly tanks emptying into the WWTP ceased. Bellevue plans to install a filtration system to the WWTP to treat the water to medium risk exposure levels which will enable the use of recycled water through the processing plant.</p>			
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?			
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and			
<input type="checkbox"/> Reported to DWER verbally		Date: / /	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reported to DWER in writing		Date: / /	

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.			
Condition no:	17	Date(s) of non-compliance:	Ongoing throughout reporting period
Details of non-compliance:			
<p>Standing Water Level (SWL) at WPBEMB01 exceeded the 5mbgl SWL limit in each monthly period since construction of the monitoring bore.</p> <p>See AER Section 8, Table 8.1 for data.</p>			
What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?			
<p>NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the non-compliance took place.</p>			
<p>Negligible as bore construction records show WPBEMB01 SWL was already sitting above 5mbgl.</p> <p>Map provided in APPENDIX C.</p>			
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:			
<p>SWL limit specified in Licence did not consider the natural water table would already be sitting above 5mbgl. Location of the monitoring bore within the landscape is adjacent to Lake Miranda, therefore is it reasonable to consider that the natural water table level would be relatively shallow given the bores location.</p>			
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:			
<p>SWL above 5mbgl is the natural groundwater level in the area DWER have removed the 5mbgl SWL limit on the amended Licence (December 2024), this will not be listed as a non-compliance in future AACRs.</p>			
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?			
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, and			
<input type="checkbox"/> Reported to DWER verbally		Date: / /	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reported to DWER in writing		Date: / /	

Section F – Declaration

I/We declare that the information in this Annual Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and is not false or misleading in a material particular¹. I/We consent to the Annual Audit Compliance Report being published on the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation's (DWER) website.

Signature ² :		Signature:	
Name: (printed)		Name: (printed)	
Position:		Position:	
Date:		30/01/2025	Date:
Seal (if signing under seal):			

¹ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

² AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder.