

Government of Western Australia Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

Annual Audit Compliance Report Form

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Once completed, please submit this form either via email to info-der@dwer.wa.gov.au, or to the below postal address:

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation Locked Bag 33 Cloisters Square PERTH WA 6850

Section A – Licence Details					
Licence number:	L8621/2011/1	Licence file number:	2011/009784		
Licence holder:	Roy Hill Iron Ore	Roy Hill Iron Ore Pty Ltd			
Trading as:	Roy Hill Iron Ore	Roy Hill Iron Ore Pty Ltd			
ACN:	123 722 038	123 722 038			
Registered address:	5 Whitham Road PERTH AIRPORT WA 6105				
Reporting period:	01/01/2018 to	o 31/12/2018			

Section B – Statement of Compliance with Licence Conditions

Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period? (please tick the appropriate box)

- ☐ Yes please complete:
 - section C;
 - section D if required; and
 - sign the declaration in Section F.

⋈ No – please complete:

- section C;
- section D if required;
- section E; and
- sign the declaration at Section F.

Section C – Statement of Actual Production

Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Production Quantity		
5	66,832,408 tonnes / annual period processed 50,053,641 tonnes / annual period produced 0 tonnes for Magnetic Separation Plant (still under construction)		
12	381,045.37 tonnes / annual period		
52	o MW		
54	272.6 m ³ maximum daily average during annual period		

Section C – Statement of Actual Production				
57	AV WWTP 253m³/day MSA WWTP 7.6m³/day PSA WWTP 12 m³/day			
64	2,476.4 tonnes/ annual period			
73	5,102 m ³ maximum capacity			

Section D – Statement of Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.				
19,626,560 m ³ / annual period - tailings				
6 399,888 tonnes/ annual period				

Section E - Det	ails of Non-Compliance w	ith Licence Condi	tions as a common sequence appletors	
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	1.2.16 (AN6)	Date(s) of non- compliance:	05/10/2018 to 31/12/2018	
Details of non-con	ipliance.			
Reinjection bores co	ommenced operating before con	npliance documents we	re submitted to the CEO.	
Maria da Mar	ual (or suspected) environmen ch maps or diagrams to provide ce.		Allegations and the state of th	
	ronmental impacts from this nor	n-compliance.		
Cause (or suspect	ed cause) of non-compliance			
as part of a MAR tria	HIB0128, RHIB0129, RHIB0130 and all other bores were installed and not submitting the required co	nd commissioned prior	to licence being issued and an	
Action taken to min	tigate any adverse effects of r	non-compliance and p	revent recurrence of the	
Compliance require	ments communicated to approp	riate staff		
Was this non-com	pliance previously reported to	DWER?		
☐ Yes, and				
☐ Reported to	DWER verbally	Date: / /		
☐ Reported to	DWER in writing	Date: / /		

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance w	th Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no: 2.4.1	Date(s) of non- compliance: 07/02/2018 to 01/04/2018			
Details of non-compliance:				
Evaporator usage non-compliant with windspeed and	d direction requirement during operation.			
What was the actual (or suspected) environment				
NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide i compliance took place.	nsight into the precise location of where the non-			
There were no environmental impacts from this non-	-compliance			
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:				
Evaporator usage was not deemed adequate with restrictive conditions. The condition was removed eight months after RHIO requested the licence to be amended.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
An application to amend the licence was submitted in October 2017 requesting to remove condition on wind speed and wind direction based on findings from independent report. AN5 of the licence was issued in May 2018 removing this condition.				
Was this non-compliance previously reported to	DWER?			
☐ Yes, and				
Reported to DWER verbally	Date: / /			
Reported to DWER in writing	Date: / /			

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition				
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	3.2.1	Date(s) of n compliance:		18/04/2018 and 12/07/2018
Details of non-comp	oliance:			
Volumetric Flow rates were not measured for discharge events to Southern recharge basin in April and July and Northern recharge basin in April. Electrical conductivity and Total Dissolved Solids were not measured for discharge to the Northern recharge basin in January 2018.				
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmen	tal impact of	the non-co	mpliance?
NOTE – please attack compliance took place	n maps or diagrams to provide i e.	nsight into the	precise loc	ation of where the non-
There were no enviro	nmental impacts from this non	-compliance.		
Cause (or suspecte	d cause) of non-compliance:			
Manual flow meters were installed on the recharge basins to record volumes but not flow rates. Flow meter on discharge pipelines not linked to sensors for continuous monitoring.				
Monitoring of electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids was inadvertently missed in January.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
RHIO will propose to amend condition 3.2 1 to reflect usage of recharge basins such that the total volume discharged is recorded. This will provide more meaningful data to DWER. Monitoring of electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids was rectified and conducted for the rest of the reporting period for each discharge event.				
Was this non-comp	liance previously reported to	DWER?		e di
☐ Yes, and	,		***************************************	9.00
Reported to [DWER verbally	Date: /	1	
Reported to [OWER in writing	Date: /	1	

Section E - Deta	Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	3.6.1	Date(s) of non- compliance:	05/10/2018 - 31/12/2018	
Details of non-com	pliance:			
Monthly monitoring (SWL, pH, EC and Oxidation-reduction potential) of Groundwater monitoring bores regional and Groundwater monitoring bores adjacent to reinjection bores was not completed in October and November 2018. Quarterly monitoring of some Groundwater monitoring bores was not completed (RHPZ0075, RHPZ0088, RHPZ0262, RHPZ0277 and RPHZ278).				
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmer	ntal impact of the non-c	compliance?	
NOTE – please attac compliance took plac	h maps or diagrams to provide se.	insight into the precise lo	cation of where the non-	
There were no envir	onmental impacts from this no	n-compliance.		
Cause (or suspecte	ed cause) of non-compliance			
Monthly monitoring of MAR bores did not commence until December 2018 as they were not incorporated into the monitoring schedule until the compliance documentation was submitted. Some monitoring bores were inadvertently missed during sampling activities undertaken in December 2018.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
All monitoring requirements have now been added to the monitoring schedule.				
Was this non-comp	oliance previously reported to	DWER?		
Yes, and				
☐ Reported to	DWER verbally	Date: / /		
Reported to	DWER in writing	Date: / /		

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition					
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.					
Condition no:	on no: Date(s) of non- compliance: 01/01/2018 to 31/12/2018				
Details of non-com	pliance:				
The AACR was not su	bmitted to the CEO within 90 ca	alendar days after the er	nd of the anniversary date.		
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmen	tal impact of the non-c	compliance?		
	h maps or diagrams to provide i				
There were no enviro	onmental impacts from this non	-compliance.			
Cause (or suspecte	ed cause) of non-compliance:				
Roy Hill Environment team lost key resources over the last two months and as a result was not able to					
	e required timeframe.				
	dment notices (AN) have resulte	ed in added complexities	s to provide information for		
	nich each AN was in effect.	ropouting of additional	data that was a st was day.		
The most recent AN incorporating MAR requires the reporting of additional data that was not previously required. Roy Hill required extra time to ensure correct data is provided in the correct format.					
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:					
Recruit key environmental personnel as soon as possible.					
Request DWER to issue a new version of the licence incorporating all amendments.					
Establish internal processes to ensure data required to be reported in AER is readily available soon after the anniversary date.					
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?					
☐ Yes, and					
Reported to DWER verbally Date: / /					
Reported to DWER in writing Date: / /					

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance w	ith Licence Condition				
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.					
Condition no: 4.2.1	Date(s) of non- compliance: 01/01/2018 to 31/12/2018				
Details of non-compliance:					
The AER was not submitted to the CEO within 90 cal	endar days after the end of the anniversary date.				
What was the actual (or suspected) environmen	tal impact of the non-compliance?				
NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide i compliance took place.	nsight into the precise location of where the non-				
There were no environmental impacts from this non	-compliance.				
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:					
· ·	Roy Hill Environment team lost key resources over the last two months and as a result was not able to				
finalise the AER by the required timeframe. The numerous amendment notices (AN) have resulte	ed in added complexities to provide information for				
the time period to which each AN was in effect.	to maded complexities to provide information to				
•	reporting of additional data that was not previously				
required. Roy Hill required extra time to ensure correct data is provided in the correct format.					
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:					
Recruit key environmental personnel as soon as possible.					
Request DWER to issue a new version of the licence incorporating all amendments.					
Establish internal processes to ensure data required to be reported in AER is readily available soon after the anniversary date.					
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?					
Yes, and					
Reported to DWER verbally	Date: / /				
Reported to DWER in writing	Date: / /				

Section E – Deta	Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition			
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	4.3.1	Date(s) of non- compliance:	05/10/2018 to 31/12/2018	
Details of non-comp	oliance:			
Some bore logs were	not submitted within 30 days	of installation.		
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmer	ital impact of the non-	compliance?	
NOTE – please attact compliance took place	n maps or diagrams to provide e.	insight into the precise lo	ocation of where the non-	
There were no enviro	onmental impacts from this nor	n-compliance.		
Cause (or suspecte	d cause) of non-compliance			
Installation dates included in bore logs were based on drilling dates however it can be a few days or weeks after drilling has been completed that headworks are installed. It is only at this stage that the bore logs can be finalized. Once this was identified, Roy Hill amended the bore logs to indicate the date the headworks were completed. Following the update of the bore logs, it was identified that there were still some bore logs that were inadvertently submitted 30 days after the headworks were installed.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
Installation dates in bore logs is now the date headworks were installed. Additionally, licence requirements have been reiterated to relevant personnel to ensure bore logs are submitted within the required timeframe.				
Was this non-compl	liance previously reported to	DWER?		
☐ Yes, and				
Reported to [OWER verbally	Date: / /		
Reported to [OWER in writing	Date: / /		

Section F - Declaration

	the information in this Annu eading in a material particul		Report is true and correct and
	the Annual Audit Compliar nmental Regulation's (DWEF		ublished on the Department of
Signature²:		Signature:	
Name: (printed)		Name: (printed)	
Position:		Position:	
Date:	9.4.2019	Date:	
Seal (if signing under seal):	·		

¹ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

² AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder