## **Annual Audit Compliance Report Form**

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A – Licence Details				
Licence number:	L8237/2008/2	Licence file number:	DEC7562	
Licence holder:	Water Corporation			
Trading as:	Corrigin Wastewater Treatment Plant			
ABN:	28 003 434 917			
Registered address:	629 Newcastle Street, LEEDERVILLE, WA 6007			
Reporting period:	01/07/2020 to 30/06/2021			

## Section B - Statement of Compliance with Licence Conditions

Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period? (please tick the appropriate box)

☐Yes - please complete:

- · section C;
- · section D if required; and
- sign the declaration in Section F.

#### ⊠No – please complete:

- · section C;
- · section D if required;
- section E; and
- sign the declaration at Section F.

#### Section C - Statement of Actual Production

Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Production Quantity	
54	Inflow 110m³/day	

### Section D - Statement of Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity

Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	<b>Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity</b>	
Not applicable		

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition				
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	2.2	Date(s) of non- compliance:	Nov 2020- 27 April 2021	
Details of non-com	pliance:			
In accordance with licence condition 2.2 any treated wastewater that is discharged from the Corrigin Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) to the Shire of Corrigin's storage pond for irrigation is to be measured on a continuous basis and averaged on a monthly basis to give m³/day. The outflow (to reuse) measuring device (magflow) at the Corrigin WWTP became non-operational in November 2020. A new magflow was installed and was functioning as required after 27 April 2021.  Inflow continued to be measured during the 6 months that outflow data was unobtainable or incomplete. Inflow volumes were consistent with the previous reporting period's recorded volumes. Outflow to reuse has been estimated using appropriate percentages of inflow consistent with the previous year's volumes. The estimated outflows are deemed an appropriate reflection of output from the Corrigin WWTP. There has be no known significant rainfall events within that 6 month period, increases in population or unexpected increases in community and business activity to suggest that the estimated outflow volumes are inconsistent or not reflective of discharge from the Corrigin WWTP.  What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?				
	h maps or diagrams to provide i			
compliance took plac	e,			
Given that the estimated outflows are deemed an appropriate reflection of the discharge from the Corrigin WWTP there is no suspected increase of environmental impact as a result of the non-compliance. All other conditions of the licence have been adhered to.				
Cause (or suspecte	ed cause) of non-compliance			
An internal investigation has revealed several contributing factors that have now been rectified through a re-examination of processes focusing on how the Goldfields and Agricultural Region manage "assets in abnormal", including a review into how the region escalates matters such as these for rectification. Communications to the region in relation to this non-compliance stated the importance of compliance with regulatory instruments and the potential consequences for failing to do so. It should be noted that once the magflow device was escalated internally as non-operational and a replacement ordered, there were significant international shipping delays influenced by the covid-19 pandemic which hindered the receipt and final installation of the new measuring device.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
A new magflow was installed and functioning at the earliest date, 27 April 2021. There are internal commitments in place to ensure that flow data is reviewed for anomalies at various levels of administration on a more consistent basis. There are also opportunities for improvement currently being investigated to assist with broader visibility of matter such as these in the data and systems space. The regional process for internal escalation of issues relating to "assets in abnormal" has been reviewed and knowledge shared around the region.				
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DER? No				
☐ Yes, and				
☐ Reported to	DER verbally	Date: / /		
☐ Reported to	DER in writing	Date: / /		

# Department of Environment Regulation

# Section F - Declaration

is not false or mis	sleading in a material particul	al Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and lar <sup>1</sup> . I/We consent to the Annual Audit Compliance Environment Regulation's (DER) website.
Signature <sup>2</sup> :		Signature:
Name: (printed)		Name: (printed)
Position:		Position:
Date:		Date:
Seal (if signing under seal):		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder.