

Annual Audit Compliance Report Form

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A – Licence Details			
Licence number:	L6821/1967/12	Licence file number:	DEC6389-02
Company name:	Holcim (Australia) Pty Ltd		
Trading as:	Holcim (Australia) Pty Ltd		
ACN:	87 099 732 297		
Registered address:	799 Pacific Highway, Chatswood, NSW 2067		
Reporting period:	01 / 08 / 2023 to 31 / 07 / 2024		

Section B – Statement of Compliance with Licence Conditions

Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period? (please tick the appropriate box)

 \boxtimes Yes – please sign the declaration in Section C

 \Box No – please sign the declaration in Section C and proceed to Section D

Section C – Statement of Actual Production

Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Production Quantity
Category 12, 13, 62	919,000 tonnes

Section D – Statement of Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity		
Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.		
Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity	
N/A	N/A	



Section E – Declaration

I/We declare that the information in this Annual Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and is not false or misleading in a material particular¹. I/We consent to the Annual Audit Compliance Report being published on the Department of Environment Regulation's (DER) website.

Signature:	Signature:	
Name: (printed)	Name: (printed)	
Position:	Position:	
Seal (if signing under seal):	•	

AACRs can only be signed by the licensee or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licensee.

¹ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular. Annual Audit Compliance Report form (July 2016)

	rate page for each orting period.	i condition with v	which the licensee was non-compliant at a
Condition no:	4	Date(s) of non-complia nce:	08/09/2023, 07/10/2023, 23/02/24, 09/05/2024, 18/05/2024, 19/05/24, 20/05/24, 23/05/24
Details of non-comp	pliance:		
Non-compliance with Eight exceedances of period.			n the above dates. of <50 μg/m3 were reported for this annual
What was the actua	al (or suspected) e	environmental im	pact of the non-compliance?
NOTE – please attack non-compliance took		s to provide insigh	t into the precise location of where the
approximately 900 m monitor has been est therefore the NEPM s	neters south-west of tablished as a peak standard for PM10 ons in the area. Exce cations.	f the crushing and monitoring station is used as a target redances of the cr	screening plant. However, the Gosnells' of for use in the dust control strategy and criterion rather than a compliance standard to teria do not necessarily imply exceedances at
Cause (or suspecte			
			re and strong winds or can be associated with ates from Holcim's operations.
Action taken to mition non-compliance:	gate any adverse	effects of non-co	ompliance and prevent recurrence of the
non-compliance: All fugitive emissions Management Plan, sp premises boundary w levels through text m are 10-minute averag	were managed in a pecifically Section 8 which measures PM pessage alerts sent v ge in exceedance of e to alert site staff t	accordance with tl – Dust Managem 10 concentrations when trigger level 300 μg/m3 and 3	ompliance and prevent recurrence of the ne Gosnell's Quarry Environmental ent Plan. This includes a monitor located at the . Site personnel are notified of increasing dust s set for dust monitoring are reached. These hours rolling average in exceedance 100 s are increasing, and that management action/s
non-compliance: All fugitive emissions Management Plan, sp premises boundary w levels through text m are 10-minute averag µg/m3. The levels are should be considered When the alerts have	were managed in a pecifically Section 8 which measures PM pessage alerts sent v ge in exceedance of e to alert site staff t d/implemented.	accordance with th – Dust Managem 10 concentrations when trigger level 300 μg/m3 and 3 hat the dust levels	ne Gosnell's Quarry Environmental ent Plan. This includes a monitor located at the . Site personnel are notified of increasing dust s set for dust monitoring are reached. These hours rolling average in exceedance 100 s are increasing, and that management action/s
non-compliance: All fugitive emissions Management Plan, sp premises boundary w levels through text m are 10-minute averag µg/m3. The levels are should be considered When the alerts have	were managed in a pecifically Section 8 which measures PM bessage alerts sent v ge in exceedance of e to alert site staff t d/implemented. e been received dur hagement of plume	accordance with the - Dust Managem 10 concentrations when trigger level 300 μg/m3 and 3 hat the dust levels ring quarry workin generating activit	ne Gosnell's Quarry Environmental ent Plan. This includes a monitor located at the . Site personnel are notified of increasing dust s set for dust monitoring are reached. These hours rolling average in exceedance 100 s are increasing, and that management action/s g hours appropriate action is undertaken within

□ Yes	
□ Reported to DER verbally	Date: / /
□ Reported to DER in writing	Date: / /

Section F – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition

Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licensee was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.

			15/01/2024,08/09/2023, 07/10/2023,
Condition no:	14	non-complia	23/02/24, 09/05/2024, 18/05/2024,
		nce:	19/05/24, 20/05/24, 23/05/24, 25/06/2024

Details of non-compliance:

Non-compliance with pollution control and exceedances occurred on the above dates. These include eight incidents relating to dust level exceedances of the 24-hour average PM10 guideline. One related to a hydrocarbon spill where hydraulic oil was found to have escaped the bund used for storage of self bunded oil containers. The oil that flowed over bund was contained in the general hardstand area and did not access waterways or vegetated areas. The area in question was cleaned and actions were taken to address the issue with the self bunded oil storage.

One environmental monitoring result as per the Extractive Industry Licence (EIL) location, Pages Way, showed air overpressure (117.3 dBL), but it is compliant with current operating licence conditions and State Government Noise Regulations. This incident is still being investigated and has an 'open' status.

What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?

NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the non-compliance took place.

The closest sensitive receptor is a residential area approximately 900 meters south-west of the crushing and screening plant. The closest environmental receptor is Canning River floodplain wetlands 0.5 km west of the quarry boundary. In addition, the premises are within the western boundary of the Northern Jarrah Forest subregion.

Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:

Dust level exceedances were related to aeolian particulates associated with strong winds, strong winds causing erosion and carrying aeolian particulates from Holcim's operations, and nearby bushfires. The oil had spilled the containment bund and onto the surrounding ground. The air overpressure cause is still being investigated and has an 'open' status.

Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:

The oil spill resulted in the installation of a valve on the self bunded oil storage to prevent re-occurrence. All fugitive emissions were managed in accordance with the Gosnell's Quarry Environmental Management Plan, specifically Section 8 – Dust Management Plan. Reactive measures are undertaken within 45 minutes (only during quarry working hours) with management of plume generating activities and fugitive dust sources in (1) open pit (crushing, screening, haulage); and (2) stockpile area. These included: (1) Operations in the source area identified in reactive alarms will be scaled down or rescheduled; and (2) Water cart to wet load out face, manual sprinkler on stockpile to dampen through sprays.

Was this non-compliance previously reported to DER?		
□ Yes		
□ Reported to DER verbally	Date: / /	
□ Reported to DER in writing	Date: / /	