## **Annual Audit Compliance Report form**

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A – Licence Details				
Licence Number:	L4762/1972/14	Licence File Number: DER2013/001057-2		
Licence Holder:	Pilbara Iron Company (Services) Pty Ltd			
Trading as:	Pilbara Iron Company (Services) Pty Ltd			
ACN:	107 210 248			
Registered address:	Level 18, Central Park. 152-158 St. Georges Terrace, PERTH WA 6000			
Reporting period:	1 January 2024 to 31 December 2024			

Section B – Statement of compliance with licence conditions			
Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period?			
Yes	Please complete Sections C, D (if required) and sign the declaration in Section E		
No 🖂	Please complete Sections C, D (if required), F and sign the declaration in Section E		

Section C – Statement of actual production			
Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period.*			
Category	Premises description	Actual production quantity (2024)	
5	Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non-metallic ore	26, 858, 592 tonnes	
12	Screening, etc. of material	0 tonnes	
54	Sewage facility	133 m <sup>3</sup> per day	
64	Class II putrescible landfill site	3,463 tonnes	
73	Bulk storage of chemicals, etc.	1851.5 m <sup>3</sup>	

<sup>\*</sup>Supporting information provided in the relevant conditions of the 2024 Annual Environment Report

Section I	Section D – Statement of actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity			
Provide the	Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period.*			
Category	Premises description	Actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity (2024)		
5	Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non-metallic ore (Tailings)	2,032,141 tonnes		
6	Mine dewatering	851,155 tonnes - Western Turner Syncline Section 10 Deposit. 458,320 tonnes - Western Turner Syncline 2-B1 and section 17 deposits. 1,842,778 tonnes - South East Prongs WFSF.		

<sup>\*</sup>Supporting information provided in the relevant conditions of the 2024 Annual Environment Report

## Section E — Declaration I declare that the information in this Annual Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and is not false or misleading in a material particular¹. I consent to the Annual Audit Compliance Report being published on the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation's (DWER) website. Signature²: Name: (printed) Position: Date: 28 April 2025

2024 AACR L4762 Page | 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder.

Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.  Condition number:   15	Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition				
Date(s) of non-compliance:   February to March and December 2024					
1. SEP WFSF standing water level was not captured in February and March 2024 for MB18SEP0001, MB21SEP001, MB21SEP003 and MB21SEP004.  2. SEP WFSF standing water level was not captured in December 2024 for GR17SEP0001, GR17SEP0002, GR17SEP0003, HM18SEP0001 and HM18SEP0002.  Refer to locations outlined in Figure 17 (SEP WFSF) of L4762/1972/14.  What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?  1. There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with missed monitoring. Variation between results immediately prior to and following the missed period was within 1%.  2. There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with missed monitoring. Variation between results across the 2024 reporting period at each bore were within 1%.  Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:  1. Nonadherence to monitoring schedule. 2. Electronic water logger equipment failure.  Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:  There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation.  The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations.  2. Repair telemetry.  Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?  □ Yes:  □ Reported to DWER verbally  Date:		Condition number: 15 Date(s) of non-compliance: February to March and		and December	
MB18SEP002, MB21SEP001, MB21SEP003 and MB21SEP004.  2. SEP WFSF standing water level was not captured in December 2024 for GR17SEP0001, GR17SEP0002, GR17SEP0003, HM18SEP0001 and HM18SEP0002.  Refer to locations outlined in Figure 17 (SEP WFSF) of L4762/1972/14.  What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance?  1. There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with missed monitoring. Variation between results immediately prior to and following the missed period was within 1%.  2. There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with missed monitoring. Variation between results across the 2024 reporting period at each bore were within 1%.  Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:  1. Nonadherence to monitoring schedule. 2. Electronic water logger equipment failure.  Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:  There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation.  The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations. 2. Repair telemetry.  Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?  Yes:  Reported to DWER verbally  Pate:  Reported to DWER verbally  Pate:  No	Details of non-complia	nce:			
1. There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with missed monitoring. Variation between results immediately prior to and following the missed period was within 1%.  2. There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with missed monitoring. Variation between results across the 2024 reporting period at each bore were within 1%.  Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:  1. Nonadherence to monitoring schedule. 2. Electronic water logger equipment failure.  Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:  There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation.  The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations. 2. Repair telemetry.  Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?  Yes:  Reported to DWER verbally  Date:	<ul> <li>MB18SEP002, MB21SEP001, MB21SEP003 and MB21SEP004.</li> <li>SEP WFSF standing water level was not captured in December 2024 for GR17SEP0001, GR17SEP0002, GR17SEP0003, HM18SEP0001 and HM18SEP0002.</li> </ul>				
between results immediately prior to and following the missed period was within 1%.  There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with missed monitoring. Variation between results across the 2024 reporting period at each bore were within 1%.  Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:  1. Nonadherence to monitoring schedule. 2. Electronic water logger equipment failure.  Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:  There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation.  The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations. 2. Repair telemetry.  Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?  Yes:  Reported to DWER verbally  Date:	What was the actual (o	r suspected) er	nvironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?	
1. Nonadherence to monitoring schedule. 2. Electronic water logger equipment failure.  Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:  There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation.  The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations. 2. Repair telemetry.  Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?  Yes:  Reported to DWER verbally  Date:	between results immediately prior to and following the missed period was within 1%.  2. There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with missed monitoring. Variation				
2. Electronic water logger equipment failure.  Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:  There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation.  The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations. 2. Repair telemetry.  Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?  Yes:  Reported to DWER verbally  Date:	Cause (or suspected c	ause) of non-co	ompliance:		
There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation.  The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations. 2. Repair telemetry.  Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?  Yes:  Reported to DWER verbally  Date:					
The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations. 2. Repair telemetry.  Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?  Yes:  Reported to DWER verbally  Date:					
☐ Yes: ☐ Reported to DWER verbally Date: ☐ No	The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations.				
☐ Reported to DWER verbally Date:  ☐ No	Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?				
	☐ Reported to D	•			⊠ No

2024 AACR L4762 Page | 2

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition				
Please use a separate the reporting period.	page for each co	ndition with which the licence holde	er was non-compliant	t at a time during
Condition number:	15	Date(s) of non-compliance:	Quarter 1 2024	
Details of non-complia	ance:			
SEP WFSF Ground water quality monitoring results were not captured in Quarter 1 2024 for MB10SEP01, MB12SEP04 and PZ07SEP03.				
What was the actual (	or suspected) ei	nvironmental impact of the non-c	compliance?	
There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with missed monitoring. Quarterly monitoring results were captured in Quarters 2, 3, and 4 as required and compared against relevant guidelines.				
Cause (or suspected of	ause) of non-co	ompliance:		
Non adherence to monitoring schedule.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation.  The following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:  1. Re-instate monitoring schedule expectations.				
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?				
	WER verbally	Date:		⊠ No
☐ Reported to L	WER in writing	Date:		

2024 AACR L4762 Page | 3