Annual Audit Compliance Report form

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A – Licence Details				
Licence Number:	L4459/1987/13	Licence File Number:	DER2013/000649-1	
Licence Holder:	Argyle Diamonds Limited			
Trading as:	Argyle Diamonds			
ACN:	009 102 621			
Registered address:	Level 8, 1 William Street, PERTH WA 6000			
Reporting period:	1 January 2020 to 31 Dec	ember 2020		

Section B – Statement of compliance with licence conditions			
Did y	ou cor	nply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period?	
Yes		Please complete Sections C, D (if required) and sign the declaration in Section E	
No		Please complete Sections C, D (if required), F and sign the declaration in Section E	

Section C – Statement of actual production						
Provide the	Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period.*					
Category	Premises description Actual production quantity (2020)					
5	Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non-metallic ore	5,773,313 tonnes				
39	Chemical or oil recycling (offsite)	72 tonnes				
52	Electric power generation	39,397 MWh				
54	Sewage facility	5.11 cubic meters per day				
57	Used tyre storage (general)	129 tyres				
63	Class I inert landfill site	1.5 tonnes				
64	Class II putrescible landfill site	1,407 tonnes				
73	Bulk storage of chemical	541,425 Litres				

^{*}Supporting information provided in the relevant conditions of the 2020 Annual Environment Report

Section D – Statement of actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity				
Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period.*				
Category	ry Premises description Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity (2020)			
6	Mine dewatering	2,283,045 T		

^{*}Supporting information provided in the relevant conditions of the 2020 Annual Environment Report

Section E – Declaration				
I declare that the information in this Annual Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and is not false or misleading in a material particular ¹ . I consent to the Annual Audit Compliance Report being published on the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation's (DWER) website.				
Signature ² :				
Name: (printed)				
Position:	Manager – Health, Safety and Environment – Argyle Diamond Mine			
Date:	29/03/2021			

¹ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

² AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.					
Condition nur	aber: 20	Date(s) of non-compliance:	7/10/2020		
Details of non	compliance:				
	ailure at the heat exchan the surrounding environr	ge unit of the secondary crushing fa nent.	acility resulting in discl	harge of	
What was the	actual (or suspected) e	environmental impact of the non-	compliance?		
release within I	ocalized area in the Desi	ronmental impact associated with thignated Area mine disturbance foot I contained. Water was sampled fro	print. Minimal impacts	to local area	
Cause (or sus	pected cause) of non-c	ompliance:			
	wore due to vibration an draulic oil to the surroun	d pressure over time. This resulted ding environment.	in a failure of the hydr	raulic hose and a	
Action taken t		effects of non-compliance and p	revent recurrence of	the	
Discharge was	contained with absorber	nt materials and temporary bunds.			
Neat product w	as skimmed for disposal	at the onsite oily waste facility.			
Water samples were collected at two locations in the drain downstream of the source and returned results for TRH <15mg/L, the drain was mostly dry and water (from the heat exchanger cooling system) travelled a limited distance. Oil absorbent booms were arranged to capture any oil residue in the drain.					
		and ceased, with some impacted so tructure (power line) in the area.	oil left in-situ due to ur	nsafe access and	
Soil samples from below the impacted area were collected and sent for analysis. Results were elevated in comparison with NEPM Public Open Space and Commercial Industrial land use guidelines for TPH fractions F3 and F4.					
Two groundwater bores (GW11/20 and GW16/20) are present down gradient of the spill location and are included in the closure contaminated sites sampling programme; the water quality from these bores will be monitored to determine potential impacts.					
Operation of the mine is ceased in November 2020. The closure demolition and earthworks programme will remove contaminated soils once access and safety considerations improve.					
On 23 November 2020, ADM received notification from DWER that the department had reviewed the information provided in the S72 report including incident description, environmental impact and remedial actions and that the information provided has been assessed and recorded for intelligence purposes and may be used in future compliance activities.					
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?					
⊠ Yes:	rted to DWER verbally	Date: 08/10/2020		☐ No	
⊠ Repo	rted to DWER in writing	Date: 28/10/2020			

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.					
Condition number:	41	Date(s) of non-compliance:	March 2020 & Sept	ember 2020	
Details of non-complia	nce:				
Water sample from AK1	TSF underdrain	s 1, 2, 3 and 4 (UD Tank) not co	ollected in March or Sept	ember.	
What was the actual (o	r suspected) er	vironmental impact of the no	n-compliance?		
There was no evidence of adverse environmental impact associated with the missed sample. Discharge from the TSF underdrains is collected within a tank and distributed via pipeline to reclaim pond 2B; the water is not directly discharged to the environment.					
Cause (or suspected c	ause) of non-co	mpliance:			
TSF underdrain tank was unable to be sampled during these months, as the tank was dry and the sample point inaccessible.					
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:					
There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation. In any case, the following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence: — Alternate sample point identified.					
 Sampling schedule reviewed. 					
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?					
Yes: Reported to D'	WER verbally	Date:		⊠ No	
Reported to D	WER in writing	Date:			

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.					
Condition number:	48	Date(s) of non-compliance:	October 2020		
Details of non-complia	nce:				
October quarterly sampl	es were missed	for Bore 53 and Village Fly Camp \	VWTP (WWTP2).		
What was the actual (o	r suspected) er	nvironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?		
There was no evidence	of adverse envir	onmental impact associated with m	issed samples.		
Cause (or suspected c	ause) of non-co	ompliance:			
Missed sample within so	:hedule.				
Use of the Village Fly Ca of the Fly Camp.	amp WWTP & Irı	rigation Area decreased significantly	y in 2020 due to the d	lecommissioning	
The Wandarrie village ha	as not been occu	upied since 2015, and hence the as	sociated WWTP has	not been in use.	
Action taken to mitigat non-compliance:	e any adverse e	effects of non-compliance and pr	event recurrence of	the	
There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation.					
The Wandarrie village was decommissioned in 2015, and ongoing monitoring has been occurring as per the licence at the WWTP (Bore 53) since this time despite not being in use, hence no environmental impact is expected.					
Wastewater discharge from the Village Fly Camp WWTP and spray field decreased significantly following August 2020, due reduced employee numbers; hence no environmental impact is expected.					
In any case, the following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:					
 Sampling schedule reviewed. Bore 53 and WWTP2 sampled in November and December respectively. 					
Was this non-complian	nce reported to	the DWER?			
Yes: Reported to D	WER verbally	Date:		⊠ No	
☐ Reported to D	WER in writing	Date:			

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.					
Condition	number:	41	Date(s) of non-compliance:	September and De	cember 2020
Details of	non-complia	nce:			
			issed in September and Dece Retention Dam (LCDM).	mber 2020 samples for R	eclaimed Pond
What was	the actual (o	r suspected) en	vironmental impact of the n	on-compliance?	
There was	no evidence (of adverse enviro	onmental impact associated w	ith missed samples.	
Cause (or	suspected c	ause) of non-co	mpliance:		
Scheduling	Scheduling error. The wrong analytical suite was collected for the September and December analysis.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:					
There were no adverse effects associated with the non-compliance requiring mitigation. No discharge of water occurred from RCP2B or LCDM between September and December 2020.					
Additional samples for TPH will be collected in Q1 2020.					
In any case, the following actions have been taken to prevent recurrence:					
Sampling schedule reviewed.Alerts for missed samples to be built into data management system.					
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?					
Yes:	Reported to D	WER verbally	Date:		⊠ No
☐ F	Reported to D	WER in writing	Date:		