

# **Application for Works Approval**

### Part V Division 3 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

Works Approval Number	W6874/2023/1			
Applicant	GSM Mining Company Pty Ltd			
ACN	165 235 030			
File number	DER2023/000755			
Premises	Granny Smith Gold Mine			
	Mining Tenements M38/205 and M38/161			
	Shire of Laverton, Western Australia			
	As defined by the premises maps attached to the issued works approval			
Date of report	13 March 2024			
Decision	Works approval granted			

# **Table of Contents**

1.	Decision summary1					
2.	Scope of assessment1					
	2.1	Regulatory framework	1			
	2.2	Application summary and overview of premises	1			
3.	Risk a	assessment	2			
	3.1	Source-pathways and receptors	2			
		3.1.1 Emissions and controls	2			
		3.1.2 Receptors	4			
	3.2	Risk ratings	2			
4.	Consu	ultation	5			
5.	Concl	usion	5			
Refe	rences	5	5			
Appe conc	endix 1 litions	I: Summary of applicant's comments on risk assessment and draft	6			
Appe	endix 2	2: Application validation summary	7			

Table 1: Proposed applicant controls	.2
Table 2: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity.	.5
Table 3: Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the premises during construction, commissioning and operation	.3
Table 4: Consultation	.5
Figure 1: TSF Cells 1 – 4 layout	.2
Figure 2: Cell 3G raise layout	.1

Figure 3: Distance to sensitive receptors......1

## 1. Decision summary

This decision report documents the assessment of potential risks to the environment and public health from emissions and discharges during the construction and operation of the premises. As a result of this assessment, works approval W6874/2023/1 has been granted.

# 2. Scope of assessment

### 2.1 Regulatory framework

In completing the assessment documented in this decision report, the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (the department; DWER) has considered and given due regard to its regulatory framework and relevant policy documents which are available at <a href="https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents">https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents</a>.

### 2.2 Application summary and overview of premises

On 24 November 2023, the applicant submitted an application for a works approval to the department under section 54 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act).

The application is to undertake construction works relating to raising of tailings storage facility (TSF) Cell 3 at the premises. The premises is approximately 23 km south of the town of Laverton.

The premises relates to category 5 and assessed design capacity under Schedule 1 of the *Environmental Protection Regulations 1987* (EP Regulations) which are defined in works approval W6874/2023/1. The infrastructure and equipment relating to the premises category and any associated activities which the department has considered in line with *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) are outlined in works approval W6874/2023/1.

The TSF at the Granny Smith Gold Mine (GSM) consists of three upstream raised adjacent cells: Cell 1, Cell 2 and Cell 3. Cell 3 is currently the only active facility. Construction of a new cell (Cell 4) was completed in July 2023 and will be commissioned in Q4 2023. Decant water from the active cell and seepage water recovered from a perimeter seepage recovery system is pumped back to the process water pond located near the processing plant.

Cell 3 (Stage 3F) has a crest elevation of RL 432.2 m on its western side and RL 433 m on its eastern side. Following drying of the Stage 3F tailings beach, it is proposed to construct a new upstream raise (Stage 3G) to the currently approved crest elevation of RL 437 m. Stage 3G is expected to become operational between Q4 2024 and Q2 2025, following completion of construction works. It will provide approximately 4.5 million tonnes of additional storage capacity for tailings generated by the processing plant over a period of 2.5 years.

Figure 1 shows the layout of the TSF's.



#### Figure 1: TSF Cells 1 – 4 layout

The raise will be constructed with an inner zone of Zone A1 tailings borrowed from the existing Cell 1 (or potentially Cell 2 or 3) and an outer shell of Zone C1 and C2 mine waste sourced from existing waste rock dumps. Zone H wearing course material will be placed on the embankment crest. Figure 2 shows the proposed Cell 3G site layout.



### Figure 2: Cell 3G raise layout

Zone A1 material will be placed onto the Cell 3G perimeter embankment in layers not exceeding 300 mm and compacted using a heavy, vibratory pad-foot roller. The material will be over-placed by approximately 0.5 m each side to facility compaction across the entire design width. The batters will subsequently be pulled back to design geometry and the excess material used to construct the final lift.

Zone C1 construction will utilise stockpiled materials from pulling back of the Stage 3F downstream batter and windrows and material sourced from the Granny Smith waste dump.

Zone C2 material will be sourced from the Wallaby waste rock dump. Once the embankment reaches design elevation, the crest will be graded to a 2% inward crossfall, Zone H wearing course placed and the final crest windrows constructed.

Finally, the tailings delivery pipelines and deposition spigots will be reinstalled at the perimeter crest and the decant return line along the causeway will be reinstated and connected to the operational line on the Stage 3F crest/berm between the decant causeway and the northern corner of Cell 3.

#### Decant/reclaim system

Cell 3 is already equipped with a centrally located pump-out decant system. It has been constructed in stages over the life of the facility and has the following components:

-An access causeway running from the western perimeter embankment to the central decant tower, located at the end of the causeway.

-A slotted concrete decant tower surrounded by clean waste rock fill.

-A pump, associated return water pipe and electrical infrastructure

The decant causeway will be raised from its current elevation to slope from RL 437 m at the perimeter embankment to RL 436.3 m at the decant tower, which will be extended to allow for operability and maintenance.

#### Seepage diversion and control

Seepage control and collection features are already in place in Cell 3F to mitigate downstream seepage along the southern and western flanks of the facility. Access to existing seepage recovery sumps (SP1 and SP2) on the RL 435 m berm of the southern embankment of Cell 3 will be maintained as part of the raise. Similarly, two under drainage sumps (Cell 3 west and Cell 3 north) in the northern part of the cell, although they are currently dry, will be maintained. All seepage water is currently pumped to a seepage pump station at the southern toe of the Cell for transfer back to the processing plant.

#### Stormwater diversion and control

The flows from Windich Creek have been diverted around the mine site with the Windich Creek Harvesting Diversion created to channel a significant portion of these flows into the Windich Open Pit for water supply purposes.

The existing water balance for Cell 3 is not anticipated to change for the proposed Cell 3G raise. Supernatant water and rainfall runoff report to the supernatant pond within Cell 3. Water is decanted from the pond via a central decant tower, from where it's pumped back to the processing plant for reuse. The system is permanently active. At time when the processing plant is not operating (40% of each month) and the process water pond is full, the seepage is diverted to the Goanna pit, which is close to the processing plant.

In February 2024, Granny Smith submitted a licence amendment to DWER proposing the addition of the water transfer pond as an approved storage option for seepage water, stormwater and decant water. Additionally, it is proposed that the Goanna Pit be identified as a discharge location for stormwater and decant water following significant rainfall events, and

during emergency situations in line with the TSF Operating Manual.

### 3. Risk assessment

The department assesses the risks of emissions from prescribed premises and identifies the potential source, pathway and impact to receptors in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020).

To establish a risk event there must be an emission, a receptor which may be exposed to that emission through an identified actual or likely pathway, and a potential adverse effect to the receptor from exposure to that emission.

### 3.1 Source-pathways and receptors

### 3.1.1 Emissions and controls

The key emissions and associated actual or likely pathway during premises construction / operation which have been considered in this decision report are detailed in Table 1 below. Table 1 also details the control measures the applicant has proposed to assist in controlling these emissions, where necessary.

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls					
Construction								
Dust	Dust generated from vehicle and machinery	Air / windborne pathway	Dust is managed via existing conditions on licence L8435/2010/3 as well as through GSM's Dust Management Plan which includes:					
	movements during construction of lift		-dust suppression is implemented including use of water trucks.					
			-roads/tracks maintained and graded as required to minimize dust generation.					
			-daily inspections of construction areas undertaken to ensure dust control measures are being implemented and are effective.					
			Given the distance to sensitive receptors, this emission has been screened out and will not appear in Table 3					
Operation								
Seepage Tailings and supernatant from		Infiltration to groundwater	-The existing controls are in place for seepage management, which include:					
	deposition into TSF following raise		<ul> <li>Underdrainage and seepage collection/cut-off systems;</li> </ul>					
			Toe drains;					
			<ul> <li>Drainage network below the decant pond; and</li> </ul>					
			Seepage collection drain					
			-Access to existing seepage recovery sumps (SP1 and SP2) on the RL 425 m berm of the					

#### Table 1: Proposed applicant controls

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls
			southern embankment of Cell 3 will be maintained as part of the raise. Similarly, two underdrainage sumps (Cell 3 west and Cell 3 north) in the northern part of the cell, although currently dry, will be maintained.
			-All seepage reporting to the seepage collection trench will be collected and returned to the processing plant.
			-Cell 3 is equipped with a centrally located pump out decant system and has been constructed in stages over the life of the facility with:
			<ul> <li>An access causeway running from the western perimeter embankment to the central decant tower, located at the end of the causeway.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>A slotted concrete decant tower surrounded by clean waste rock fill.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>A pump, associated return water pipe and electrical infrastructure.</li> </ul>
			-The seepage interception drain at the toe of Cell 3 southwestern flank has been included.
			-Perimeter seepage collection trenches installed along the southern toe of the facility.
			-A seepage return system is constructed around the southern side of the TSF perimeter.
			-The Operating and Maintenance Manual to be updated to include Cell 3G, prior to commissioning of the facility.
			-Daily inspections (shift-based) to inspect for:
			<ul> <li>Integrity of perimeter embankments – including seepage, cracking, instability, depressions and erosion.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Changes to items of concern (e.g., cracking, seepage) identified during previous inspections.</li> </ul>
			-Monthly inspections
			-All inspections to be documented and all faults identified are repaired or replaced.
			-Ongoing monitoring of groundwater levels and groundwater quality, to monitor changes in groundwater levels in response to tailings deposition at Cell 3G, to confirm the extent of groundwater mounding, flow directions and quality, and to ensure ongoing compliance with DWER Licence L8435/2010/3.
			-Maintain decant water quality below 50mg/L WAD cyanide as committed to in GSM

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls	
			Cyanide Code Certification (and below 0.5 mg/L at compliance points).	
Tailings	Tailings or decant return water carried in pipelines	Unplanned direct discharge	-GSM has existing Operating Manual for Cells 1 to 3 which outlines the operating methods with respect to tailings deposition, supernatan- pond management and seepage management A combination of the following is currently in place:	
			Irenches and diversion bunds	
			Monitoring devices	
			Flow meters	
			Shut-off valves	
			-Daily shift-based inspections, including checking for:	
			<ul> <li>Serviceability of pipelines to and from the TSF – including tailings delivery and decant lines, leak detection</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>Serviceability of pipelines on the TSF         <ul> <li>including condition of pipe work, damage to pipelines, excessive movement of pipelines, pipeline or spigot blockages, pipeline leaks or uncontrolled discharges</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>Decant operations – including pond size and location, clarity of decant water, decant pumping, capacity and operation of decant ponds.</li> </ul>	
			-All inspections to be documented and all faults identified to be repaired or replaced.	
			-Operating Manual to be updated to include Cell 3G, prior to commissioning of the facility	
TSF supernatant	Supernatant water from supernatant	Overtopping of TSF	GSM has proposed the following controls for overtopping:	
water	pond on TSF	causing unplanned discharge	-Maintain sufficient freeboard for the facility to contain rainfall from a 1 in 10 000 year, 72-hour event.	
			-Measurement of the key items that contribute to the water balance	

#### 3.1.2 Receptors

In accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessment* (DWER 2020), the Delegated Officer has excluded the applicant's employees, visitors, and contractors from its assessment. Protection of these parties often involves different exposure risks and prevention strategies, and is provided for under other state legislation.

Table 2 and Figure 3 below provides a summary of potential human and environmental receptors that may be impacted as a result of activities upon or emission and discharges from the prescribed premises (*Guideline: Environmental Siting* (DWER 2020)).

 Table 2: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity

Human receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
Laverton town	23 km north of the premises – screen out as a receptor due to distance
Mount Margaret town site	21 km north of TSF3 – screened out as a receptor due to distance.
Environmental receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
Threatened flora/fauna	No threatened flora species identified within the proximity of the area.
	The Long-tailed Dunnart (priority 4) is the only priority fauna with potential to be impacted on. It could occur in and around the rocky habitats adjacent to Cell 3.
Underlying groundwater (non-potable purposes)	Groundwater and surface water flows are in a southern direction from the proposed Cell 3G footprint.
	Pre-mining groundwater levels ranged between RL 403 mAHD in the north-east, to RL 396 mAHD in the south-west, with a natural gradient toward Lake Carey. Current groundwater flows are generally in an east-west gradient away from the existing TSFs towards Childe Harold Creek.
	Following the commencement of mining, groundwater levels in the vicinity of the Premises have lowered significantly, with the pits currently acting as groundwater sinks. The cone of depression associated with the pits appears to be very localized, reflecting the low permeability of the bedrock host material.
	There are no identified groundwater-dependent ecosystems or receptors. Groundwater is typically hypersaline, of non-potable quality, with no nearby users anticipated to be impacted by mining activities.
Childe Harold Creek	An ephemeral creek located approximately 600 m to the west of the project area and drains towards Lake Carey.
Windich Creek	The existing TSF cells were constructed over a branch of this creek and it no longer conveys surface water flow in that area. The remaining Windich Creek system is ephemeral (300 m to the south).

Lake Carey Salt Lake System	5 km southwest of the project area. Childe Harold Creek drains to this salt lake. Lake Carey Salt Lake is the ultimate receptor for groundwater and surface water flow associated with palaeochannel and drainage systems underlying/surrounding TSF Cell 3.
Aboriginal and heritage sites	The disturbance footprint of Cell 3G does not intersect the currently mapped locations of archaeological or ethnographic registered locations, or Registered Aboriginal Sites or Other Heritage Places.
	-Wallaby 02 (ID 20006, Lodged Site) Artefacts/Scatter ~ 735 m south
	-Childe Harold Mine (ID 2061, Registered Site) Mythological ~ 1.7 km north
	-Windich Creek Artefact Scatter 1 (ID 31783, Registered Site) Artefacts/Scatter; Camp ~ 3.6 km northeast
	-Windich Creek Artefact Scatter 2 (ID 31784, Registered Site) Artefacts/Scatter; Cam ~ 3.8 km northwest
	-Wallaby 01 (ID 20005, Lodged Site) Artefacts/Scatter ~ 3.9 km southwest
	-Hillside Well Arrangement 1 (ID 36708, Lodged Site) Place ~ 4 km southwest
	-WON14-05 (ID 35808, Registered Site) Place ~ 4 km southwest
	-Windich Creek Artefact Scatter 3 (ID 31785, Registered Site) Artefacts/Scatter; Camp ~ 4.3 km northeast

٦



Figure 3: Distance to sensitive receptors

### 3.2 Risk ratings

Risk ratings have been assessed in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) for each identified emission source and takes into account potential source-pathway and receptor linkages as identified in Section 3.1. Where linkages are in-complete they have not been considered further in the risk assessment.

Where the applicant has proposed mitigation measures/controls (as detailed in Section 3.1), these have been considered when determining the final risk rating. Where the delegated officer considers the applicant's proposed controls to be critical to maintaining an acceptable level of risk, these will be incorporated into the works approval as regulatory controls.

Additional regulatory controls may be imposed where the applicant's controls are not deemed sufficient. Where this is the case the need for additional controls will be documented and justified in Table 3.

Works approval W6874/2023/1 that accompanies this decision report authorises construction and time-limited operations. The conditions in the issued works approval, as outlined in Table 3 have been determined in accordance with *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions* (DER 2015).

A licence is required following the time-limited operational phase authorised under the works approval to authorise emissions associated with the ongoing operation of the premises i.e. tailings deposition. A risk assessment for the operational phase has been included in this decision report, however licence conditions will not be finalised until the department assesses the licence application.

# Table 3: Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the premises during construction, commissioning and operation

Risk events					Risk rating <sup>1</sup>	Applicant		luctification for	
Sources / activities	Potential emission	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Applicant controls	C = consequence L = likelihood	Applicant controls sufficient?	Conditions <sup>2</sup> of works approval / licence	additional regulatory controls	
Operation	Operation								
(including time-limited-operations operations)									
Operation of TSF Cell 3 Stage 3G	Overtopping of TSF Cell 3	Direct discharge to land causing degradation of ecosystems	Surrounding flora and surface water	ge to f Surrounding flora and surface water f	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Moderate L = Unlikely <b>Medium Risk</b>	Y	Works approval: Condition 1: Design and construction requirements Condition 2 and 3: Compliance requirements Condition 4: TLO Existing Licence conditions to prevent overtopping apply: Conditions 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 16	N/A
	Tailings pipeline or return water pipeline rupture/leak	Direct discharge to land causing degradation of ecosystems			Refer to Section 3.1	C = Moderate L = Unlikely <b>Medium Risk</b>	Y	Works approval: Condition 1: Design and construction requirements Condition 2 and 3: Compliance requirements Condition 4: TLO Existing Licence conditions apply: Conditions 2, 4, 5	N/A
	Seepage from base and walls of TSF Cell 3r	Seepage through soil into groundwater causing degradation of soil and groundwater quality as well as mounding of the groundwater table	Groundwater and surrounding soils Surrounding flora and surface water	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Moderate L = Possible <b>Medium Risk</b>	Y	Works approval: Condition 1: Design and construction requirements Condition 2 and 3: Compliance requirements Condition 4: TLO	N/A	

Risk events				Risk rating <sup>1</sup>		luctification for		
Sources / activities	Potential emission	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Applicant controls	C = consequence L = likelihood	controls sufficient?	Conditions <sup>2</sup> of works approval / licence	additional regulatory controls
		which could impact on vegetation and ecosystems.					Existing Licence conditions to manage seepage, including groundwater monitoring apply: Conditions 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 17, 18, 25, 27 and 28,	

Note 1: Consequence ratings, likelihood ratings and risk descriptions are detailed in the Guideline: Risk Assessments (DWER 2020).

Note 2: Proposed applicant controls are depicted by standard text. Bold and underline text depicts additional regulatory controls imposed by department.

# 4. Consultation

Table 4 provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the department.

#### Table 4: Consultation

Consultation method	Comments received	Department response
Application advertised on the department's website on 15 January 2024	None received	N/A
Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS) advised of proposal 9 January 2024	DMIRS replied on 30 January 2024 advising that the proposed TSF Cell 3 lift to a height of 437 mRL is authorised under existing Mining Proposal Reg ID 17148. Further, it is up to the tenement holder to ensure they are conducting the mining activities in accordance with their tenement conditions and approvals under the Mining Act.	Noted
Applicant was provided with draft documents on 13 February 2024	Refer to Appendix 1	Refer to Appendix 1

# 5. Conclusion

Based on the assessment in this decision report, the delegated officer has determined that a works approval will be granted, subject to conditions commensurate with the determined controls and necessary for administration and reporting requirements.

### References

- 1. Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2015, *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 2. Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2020, *Guideline: Environmental Siting*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 3. DWER 2020, Guideline: Risk Assessments, Perth, Western Australia.
- 4. WSP 2023, DWER Works Approval Application Granny Smith Gold Mine Tailings Storage Facility Cell 3 Raise (Stage 3G), Perth, Western Australia.

# Appendix 1: Summary of applicant's comments on risk assessment and draft conditions

Condition	Summary of applicant's comment	Department's response
Works Approval Cover page	Update registered business address.	Address updated on the works approval and within DWER records system.
Premises Boundary Map	As requested from DWER during comment period, an updated Premises Boundary Map relating to the Works Approval has been provided.	The map has been added to Schedule 1 of the Works Approval.
Decision Report – section 2.2	Update of wording for when Stage 3G is to become operational between Q4 2024 and Q2 2025.	Wording change accepted.
	Wording change for clarity from "The raise will be constructed from Zone A1 tailings borrowed from the existing Cell 1 (or potentially Cell 2 or 3) and will have an outer shell of Zone C1 and Zone C2 mine waste materials sourced from existing waste rock dumps." To:	Wording change accepted.
	"The raise will be constructed with an inner zone of Zone A1 tailings borrowed from the existing Cell 1 (or potentially Cell 2 or 3) and an outer shell of Zone C1 and C2 mine waste sourced from existing waste rock dumps."	
	Incorrect RL under Decant/reclaim system and typographical error found.	Errors corrected.
	Paragraph added under 'Stormwater diversion and control' to summarise current licence amendment application proposing the water transfer pond as approved storage option, as well as Goanna Pit as an emergency discharge location.	Paragraph added to provide context for current licence amendment.
Decision Report – section 3.1.1	Typographical error found in Table 1	Error corrected.
Decision Report – section 4	Typographical error found in Table 4	Error corrected.

# Appendix 2: Application validation summary

SECTION 1: APPLICATION SUMMARY (as updated from validation checklist)						
Application type						
Works approval	$\boxtimes$					
Date application received		24 November 2023				
Applicant and premises details						
Applicant name/s (full legal name/s)		GSM Mining Company Pty Ltd				
Premises name		Granny Smith Gold Mine				
Premises location		M38/205 and M38/161				
Local Government Authority		Shire of Laverton				
Application documents						
HPCM file reference number:		DER2023/000755				
Key application documents (additional to application form):		DWER Works Approval Application – Granny Smith Gold Mine – Tailings Storage Facility Cell 3 Raise (Stage 3G)				
Scope of application/assessment						
		Works approval				
Summary of proposed activities or changes to existing operations.		Construction of raise of embankment to Tailings Storage Facility (TSF) Cell 3G, including:				
		<ul> <li>Embankment raise to RL 437 m (approx. 3.8 m height raise).</li> </ul>				
		<ul> <li>Decant causeway raise to slope from RL 437 m at the perimeter embankment to RL 436.3 at the decant tower.</li> </ul>				
		Modifying existing pipelines in the same corridor:				
		Tailings delivery pipeline				
		<ul> <li>Decant water return water pipeline (back to processing plant)</li> </ul>				
		Maintenance of existing groundwater monitoring and seepage interception infrastructure				
Category number/s (activities that cause the premises to become prescribed premises)						
Table 1: Prescribed premises categories						
Proscribed premises estagony Assessed production or Proposed observes to the				Proposed changes to the		
and description	des	ign capacity		production or design capacity (amendments only)		
Category 5	4,50 peri	4,500,000 tonnes per annual period.		No change to throughput		
Legislative context and other approvals						
Has the applicant referred, or do they intend to refer, their proposal to the EPA		Yes 🗆 No 🖂	N	I/A		

SECTION 1: APPLICATION SUMMARY (as	s updated from validati	ion checklist)
under Part IV of the EP Act as a significant proposal?		
Does the applicant hold any existing Part IV Ministerial Statements relevant to the application?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	N/A
Has the proposal been referred and/or assessed under the EPBC Act?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	N/A
Has the applicant demonstrated occupancy (proof of occupier status)?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆	Mining lease / tenement ⊠ Expiry:
Has the applicant obtained all relevant planning approvals?	Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠	On mining tenure so no LGA approval required.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing EP Act clearing permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	No clearing is proposed.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing CAWS Act clearing licence in relation to this proposal?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	No clearing is proposed.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing RIWI Act licence or permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes 🛛 No 🗆	Licence/permit No: GWL65744(8)
Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the EP Act)?	Yes □ No ⊠	Name: N/A Type: N/A Has Regulatory Services (Water) been consulted? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ Regional office: Goldfields
Is the Premises situated in a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA)?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	N/A

SECTION 1: APPLICATION SUMMARY (as updated from validation checklist)					
Is the Premises subject to any other Acts or subsidiary regulations (e.g. <i>Dangerous</i>		Mining Act 1978 Contaminated Sites Act 2003			
Protection (Controlled Waste) Regulations 2004, State Agreement Act xxxx)	Yes 🛛 No 🗆	Environmental Protection (Noise) Regulations 1997			
		Environmental Protection (Unauthorised Discharges) Regulations 2004			
Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection Policy (EPP) Area?	Yes □ No ⊠				
Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements?	Yes □ No ⊠				
Is the Premises a known or suspected contaminated site under the <i>Contaminated Sites Act 2003</i> ?	Yes ⊠ No □	Classification: Possibly contaminated – investigation required (PC–IR): DEC14429 (30693, 30692, 30694, 31921, 30695, 30698, 30696, 30697, 30689, 30688, 30690)			