



# Decision Document

## *Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V*

---

**Proponent: API Management Pty Ltd**

**Works Approval: W5064/2011/1**

---

**Registered office:** Level 1  
1 Preston Street  
COMO WA 6152

**ACN:** 112 677 595

**Premises address:** West Pilbara Iron Ore Project Accommodation Camp  
Mining Lease L08/68  
CANE WA 6710

**Issue date:** Thursday, 8 December 2011

**Commencement date:** Monday, 19 December 2011

**Expiry date:** Saturday, 31 December 2016

### **Decision**

Based on the assessment detailed in this document the Department of Environment Regulation (DER), has decided to issue an amended works approval. DER considers that in reaching this decision, it has taken into account all relevant considerations.

Decision Document prepared by: **Fiona Esszig**  
Licensing Officer

Decision Document authorised by: **Alana Kidd**  
Manager Licensing



## Contents

Decision Document	1
Contents	2
1 Purpose of this Document	2
2 Administrative summary	3
3 Executive summary of proposal and assessment	4
4 Decision table	5
5 Advertisement and consultation table	6
6 Risk Assessment	7

### 1 Purpose of this Document

This decision document explains how DER has assessed and determined the application and provides a record of DER's decision-making process and how relevant factors have been taken into account. Stakeholders should note that this document is limited to DER's assessment and decision making under Part V of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*. Other approvals may be required for the proposal, and it is the proponent's responsibility to ensure they have all relevant approvals for their Premises.

#### **Works approval and licence conditions**

DER has three types of conditions that may be imposed on works approvals and licences. They are as follows;

#### **Standard conditions (SC)**

DER has standard conditions that are imposed on all works approvals and licences regardless of the activities undertaken on the Premises and the information provided in the application. These are included as the following conditions on works approvals and licences:

Works approval conditions: 1.1.1-1.1.4, 1.2.1, 1.2.2, 5.1.1 and 5.1.2.

Licence conditions: 1.1.1-1.1.4, 1.2.1-1.2.4, 5.1.1-5.1.4 and 5.2.1.

For such conditions, justification within the Decision Document is not provided.

#### **Optional standard conditions (OSC)**

In the interests of regulatory consistency DER has a set of optional standard conditions that can be imposed on works approvals and licences. DER will include optional standard conditions as necessary, and are likely to constitute the majority of conditions in any licence. The inclusion of any optional standard conditions is justified in Section 4 of this document.

#### **Non standard conditions (NSC)**

Where the proposed activities require conditions outside the standard conditions suite DER will impose one or more non-standard conditions. These include both premises and sector specific conditions, and are likely to occur within few licences. Where used, justification for the application of these conditions will be included in Section 4.



## 2 Administrative summary

Administrative details		
Application type	Works Approval <input type="checkbox"/>	
	New Licence <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Licence amendment <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Works Approval amendment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Activities that cause the premises to become prescribed premises	<b>Category number(s)</b>	<b>Assessed design capacity</b>
	85	95 m <sup>3</sup> /day
	89	31.2 tonnes per annual period
Application verified	Date: N/A	
Application fee paid	Date: N/A	
Works Approval has been complied with	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Compliance Certificate received	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Commercial-in-confidence claim	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Commercial-in-confidence claim outcome	N/A.	
Is the proposal a Major Resource Project?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Was the proposal referred to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) under Part IV of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> ?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Referral decision No: Managed under Part V <input type="checkbox"/> Assessed under Part IV <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the proposal subject to Ministerial Conditions?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Ministerial statement No: 881 EPA Report No: 1409
Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> )?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Department of Water consulted Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection Policy (EPP) Area Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If Yes include details of which EPP(s) here.		
Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If Yes, include details here, eg Site is subject to SO <sub>2</sub> requirements of Kwinana EPP.		



### 3 Executive summary of proposal and assessment

API Management Pty Ltd (API) is developing the West Pilbara Iron Ore Project (WPIOP). The proposed accommodation camp and associated wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) will support construction of the WPIOP.

API has applied to amend the works approval (W5604/2011/1) to extend the expiry date until December 2016. This will allow sufficient time to complete construction of the camp and WWTP, which is yet to commence.

As part of this amendment, DER has not re-assessed the acceptability or impacts of emissions and discharges from the Premises or re-visited any existing emission control levels. No changes to the conditions on the previous works approval have been made.



## 4 Decision table

All applications are assessed in line with the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*, the *Environmental Protection Regulations 1987* and DER's Operational Procedure on Assessing Emissions and Discharges from Prescribed Premises. Where other references have been used in making the decision they are detailed in the decision document.

DECISION TABLE				
Works Approval / Licence section	Condition number W = Works Approval L = Licence	OSC or NSC	Justification (including risk description & decision methodology where relevant)	Reference documents
Works Approval duration	N/A	N/A	The works approval has been extended until 31 December 2016 to allow sufficient time for the construction of the camp and associated WWTP. Construction is expected to commence in 2015, however, the expiry date has been extended to 2017 to allow additional delays in project implementation.	Application supporting documentation



## 5 Advertisement and consultation table

Date	Event	Comments received/Notes	How comments were taken into consideration
4/12/2014	Proponent sent a copy of draft instrument	None.	N/A



## 6 Risk Assessment

Note: This matrix is taken from the DER Corporate Policy Statement No. 07 - Operational Risk Management

Table 1: Emissions Risk Matrix

Likelihood					
Almost Certain	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme
Likely	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	Extreme
Possible	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	Extreme
Unlikely	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High
Rare	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High