

Amendment Report

Application for Licence Amendment

Part V Division 3 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

Licence Number	L9112/2018/1
Licence Holder	Silver Lake (Integra) Pty Ltd
ACN	093 278 436
File Number	DER2017/002150
Premises	Aldiss Gold Project
	Mining Tenement M28/43, M28/208, M28/171, M28/289
	Mining Lease L28/55
	EMU FLAT WA 6431
	As defined by the Premises maps attached to the Revised Licence
Date of Report	7 January 2021
Decision	Revised licence granted

Abbie Crawford A/Manager, Waste Industries

an officer delegated under section 20 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)

Table of Contents

1.	Decision summary					
2.	Scope	e of as	sessment	3		
	2.1	Regula	atory framework	3		
	2.2	Applica	ation summary	3		
		2.2.1	Project proposal relating to the amendment	3		
		2.2.2	Administrative amendments to Licence	5		
	2.3	Other	relevant approvals	5		
		2.3.1	Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety	5		
		2.3.2	Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914	5		
3.	Risk a	assess	ment	6		
	3.1	Source	e-pathways and receptors	6		
		3.1.1	Emissions and controls	6		
		3.1.2	Receptors	7		
		3.1.3	Groundwater and hydrogeological setting	7		
		3.1.4	Groundwater quality	8		
	3.2	Risk ra	itings	8		
4.	Consu	ultatio	n	12		
5.	Concl	usion		12		
	5.1	Summ	ary of amendments	12		
Refe	rences	S	·	14		
App	endix 1	1: App	lication validation summary	15		
			······, ······			
Table	e 1: Pro	posed o	changes	3		
Table	2: Lice	' ence Ho	older controls	6		
Table	e 3: Sen	nsitive e	nvironmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity	7		
Table	e 4: Sun	nmary o	groundwater guality	7		
Table const	5. Risl	k asses and op	sment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises eration	9		
Table	e 6: Cor	nsultatio	n	12		
Table	7: Sun	nmary o	of licence amendments	12		
Table	8: Adn	ninistrat	tive amendments	13		

1. Decision summary

Licence L9112/2018/1 is held by Silver Lake (Integra) Pty Ltd (Licence Holder) for the Aldiss Gold Project (the Premises), located within Mining tenements M28/43, M28/208, M28/171, M28/289 and Mining Lease L28/55.

This Amendment Report documents the assessment of potential risks to the environment and public health from proposed changes to the emissions and discharges during the operation of the Premises. As a result of this assessment, Revised Licence L9112/2018/1 has been granted.

2. Scope of assessment

2.1 Regulatory framework

In completing the assessment documented in this Amendment Report, the department has considered and given due regard to its Regulatory Framework and relevant policy documents which are available at https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents.

2.2 Application summary

On 13 October 2020, the Licence Holder submitted an application to the department to amend Licence L9112/2018/1 under section 59 and 59B of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act). The following amendments are being sought:

- Additional dewatering activities on the premises that include dewatering from the Tank and Atreides mining pits;
- Construction and utilisation of a turkeys nest for dewatering effluent storage;
- Additional dewatering pipelines; and
- Discharge of dewatering effluent (when required) to the existing Karonie and Harrys Hill mining pits.

This amendment is limited only to changes to Category 6 activities on the Existing Licence. No changes to the aspects of the existing Licence relating to Category 12 or 64 have been requested by the Licence Holder.

Table 1 below outlines the proposed changes to the existing Licence.

 Table 1: Proposed changes

Category	Current throughput capacity	Proposed throughput capacity	Description of proposed amendment
6	450,000 tonnes per annual period	No change	Inclusion of additional dewatering infrastructure (turkeys nest and pipelines) to facilitate dewatering of the Tank and Atreides mining pits. No changes proposed to existing extraction and discharge volumes.

2.2.1 **Project proposal relating to the amendment**

The Licence Holder is proposing to develop the Tank and Atreides deposits, within the Aldiss Project area of the Mount Monger Operations, located 110 km south east of Kalgoorlie. Figure 1 provides an overview of the new pits and their location within the Aldiss Gold Project.



Figure 1: Aldiss Gold Project Area, including the Tank and Atreides pits

The Aldiss project area comprises a group of gold deposits related to the Aldiss fault, which extends over a length of 22 km.

The proposal associated with this amendment includes the development of two small open pits (less than 200 m in diameter and approximately 55 and 70 m deep) with underground potential to 300 m below surface. The pits are expected to take approximately 5 months to develop, with the total mine life expected to be around 3 years.

Development of the pits will require dewatering, with hydrogeological modelling suggesting dewatering rates of 5-10 L/s will be required to manage short term groundwater inflows. Dewatering is proposed to be undertaken with the use of sump pumps initially, with dewatering bores only likely to be considered should groundwater inflows exceed pumping capacity.

The Licence Holder is proposing to construct one new temporary turkeys nest to allow for the dewatering of these mining deposits at Tank and Atreides. The turkeys nest, which is proposed to be lined with High Density Polyethylene (HDPE), will be used to store dewatered groundwater prior to its primary re-use as water for dust suppression throughout the premises. The Licence Holder has advised that should there be excess dewatering effluent, it is to be directed to the existing Harrys Hill pit or Karonie pit for disposal.

Mining activities are largely completed within Harrys Hill pit and nearing completion within the Karonie pit, with the Licence Holder indicating that dewatering effluent will be piped into the pits for disposal. A small quantity of dewatering is also required to be undertaken from the Karonie pit prior to the completion of mining activities at this location. The Licence Holder has indicated that dewatering effluent from the Karonie pit will be treated in the same manner, and used for dust suppression in the first instance, and discharged to the Harrys Hill pit should excess dewatering water require disposal.

2.2.2 Administrative amendments to Licence

As part of the amendment, the department has also updated the appearance of the licence and where necessary corrected clerical mistakes and unintentional errors. The format of the licence and condition numbers have changed, however has not altered the obligations of the Licence Holder for these existing conditions. A full summary of the changes undertaken to the licence is provided in Section 5.1.

2.3 Other relevant approvals

2.3.1 Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety

The Licence Holder has advised that native vegetation clearing permit CPS 7429/2, granted by the Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety is held for clearing activities associated with premises activities.

The department also understands that the Licence Holder has submitted an updated Mining Proposal for activities associated with the proposal.

Key finding: The Delegated Officer notes that it is the responsibility of the Licence Holder to ensure all necessary approvals associated with the proposal are obtained under the *Mining Act 1978.*

2.3.2 Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914

The Licence Holder currently holds groundwater extraction licence GWL 200692, issued under the *Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914*. GWL 200692 authorises groundwater abstraction (for the purposes of mine dewatering) and dust suppression for mining and, earthworks and construction purposes for tenements associated with the proposed activities.

3. Risk assessment

The department assesses the risks of emissions from prescribed premises and identifies the potential source, pathway and impact to receptors in accordance with the *Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments* (DER 2017).

To establish a Risk Event there must be an emission, a receptor which may be exposed to that emission through an identified actual or likely pathway, and a potential adverse effect to the receptor from exposure to that emission.

3.1 Source-pathways and receptors

3.1.1 Emissions and controls

The key emissions and associated actual or likely pathways during the construction of new turkeys nest pipeline infrastructure, and the additional dewatering activities on the premises which have been considered in this Amendment Report are detailed in Table 2 below. Table 2 also details the proposed control measures the Licence Holder has proposed to assist in controlling these emissions, where necessary.

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls
Dewatered groundwater	Dewatering pipelines and turkeys nest	Direct discharge to land	Dewatering pipeline is to be poly welded and located within v-drains, earthen bunding, or will be buried;
			Turkeys nest constructed with compacted earth embankment walls;
			HDPE lined turkeys nest (liner permeability <1 x 10 ⁻⁹ m/s) for storage of dewatering effluent;
			Fenced and fauna egress matting installed;
			300mm freeboard maintained on turkeys nest; and
			Daily inspections of turkeys nest and dewatering pipeline.
	Dewatering abstraction from Tank and Atreides pits		Abstraction undertaken in accordance with GWL 200692.
	Dewatered groundwater		Controlled discharge to hard rock within Karonie and Harrys Hill pit; and
	discharge to Harrys Hill or Karonie open pit		Flow meters installed to record discharge volume.
	Dewatered groundwater used for		Dribble bars used to avoid misting and reduce spray drift; and
	dust suppression		Standard Operating Procedure developed to manage water cart activities.

Table 2: Licence Holder controls

3.1.2 Receptors

In accordance with the *Guidance Statement: Risk Assessment* (DER 2017), the Delegated Officer has excluded employees, visitors and contractors of the Licence Holder's from its assessment. Protection of these parties often involves different exposure risks and prevention strategies, and is provided for under other state legislation.

In assessing the relevant receptors relating to the amendment, the Delegated Officer has determined that the closest sensitive receptors are located in excess of 28 km from the premises (transient receptors associated with the Trans Australia Railway), with permanent sensitive human receptors located approximately 32.5 km from the premises (Cowarna Downs Homestead). Due to the distance from the premises, and the proposed activities, the Delegated Officer considers that impacts to these receptors is unlikely, and won't be considered further as part of the risk assessment for this amendment.

Table 3 below provides a summary of potential environmental receptors that may be impacted as a result of activities upon or emission and discharges from the prescribed premises (*Guidance Statement: Environmental Siting* (DER 2016)).

Environmental receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
Threatened/Priority Flora	No threatened flora located within the premises.
Threatened/Priority Fauna	No threatened fauna located within the premises. However, <i>Leipoa ocellata</i> (Mallefowl) were identified at a few locations in the vicinity of the prescribed premises, and transient native species are considered to potentially occur in the general area of the premises.
	Native vegetation within the premises boundary may provide habitat for this and other species of conservation significance.
Groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDE)	Based upon regional datasets, no known aquatic, terrestrial or subterranean GDE occur within project area. Site specific data is not available to confirm the presence or absence of GDE, and therefore GDE are considered to potentially exist within the project area.
Goldfields Groundwater Area	Premises boundary is located within this proclaimed groundwater area.
Lake Lefroy	Internally draining ephemeral salt lake system located approximately 15 km from the premises.

Table 3: Sensitive environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity

3.1.3 Groundwater and hydrogeological setting

The region is located within the Lefroy Dundas Groundwater Sub-Area of the Goldfields Groundwater Area. Groundwater resources within the subarea comprise alluvium, calcrete, palaeochannel and fractured rock aquifers. Groundwater occurrences in the region are typically associated with fractured bedrock aquifers and Tertiary palaeochannel aquifers, with minor amounts of groundwater occurring in alluvial and lacustrine deposits.

Regional groundwater recharge constitutes a very small percentage of rainfall, with the vast majority of rainfall either evaporating or being taken up by vegetation, with minor amounts of runoff to clay pans and salt lakes. Direct rainfall recharge is typically restricted to exposed bedrock and areas of sandplain. The majority of rainfall recharge is likely to occur following high

rainfall events as a result of local flooding.

The depth to groundwater varies regionally from less than 1 m below ground level in the playa lakes to more than 50 m below ground level in areas with elevated topography. Data from groundwater bores within a 5 km radius of the proposed Tank pit suggests groundwater depths between 18 m to 44 m below ground level. Regional groundwater flow direction is towards the major valleys containing salt lakes (i.e. towards the south or south west of the Tank deposit).

Groundwater in the region is typically saline to hypersaline, with small amounts of fresh to brackish water associated with granitic eluvium and small elevated areas of enhanced recharge.

3.1.4 Groundwater quality

Data obtained during hydrogeological investigations for the Tank and Atreides pits, as well as that presented within existing groundwater monitoring reports associated with GWL 200690 and GWL 600692 suggests that groundwater associated with the existing Karonie and Harrys Hills pits, and the proposed Tank and Atreides pits is saline. Table 4 provides a summary of groundwater quality across the mining pits associated with this proposal.

Mine pit	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Classification	рН
Karonie (pit)1	~39,000 mg/L	Highly saline	7.9
Harrys Hill (adjacent groundwater monitoring bores) ¹	8,830 – 39900 mg/L	Saline to highly saline	7.0
Tank and Atreides (hydrogeological investigations) ²	14,100 mg/L	Highly saline	7.34

Table 4: Summary of Groundwater Quality

Note 1: Data sourced from Silver Lake (Integra) Pty Ltd, Mt Monger Operations, Tri-annual Groundwater Monitoring Review, GWL 200257(1) Potable Borefield, GWL 200690 (2) Saline Borefield, GWL 200692(2) Harrys Hill & Main Zone Open Pits, GWL 200693(2) French Kiss Open Pit, 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2020.

Note 2: Data sourced from Licence Holder amendment application supporting documentation

3.2 Risk ratings

Risk ratings have been assessed in accordance with the *Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments* (DER 2017) for those emission sources which are proposed to change and takes into account potential source-pathway and receptor linkages as identified in Section 3.1. Where linkages are in-complete they have not been considered further in the risk assessment.

Where the Licence Holder has proposed mitigation measures/controls (as detailed in Section 3.1), these have been considered when determining the final risk rating. Where the Delegated Officer considers the Licence Holder's proposed controls to be critical to maintaining an acceptable level of risk, these will be incorporated into the licence as regulatory controls.

Additional regulatory controls may be imposed where the Licence Holder's controls are not deemed sufficient. Where this is the case the need for additional controls will be documented and justified in Table 5.

The Revised Licence L9112/2018/1 that accompanies this Amendment Report authorises emissions associated with the operation of the Premises.

The conditions in the Revised Licence have been determined in accordance with *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions* (DER 2015).

Risk Event					Risk rating ¹	Lissnes Helderis		
Source/Activities	Potential emission	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Licence Holder's controls	C = consequence L = likelihood	Licence Holder's controls sufficient?	Conditions ² of licence	Justification for additional regulatory controls
Construction								
	Dust	Air/windborne pathway	N/A – Refer to	N/A	C = Slight L = Rare Low Risk	Y	N/A	N/A
Construction of new turkeys nest dam	Noise	health and amenity	section 3.1	N/A	C = Slight L = Rare Low Risk	Y	N/A	N/A
Placement of additional dewatering pipelines	Clearing of native vegetation	Clearing of native vegetation and associated communities, impacting local biodiversity, habitat and communities	Native vegetation	Clearing undertaken in accordance with clearing permit CPS 7429	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Delegated Officer notes that clearing activities on the premises are regulated and administered by the Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, under granted clearing permit CPS 7429/2. The Delegated Officer notes that it is the responsibility of the Licence Holder to ensure that the clearing of native vegetation is conducted in accordance with granted approvals.
Operation		_						-
Abstraction resulting in drawdown of groundwater levels around Karonie Open Pit, Tank Open Pit and Atreides Open Pit	Groundwater abstraction	Abstraction of groundwater impacting groundwater availability for dependent ecosystems	Groundwater dependent ecosystems	Refer to Section 3.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Delegated Officer notes that groundwater abstraction on the premises is regulated under GWL 200692.The Delegated Officer notes that it is the responsibility of the Licence Holder to ensure that groundwater abstraction is conducted in accordance with granted approvals.

Table 5. Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises construction and operation.

L9112/2018/1 - 07/01/2021

Risk Event			Risk rating ¹	Licence Helder's				
Source/Activities	Potential emission	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Licence Holder's controls	C = consequence L = likelihood	controls sufficient?	Conditions ² of licence	Justification for additional regulatory controls
Storage of dewatering effluent within on site turkeys nest	Seepage	Direct discharge/ seepage to land causing decline/ death of vegetation and/or soil contamination	Native vegetation and associated ecological communities	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely Medium Risk	Y	Condition 2	The Delegated Officer considers the controls proposed by the Licence are likely to be sufficient to manage the risks associated with seepage of dewatering effluent from the onsite turkey's nest. These controls, including the specification of the pond liner, have been added to the licence to ensure the pond is constructed as specified, and to manage risk associated with seepage from the turkeys nest.
Storage of dewatering effluent within on site turkeys nest	Pond overtopping	Direct discharge to land causing decline/ death of vegetation and/or soil contamination	Native vegetation and associated ecological communities	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely Medium Risk	Y	Condition 3	The Delegated Officer considers the controls proposed by the Licence are likely to be sufficient to manage the risks associated with overtopping of the turkeys nest pond. These controls have been added to the licence to ensure adequate freeboard is maintained to mitigate the risk of the turkeys nest overtopping.
Discharge of saline water to Harry's Hill/ Karonie Open Pit	Discharge of saline dewatered groundwater to land	Direct discharge/ spill to lands causing decline/ death of vegetation and/or soil contamination	Groundwater dependent ecosystems	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely Medium Risk	Y	Condition 2, 3, 4	The Delegated Officer considers the controls proposed by the Licence are likely to be sufficient to manage the risks associated with the discharge of dewatering effluent to the existing mine pits. The Delegated Officer notes that the proposed discharge volumes and the quality of discharge effluent from dewatering of the Tank and Atreides pits is not significantly different to that for the existing and similarly located mining pits (saline to highly saline), and does not consider the discharges to the Karonie or Harrys Hill pits will adversely impact potential groundwater users or

L9112/2018/1 - 07/01/2021

IR-T15 Amendment Report Template v2.0 (July 2020)

Risk Event	sk Event Risk rating ¹							
Source/Activities	Potential emission	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Licence Holder's controls	C = consequence L = likelihood	Licence Holder's controls sufficient?	Conditions ² of licence	Justification for additional regulatory controls
								dependent ecosystems in vicinity of these pits.
Discharge of saline water for dust suppression activities	Discharge of saline dewatered groundwater to land	Direct discharge/ spill to lands causing decline/ death of vegetation and/or soil contamination	Native vegetation and associated ecological communities	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely Medium Risk	Y	Condition 4	The Delegated Officer considers the controls proposed by the Licence are likely to be sufficient to manage the risks associated with the re-use of dewatering effluent for dust suppression.
Dewatering pipeline rupture	Discharge of saline dewatered groundwater to land	Direct discharge/ spill to lands causing decline/ death of vegetation and/or soil contamination	Native vegetation and associated ecological communities	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Moderate L = Possible Medium Risk	Y	Condition 2, 3	The Delegated Officer considers the controls proposed by the Licence are likely to be sufficient to manage the risks associated with dewatering pipeline ruptures. These controls have been added to the licence, in conjunction with the existing conditions on the licence regarding dewatering pipeline management and inspection.

Note 1: Consequence ratings, likelihood ratings and risk descriptions are detailed in the Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments (DER 2017).

Note 2: Proposed Licence Holder's controls are depicted by standard text. Bold and underline text depicts additional regulatory controls imposed by department.

4. Consultation

Table 6 provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the department.

Table 6: Consultation

Consultation method	Comments received	Department response
Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DMIRS) advised of proposal (26/11/2020).	No comments received.	N/A
Licence Holder was provided with the draft amendment on 22 December 2020.	Email received from the Licence Holder on 4 January 2021 identifying minor administrative errors in the Licence and requesting to waive the consultation period.	Minor errors have been corrected.

5. Conclusion

Based on the assessment in this Amendment Report, the Delegated Officer has determined that a Revised Licence will be granted, subject to conditions commensurate with the determined controls and necessary for administration and reporting requirements.

5.1 Summary of amendments

Table 7 provides a summary of the proposed amendments and will act as record of implemented changes. All proposed changes have been incorporated into the Revised Licence as part of the amendment process. Table 8 provides a summary of the administrative amendments undertaken as part of the amendment.

Condition no.	Proposed amendments
1	Inclusion of additional approved dewatering discharge locations
2	Inclusion of an infrastructure table specifying the construction requirements for the turkeys nest, dewatering pipeline and mine pit dewatering discharge infrastructure
4	Inclusion of dewatered groundwater monitoring (volumetric discharge)

	_				_
Table 7.	Cummany	∩f	liconco	amo	ndmonte
	Summary	UL.	ncence	ante	mumerits

Table 8: Administrative amendments

Existing condition	Condition summary	Revised licence condition	Conversion notes
2 (and table 3)	Infrastructure maintenance	3 (and table 4)	Change in condition numbering following inclusion of a new condition 2.
3(a)	Monitoring – dewater water quality	5 (and table 6)	Revision of condition number and reformatting of condition to include monitoring requirements within condition rather than Schedule
3(b)	Monitoring – sediment and water quality	6 (and table 7)	Revision of condition number and reformatting of condition to include monitoring requirements within condition rather than Schedule
3(c)	Monitoring – aquatic biota and algae	9 (and table 8)	Revision of condition number and reformatting of condition to include monitoring requirements within condition rather than Schedule
3(d)	Monitoring – riparian vegetation	10 (and table 9)	Revision of condition number and reformatting of condition to include monitoring requirements within condition rather than Schedule
4	Monitoring – general	7	Restructure of licence conditions
5	Monitoring – aquatic biota	9	Restructure of licence conditions
6	Monitoring – riparian vegetation	10	Restructure of licence conditions
7	Reportable Events	11	Restructure of licence conditions
8 (and table 4)	Premises Operation	12 (and table 10)	Restructure of licence conditions
9	Windblown waste	13	Restructure of licence conditions
10	Vermin control	14	Restructure of licence conditions
11	Record keeping	15	Restructure of licence conditions

Existing condition	Condition summary	Revised licence condition	Conversion notes
12	Complaints	16	Restructure of licence conditions
13	Compliance report	17	Restructure of licence condition to separate compliance reporting with annual environment reporting
N/A	N/A	18 (and table 11)	Inclusion of separate condition relating to annual reporting of environmental monitoring data (as separate from compliance report)
14	Department request	19	Restructure of licence conditions
Schedule 3	Monitoring	Schedule removed – content inserted into conditions 6, 8, 9 and 10.	Restructure of licence conditions

References

- 1. Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2016, *Guidance Statement: Environmental Siting*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 2. DER 2017, Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments, Perth, Western Australia.
- 3. DER 2015, Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions, Perth, Western Australia.

Appendix 1: Application validation summary

SECTION 1: APPLICATION SUMMARY					
Application type					
Amondmont to ligance		Current licence number:	L9112/2018/1		
Amendment to licence	X	Relevant works approval number:		N/A	
Date application received		13 October 2020			
Applicant and Premises details					
Applicant name/s (full legal name/s)		Silver Lake (Integra) Pty Ltd			
Premises name		Aldiss Gold Project (Mount Monger Operations)			
Premises location		L28/55, M28/43, M28/171, M28/208 and M28/289			
Local Government Authority		City of Kalgoorlie Boulder			
Application documents					
HPCM file reference number:		DWERDT349916			
Key application documents (additional to application form):		Groundwater (Licence to Take) Discharge locations (map) Hydrogeological assessment for Tank deposit Hydrogeological assessment for Atreides deposit CPS 74529-2 Stakeholder Consultation Register			
Scope of application/assessment					
Summary of proposed activities or changes to existing operations.		 Installation and operation of additional dewatering infrastructure on the premises. Construction scope limited to the: installation of one new turkeys nest dam installation of additional 110mm poly pipelines to connect Tank/Atreides deposit and pipeline tie-ins to existing dewatering pipeline network Operational scope includes: dewatering from Tank/Atreides pit storage of dewatering water within turkeys nests use of dewatering water for dust suppression discharge of dewatering water to existing Harrys Hill and Karania Dit 			

Category number/s (activities that cause the premises to become prescribed premises)				
Table 1: Prescribed premises categories				
Prescribed premises category and description	Assessed production or design capacity	Proposed changes to the production or design capacity (amendments only)		
Category 6 – mine dewatering	Assessed existing production/design capacity 450,000 tonnes per annual period	N/A – no changes to throughout proposed. Proposed amendments to occur within existing approved production capacity		
Legislative context and other approvals				

Has the applicant referred, or do they intend to refer, their proposal to the EPA under Part IV of the EP Act as a significant proposal?	Yes □	No 🗵	Referral decision No: N/A Managed under Part V □ Assessed under Part IV □
Does the applicant hold any existing Part IV Ministerial Statements relevant to the application?	Yes □	No 🛛	Ministerial statement No: EPA Report No:
Has the proposal been referred and/or assessed under the EPBC Act?	Yes □	No 🗵	Reference No:
Has the applicant demonstrated occupancy (proof of occupier status)?	Yes ⊠	No 🗆	Certificate of title General lease Mining lease / tenement Expiry: expiry dates provided for existing mining tenements. Other evidence Expiry:
Has the applicant obtained all relevant planning approvals?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆 N/A 🛛	Approval: Expiry date: If N/A explain why? Activities occur within mining tenements.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing EP Act clearing permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes ⊠	No 🗆	CPS No: 7429 Administered by and granted by DMIRS
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing CAWS Act clearing licence in relation to this proposal?	Yes 🗆	No 🛛	Application reference No: N/A Licence/permit No:
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing RIWI Act licence or permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes ⊠	No 🗆	Application reference No: Licence/permit No: GWL 200692 Annual entitlement 450,000 kL Dewatering extraction approved for tenements M28/208 and M28/43 (applicable tenements for proposed dewatering activities) Re-use for dust suppression approved for tenements L25/46, L28/55, M28/208 and M28/43

Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the EP Act)?	Yes ⊠ No ⊠	Name: Goldfields Groundwater Area Type: Proclaimed Groundwater Area Has Regulatory Services (Water) been consulted? Yes □ No ⊠ N/A □ Regional office: Goldfields	
Is the Premises situated in a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA)?	Yes 🗆 No 🛛	Name: N/A Priority: N/A Are the proposed activities/ landuse compatible with the PDWSA (refer to <u>WQPN 25</u>)? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠	
Is the Premises subject to any other Acts or subsidiary regulations (e.g. Dangerous Goods Safety Act 2004, Environmental Protection (Controlled Waste) Regulations 2004, State Agreement Act xxxx)	Yes 🗆 No 🛛		
Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection Policy (EPP) Area?	Yes 🗆 No 🛛		
Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements?	Yes 🗆 No 🛛		
Is the Premises a known or suspected contaminated site under the <i>Contaminated Sites Act 2003</i> ?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Classification: N/A Date of classification: N/A	
Direct interest stakeholders			
City of Kalgoorlie Boulder	Letter to be sent Yes □ No ⊠		
DMIRS (updated Mining Proposal associated with the Tank and Atreides pit currently under assessment by DMIRS)		Letter to be sent Yes ⊠ No □	