



## Application for Licence Amendment

### Part V Division 3 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*

---

<b>Licence Number</b>	L8676/2012/1
<b>Licence Holder</b>	AngloGold Ashanti Australia Limited
<b>ACN</b>	008 737 424
<b>File Number</b>	2012/002666-3
<b>Premises</b>	<p>Tropicana Gold Mine</p> <p>Legal description –</p> <p>Within mining tenement M39/1096</p> <p>Shire of Menzies</p> <p>As defined by the Premises maps attached to the Revised Licence</p>
<b>Date of Report</b>	5 August 2021
<b>Decision</b>	Revised licence granted

**Lauren Edmands**  
**MANAGER RESOURCE INDUSTRIES**  
**REGULATORY SERVICES**  
an officer delegated under section 20 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (WA)

## Table of Contents

<b>1. Decision summary .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Scope of assessment .....</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 Regulatory framework .....	1
2.2 Application summary .....	1
2.2.1 Premises operations .....	1
2.2.2 TSF and seepage.....	2
2.3 Part IV of the EP Act.....	3
<b>3. Risk assessment.....</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1 Source-pathways and receptors .....	3
3.1.1 Emissions and controls .....	3
3.1.2 Receptors.....	4
3.2 Risk ratings.....	6
<b>4. Consultation .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5. Conclusion .....</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1 Summary of amendments.....	8
<b>References.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Application validation summary.....</b>	<b>13</b>
Table 1: Proposed throughput capacity changes.....	1
Table 2: Licence Holder controls.....	3
Table 3: Sensitive environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity .....	4
Table 4. Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises during operation.....	7
Table 5: Consultation .....	8
Table 6: Summary of licence amendments .....	8
Table 7: Conversion of Licence conditions to new format.....	9

## 1. Decision summary

Licence L8676/2012/1 is held by AngloGold Ashanti Australia Limited (Licence Holder) for the Tropicana Gold Mine (the Premises), located within mining tenement M39/1096 in the Shire of Menzies.

This Amendment Report documents the assessment of potential risks to the environment and public health from proposed changes to the emissions and discharges during the operation of the Premises. As a result of this assessment, Revised Licence L8676/2012/1 has been granted.

The Revised Licence has been granted in a new format with existing conditions being transferred, but not reassessed, to the new format.

## 2. Scope of assessment

### 2.1 Regulatory framework

In completing the assessment documented in this Amendment Report, the department has considered and given due regard to its Regulatory Framework and relevant policy documents which are available at <https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents>.

### 2.2 Application summary

On 10 May 2021, the Licence Holder submitted an application to the department to amend Licence L8676/2012/1 under section 59 and 59B of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act). This amendment seeks to increase the current Category 5 throughput by approximately 5%, being 0.5 Million tonnes per annum (Mtpa), to accommodate for an anticipated exceedance in the current Category 5 throughput due to minor efficiencies identified in the thickening stages of the Processing Plant. No construction works at the Premises are required as a part of this amendment as current site infrastructure has been deemed sufficient by the Licence Holder to manage the increase in processing throughput.

This amendment is limited only to changes to Category 5 activities from the Existing Licence. No changes to the aspects of the existing Licence relating to Category 12, 52, 64 and 73 have been requested by the Licence Holder.

Table 1 below outlines the proposed changes to the existing Licence.

**Table 1: Proposed throughput capacity changes**

Category	Current throughput capacity	Proposed throughput capacity	Description of proposed amendment
5	9 Mtpa	9.5 Mtpa	Increase to current Category 5 throughput by 0.5 Mtpa to accommodate an anticipated exceedance of the currently approved throughput.

#### 2.2.1 Premises operations

The Processing Plant at the premises uses a conventional carbon-in-leach (CIL) process, where gold mined from various locations within the premises is extracted from the ore utilising the following methods:

- Ore is fed into the primary crushing using haul trucks or loaders then conveyed to the coarse ore stockpile. Feeders then convey ore to the secondary crushing circuit where

coarse ore is crushed and screened and sent to high pressure grinding rolls (HPGR) to become 'fine ore';

- Fine ore is sent to the fine ore stockpile and is fed into the first ball mill, or stockpiled for emergency feed for when the HPGR is offline. To supplement mill feed, ore is also slurried and fed via a slurry feed line into the first ball mill;
- Once passed through the first ball mill, ore is transferred to the second ball mill to achieve a particle size of 65 µm (80% passing). The second ball mill discharges to cyclones to separate slurry into fine and coarse fractions. Undersized particles are passed through to the leach feed thickener and any trash is removed by trash screens;
- After thickening, ore slurry is pumped to leach tanks and CIL tanks where cyanide is added to liberate the gold into solution. Gold is then adsorbed onto activated carbon which is added towards the end of this process;
- Following adsorption, the slurry (tailings) is screened to remove any carbon and thickened before disposal to the Tailings Storage Facility (TSF);
- Carbon adsorbed with gold (loaded carbon) is transferred to an elution tank to undergo an acid soak/acid wash/acid rinse cycle prior to the cyanide/caustic addition stage where gold is desorbed. The now 'barren carbon' is reclaimed and transferred to the carbon regeneration kiln, where it is heated to 650-750 degrees prior to discharge to the carbon quench tank. Regenerated carbon is then sent to the CIL circuit for reuse;
- The 'pregnant' remaining solution is pumped to electrowinning cells where the gold electroplates onto steel wool, which is then placed into the gold room furnace where wool and gold is smelted before being poured into gold bars.

The Licence Holder has confirmed that current infrastructure and operational practices in place at the premises for the processing of gold ore are sufficient to accommodate for the expected increase in Category 5 throughput.

### 2.2.2 TSF and seepage

The TSF at the premises has been designed to store up to 75 Mt of tailings to a deposition height of 364 mRL. The design specifications of the TSF are as follows:

- A high density polyethylene (HDPE) liner over the area of the decant pond (permeability not greater than  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  m/s);
- A compacted low permeability soil liner of 200mm clay (permeability not greater than  $1 \times 10^{-8}$  m/s) elsewhere in the basin floor;
- Cut-off trench installed at the upstream toe;
- Herringbone finger drains installed above the line to assist drainage of water to the under drainage pumping system; and
- Supernatant water decants to the decant ponds where it is pumped back to the Processing Plant for recycling.

In support of this amendment, the Licence Holder has submitted a seepage review and groundwater modelling report for the TSF at the 9.5 Mtpa capacity. The report details the current seepage mitigation program in effect at the Premises, which was implemented in response to increasing groundwater levels around the TSF. A series of seepage recovery bores were installed around the TSF between November 2016 and March 2020, with 22 bores current in operation, abstracting approximately 180m<sup>3</sup>/hr of seepage. A seepage interception trench was also established on the north-eastern corner of the TSF where a lack of structural targets prevented the installation of sufficiently high yielding recovery bores. As a result of these mitigation measures, sustained control or reduction in groundwater levels has been

demonstrated over approximately 20 months as seepage removal is equal to or greater than seepage recharge to underlying groundwater.

Modelling conducted for the increase in processing throughput from 9 Mtpa to 9.5 Mtpa and the resulting increase in tailings discharge has found minimal impact on the receiving environment, with groundwater levels continuing to be maintained deeper than the current Licence limit of 4 mbgl through the current seepage mitigation program practices. The Licence Holder is also committed to continue annual vegetation health monitoring to assist in determining if any detrimental impacts from TSF seepage are occurring across the site.

The Licence Holder has also submitted a report detailing simulated TSF groundwater levels for the 9.5 Mtpa throughput. The result of this modelling indicates that groundwater levels surrounding the TSF will not increase above the 4 mbgl Licence limit. Actual site monitored water level results and the positive response seem from recovery bore pumping/trenching also indicates that the current seepage recovery infrastructure will be adequate to manage any groundwater mounding risks associated with the increase in Premises throughput.

## 2.3 Part IV of the EP Act

The Premises is also subject to the conditions within Ministerial Statement MS 839, issued under Part IV of the EP Act. The Ministerial Statement approves a mining rate of up to 75 Mtpa and ministerial conditions act to regulate ambient groundwater quality around the Tailings Storage Facility (TSF).

## 3. Risk assessment

The department assesses the risks of emissions from prescribed premises and identifies the potential source, pathway and impact to receptors in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk assessments* (DWER 2020).

To establish a Risk Event there must be an emission, a receptor which may be exposed to that emission through an identified actual or likely pathway, and a potential adverse effect to the receptor from exposure to that emission.

### 3.1 Source-pathways and receptors

#### 3.1.1 Emissions and controls

The key emissions and associated actual or likely pathway during premises operation which have been considered in this Amendment Report are detailed in Table 2 below. Table 2 also details the proposed control measures the Licence Holder has proposed to assist in controlling these emissions, where necessary.

**Table 2: Licence Holder controls**

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls
Dust	Operation of processing plant at increase throughput 9 Mtpa – 9.5 Mtpa	Air/windborne pathway causing impacts to health and amenity	Wet scrubbers and sprinkler systems deployed on crushing, screening and processing equipment to suppress emissions of fugitive dust.
Seepage from TSF	Source: 5% increase in material requiring crushing,	Seepage through the floor of the TSF resulting in rise	TSF is comprised of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• HDPE liner over the area of the decant pond;</li></ul>

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls
	screening, transferring, and stockpiling.	in groundwater level, inundation of the plant root zone with saline water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compacted 200 mm clay liner elsewhere on the basin floor;</li> <li>• Cut-off trench at the upstream toe; and</li> <li>• An underdrainage pumping system with herringbone finger drains installed above the line to assist with the drainage of water.</li> </ul> <p>Supernatant water decants to the decant ponds where it is pumped back to the Processing Plant for recycling.</p> <p>A seepage mitigation program is in place at the premises consisting of 22 recovery bores and an interception trench.</p>
Tailings / return water		Direct discharge to land: Overtopping of TSF	<p>The TSF at the premises has been designed to store up to 75 Mt of tailings to a deposition height of 364 mRL.</p> <p>Supernatant water decants to the decant ponds where it is pumped back to the Processing Plant for recycling.</p> <p>A 300mm freeboard is maintained within the TSF at all times in line with current premises operating conditions.</p>

### 3.1.2 Receptors

In accordance with the *Guideline: Risk assessments* (DWER 2020), the Delegated Officer has excluded employees, visitors and contractors of the Licence Holder's from its assessment. Protection of these parties often involves different exposure risks and prevention strategies, and is provided for under other state legislation.

Table 3 below provides a summary of potential environmental receptors that may be impacted as a result of activities upon or emission and discharges from the prescribed premises (*Guideline: Environmental siting* (DWER 2020)). As there are no human receptors within 150 km of the premises, human receptors are not considered within this report.

**Table 3: Sensitive environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity**

Environmental receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
Fauna	Threatened fauna <i>Leipoa ocellata</i> (malleefowl) has been sited within the premises boundary (3 km west of TSF) and immediately adjacent (west) of the premises.
Vegetation	<p>Vegetation occurs adjacent to the northwestern and northeastern embankments of the TSF. The southwestern and southeastern sides have been previously cleared for the Processing Plant and Mine Services Area (southwestern side) and main part of the LTA Waste Landform on the southeastern side of the TSF.</p> <p>There is no threatened flora within the prescribed premises, however priority flora does occur, with a population of the Priority</p>

	<p>3 <i>Acacia eremophila</i> occurring 1.2 km from the TSF.</p> <p>There are no Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) or Priority Ecological Communities (PECs) within the prescribed premises or within 35km of the TSF.</p> <p>Potentially groundwater dependent vegetation has been mapped near the Lake Rason chain of palaeodrainage lakes approximately 7km north of the TSF.</p>
Groundwater	<p>Pre-mining ground water levels near the TSF ranged between 15 and 20 meters below ground level (mbgl). Groundwater is predominately saline to hypersaline.</p> <p>Groundwater has mounded in the vicinity of the TSF but remains below the licence limit of 4mbgl. A system of recovery bores have been installed to manage mounding.</p> <p>The Licence Holder is the only groundwater user in the area. No other users of groundwater occur within 100 km of the Premises.</p>
Surface water	<p>Drainage is to the north-east toward a chain of ephemeral palaeochannel lakes (lakes are approximately 7 km north east of the TSF).</p> <p>There are no defined surface drainage features with runoff predominantly occurring as sheet wash.</p>

## 3.2 Risk ratings

Risk ratings have been assessed in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020) for those emission sources which are proposed to change and takes into account potential source-pathway and receptor linkages as identified in Section 3.1. Where linkages are incomplete they have not been considered further in the risk assessment.

Where the Licence Holder has proposed mitigation measures/controls (as detailed in Section 3.1), these have been considered when determining the final risk rating. Where the Delegated Officer considers the Licence Holder's proposed controls to be critical to maintaining an acceptable level of risk, these will be incorporated into the licence as regulatory controls.

Additional regulatory controls may be imposed where the Licence Holder's controls are not deemed sufficient. Where this is the case the need for additional controls will be documented and justified in Table 4.

The Revised Licence L8676/2012/1 that accompanies this Amendment Report authorises emissions associated with the operation of the Premises.

The conditions in the Revised Licence have been determined in accordance with *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions* (DER 2015).



**Table 4. Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises during operation**

Risk Event					Risk rating <sup>1</sup> C = consequence L = likelihood	Licence Holder's controls sufficient?	Conditions <sup>2</sup> of licence	Justification for additional regulatory controls
Source/Activities	Potential emission	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Licence Holder's controls				
<p>Operation of processing plant at increase throughput 9 Mtpa – 9.5 Mtpa (~5% increase)</p> <p>Source: 5% increase in material requiring crushing, screening, transferring, and stockpiling.</p>	Dust: increased crushing and screening of ore	Air/windborne pathway causing impacts to health and amenity	Undisturbed vegetation approximately 400 m from processing plant area.	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely <b>Medium Risk</b>	Y	Condition 15	For noting: The Delegated Officer considers that emissions of dust can also be regulated under the general provision of the EP Act.
	Increased seepage from TSF	Seepage through the floor of the TSF resulting in rise in groundwater level, inundation of plant root zone with saline water	Native vegetation occurs adjacent to the northwestern and northeastern embankments of the TSF.	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Moderate L = Unlikely <b>Medium Risk</b>	Y	Conditions 9, 12, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22	New premises maps have been requested in support of this amendment to clearly delineate emission and monitoring point references and provide greater clarity for ongoing operations.
	Tailings / return water	Direct discharge to land: Overtopping of TSF		Refer to Section 3.1	C = Moderate L = Rare <b>Medium Risk</b>	Y	Conditions 9, 10, 11 and 12	N/A

Note 1: Consequence ratings, likelihood ratings and risk descriptions are detailed in the *Guideline: Risk assessments* (DWER 2020).

Note 2: Proposed Licence Holder's controls are depicted by standard text. **Bold and underline text** depicts additional regulatory controls imposed by department.

## 4. Consultation

Table 5 provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the department.

**Table 5: Consultation**

Consultation method	Comments received	Department response
Licence Holder was provided with draft amendment 27 July 2021  Comments provided 5 August 2021	Please remove reference to the 'waste management area' and reinstate 'landfill area' as the revised Figure provided refers to the 'landfill area'.	Reference has been updated as requested.
	In 'Schedule 1: emission points', the table reference has not updated in line with new formatting.	Formatting error has been corrected.
	Updated Figures 2, 5 and 6 have been provided as requested by DWER.	Updated Figures have been incorporated into the Revised Licence.
	Coordinates for the premises boundary have been provided as requested.	Coordinates have been incorporated into Schedule 2 of the Revised Licence.
	In Section 2.2 of the Amendment Report, there is reference to the increase in throughput rate being due to minor 'inefficiencies' – this should be 'efficiencies'.	Typo has been corrected in the Amendment Report.

## 5. Conclusion

Based on the assessment in this Amendment Report, the Delegated Officer has determined that a Revised Licence will be granted, subject to conditions commensurate with the determined controls and necessary for administration and reporting requirements.

### 5.1 Summary of amendments

Table 6 provides a summary of the proposed amendments and will act as record of implemented changes. All proposed changes have been incorporated into the Revised Licence as part of the amendment process.

**Table 6: Summary of licence amendments**

Condition no.	Proposed amendments
N/A Prescribed premises throughput	Category 5 throughput increased from 9,00,000 tonnes per annual period to 9,500,000 tonnes per annual period.
Condition 4	Reference to correct figure in Schedule 1 included in Table 1.
Condition 9	Reference to correct figures in Schedule 1 included in Table 3.

Condition 21	Reference to correct figure in Schedule 1 included in Table.
N/A Schedule 1	Figures updated to include emission and monitoring points not currently demonstrated to align with references in Tables.
N/A Schedule 2	Updated coordinate set provided to align with more recent departmental requirements.

**Table 7: Conversion of Licence conditions to new format**

Existing condition	Condition summary	Revised licence condition	Conversion notes
N/A	Contents	N/A	Redundant section deleted from Licence.
1.1.1 1.1.2	Interpretation and definitions	N/A Interpretation section, definitions and Table 10	Redundant conditions. Revised to current licensing format.
1.1.3	Australian or other standard	N/A Interpretation section, Definitions and Table 1	Redundant condition. Revised to current licensing format.
1.1.4	Reference to code of practice	N/A Interpretation section, Definitions and Table 1	Redundant condition. Revised to current licensing format.
1.2.1	Pollution control and monitoring equipment	Condition 1	Revised to current licensing format.
1.2.2	Recovery and removal of spills	Condition 2	Revised to current licensing format.
1.3.1	Waste acceptance	Condition 3	Revised to current licensing format.
1.3.2 Table 1.3.1	Waste management	Condition 4 Table 1	Revised to current licensing format. Reference to correct Figure in Schedule 1 included.
1.3.3 Table 1.3.2	Cover requirements	Condition 5 Table 2	Revised to current licensing format.
1.3.4	Windblown waste	Condition 6	Revised to current licensing format.
1.3.5	No waste to be burnt	Condition 7	Revised to current licensing format.
1.3.6 Table 1.3.3	Containment infrastructure	Condition 9 Table 3	Revised to current licensing format.

Existing condition	Condition summary	Revised licence condition	Conversion notes
			Reference to correct Figures in Schedule 1 included.
1.3.7	Tyre storage area fire fighting water	Condition 8	Revised to current licensing format. Condition grouped with other waste conditions for ease of readability.
1.3.8	Pipelines	Condition 10	Revised to current licensing format.
1.3.9	Freeboard	Condition 11	Revised to current licensing format.
1.3.10 Table 1.3.4	Inspections of infrastructure	Condition 12 Table 4	Revised to current licensing format.
1.3.11 Table 1.3.5	Construction requirements	Condition 30 Table 9	Revised to current licensing format. Construction conditions moved to end of Licence for ease of readability.
1.3.12	Dust suppression	Condition 15	Revised to current licensing format. Condition grouped with other emission conditions for ease of readability.
1.3.13	Water from concrete batch plant	Condition 16	Revised to current licensing format. Condition grouped with other emission conditions for ease of readability.
1.3.14	Departures from construction requirements	Condition 31	Revised to current licensing format. Construction conditions moved to end of Licence for ease of readability.
1.3.15	Commissioning of power station	Condition 34	Revised to current licensing format. Construction conditions moved to end of Licence for ease of readability.
2.1.1 Table 2.2.1	Limit exceedances	Condition 22 Table 5	Revised to current licensing format.

Existing condition	Condition summary	Revised licence condition	Conversion notes
			Grouped with monitoring conditions for ease of readability. Reference to Section 2 of Licence removed as redundant to new format.
2.2.1	Emission points to air	Condition 13	Revised to current licensing format. Reference to correct Figure in Schedule 1 included.
3.1.1	Water samples	Condition 17	Revised to current licensing format.
3.1.2	Quarterly monitoring	Condition 18	Revised to current licensing format.
3.1.3	Calibration of monitoring equipment	Condition 19	Revised to current licensing format.
3.1.4	Calibration requirements not met	Condition 20	Revised to current licensing format.
3.2.1 Table 3.2.1	Monitoring ambient groundwater quality	Condition 21 Table 6	Revised to current licensing format. Reference to correct Figure in Schedule 1 included.
4.1.1	Information required by the Licence	Condition 23	Revised to current licensing format.
4.1.2	Person in charge of Premises	Condition 24	Revised to current licensing format.
4.1.3	AACR	Condition 25	Revised to current licensing format.
4.1.4	Complaints management	Condition 26	Revised to current licensing format.
4.2.1 Table 4.2.1	AER	Condition 27 Table 7	Revised to current licensing format.
4.2.2	AER additional information	Condition 28	Revised to current licensing format.
4.2.3	Construction compliance document submission	Condition 32	Revised to current licensing format. Condition grouped with other works conditions for ease of readability.

Existing condition	Condition summary	Revised licence condition	Conversion notes
4.2.4	Construction compliance document requirements	Condition 33	Revised to current licensing format.  Condition grouped with other works conditions for ease of readability.
4.3.1 Table 4.3.1	Notification	Condition 29 Table 8	Revised to current licensing format.
N/A	Schedule 1: Maps	Schedule 1: Maps	New maps provided to incorporate emission and monitoring points mentioned in Licence conditions.
N/A	Schedule 2: Prescribed Premises Categories	Schedule 2: Premises boundary	Prescribed premises categories incorporated onto front page of Licence in line with new formatting.  Updated coordinates provided to align with updated DWER requirements.
N/A	Schedule 3: Reporting and notification forms	Schedule 3: Reporting and notification forms	Revised to current licensing format.

## References

1. Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2015, *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions*, Perth, Western Australia.
2. Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2020, *Guideline: Environmental Siting*, Perth, Western Australia.
3. DWER 2020, *Guideline: Risk Assessments*, Perth, Western Australia.

## Appendix 1: Application validation summary

SECTION 1: APPLICATION SUMMARY (as updated from validation checklist)					
<b>Application type</b>					
Amendment to licence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Current licence number:	L8676/2012/1		
		Relevant works approval number:		N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
Date application received		10 May 2021			
<b>Applicant and Premises details</b>					
Applicant name/s (full legal name/s)		AngloGold Ashanti Australia Limited			
Premises name		Tropicana Gold Mine			
Premises location		Within mining tenement M39/1096			
Local Government Authority		Shire of Menzies			
<b>Application documents</b>					
HPCM file reference number:		2012/002666-3			
Key application documents (additional to application form):		Attachment 3B – Proposed Activities Attachment 8A – Seepage review and groundwater modelling Attachment 8B – Simulated TSF groundwater levels at 75 Mt capacity and 9.5 Mtpa throughput Attachment 8C – Risk assessment			
<b>Scope of application/assessment</b>					
Summary of proposed activities or changes to existing operations.		<p><b><u>Licence Amendment</u></b></p> <p><u>Increase Category 5 throughput from 9 to 9.5 Mtpa</u></p> <p>With minor efficiencies identified in the thickening stages of the Processing Plant, AngloGold Ashanti Australia Limited (AGAA) anticipates its Category 5 processing throughput / tailings deposition rate could exceed 9 Mtpa by the end of 2021. AGAA is seeking approval to incrementally increase its nominal throughput by up to 0.5 Mtpa to 9.5 Mtpa (~5% increase) for the current approved 75 Mt TSF (364 mRL). This will provide an adequate buffer to maintain Category 5 throughput compliance with Prescribed Premises Licence 8676/2012/1.</p> <p>No construction required.</p>			
<b>Category number/s (activities that cause the premises to become prescribed premises)</b>					
<b>Table 1: Prescribed premises categories</b>					
<b>Prescribed premises category and description</b>	<b>Assessed production or design capacity</b>	<b>Proposed changes to the production or design capacity</b>			
Category 5	9 Mtpa	9.5 Mtpa (an increase of 0.5 Mtpa).			
<b>Legislative context and other approvals</b>					
Has the applicant referred, or do they intend to refer, their proposal to the EPA	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Referral decision No:		

under Part IV of the EP Act as a significant proposal?		Managed under Part V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assessed under Part IV <input type="checkbox"/>
Does the applicant hold any existing Part IV Ministerial Statements relevant to the application?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Ministerial statement No: MS 839 EPA Report No: 1361
Has the proposal been referred and/or assessed under the EPBC Act?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference No:
Has the applicant demonstrated occupancy (proof of occupier status)?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of title <input type="checkbox"/> General lease <input type="checkbox"/> Expiry: Mining lease / tenement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expiry: 10/3/2036, M39/1096 Other evidence <input type="checkbox"/> Expiry:
Has the applicant obtained all relevant planning approvals?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Approval: Expiry date: Planning approval not required.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing EP Act clearing permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CPS No: N/A No clearing is proposed.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing CAWS Act clearing licence in relation to this proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Application reference No: N/A Licence/permit No: N/A No clearing is proposed.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing RIWI Act licence or permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Application reference No: Licence/permit No: Licence / permit not required.
Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the EP Act)?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Name: Goldfields Groundwater Area Type: Proclaimed Groundwater Area Has Regulatory Services (Water) been consulted? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional office: Goldfields
Is the Premises situated in a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA)?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: N/A Priority: N/A Are the proposed activities/ landuse compatible with the PDWSA (refer to <a href="#">WQPN 25</a> )? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



Is the Premises subject to any other Acts or subsidiary regulations (e.g. <i>Dangerous Goods Safety Act 2004, Environmental Protection (Controlled Waste) Regulations 2004, State Agreement Act xxxx</i> )	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Mining Act 1972</i> <i>Dangerous Goods Safety Act 2004</i>
Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection Policy (EPP) Area?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Is the Premises a known or suspected contaminated site under the <i>Contaminated Sites Act 2003</i> ?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Classification: Contaminated – remediation required (C-RR) Date of classification: 22/07/2015