

Argyle Diamond Mine Closure Project Level 2 93-95 William Street Perth 6000 Western Australia T + 61 (8) 9327 2000

Private and confidential

Manager - Industry Regulation (Resources North)
Department of Water and Environmental Regulation
Regulatory Services - Environment
Prime House, 8 Davidson Terrace
JOONDALUP WA 6027

29 March 2022

Our reference: CDM04-0000-NH-REP-00014

Your reference: L4459/1987/13

To whom it may concern

2021 Annual Audit Compliance Report for L4459 - Argyle Diamond Mine

Attached is the 2021 Annual Audit Compliance Report (AACR) for Argyle Diamond Mine as required by Condition 56 of L4459/1987/13.

This AACR covers the reporting period from 1 January to 28 May 2021.

Please contact if you have any queries.

Thank you



HSE Superintendent Argyle Diamond Mine Closure Project Level 2 93-95 William Street Perth 6000 M: +61 (0) 459 871 074

Annual Audit Compliance Report form

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A – Licence Details					
Licence Number:	L4459/1987/13 Licence File Number: DER2013/000649-1				
Licence Holder:	Argyle Diamonds Limited				
Trading as:	Argyle Diamonds				
ACN:	009 102 621				
Registered address:	Level 8, 1 William Street,	PERTH WA 6000			
Reporting period:	1 January 2021 to 28 May	2021			

Section B – Statement of compliance with licence conditions				
Did y	Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period?			
Yes		Please complete Sections C, D (if required) and sign the declaration in Section E		
No	\boxtimes	Please complete Sections C, D (if required), F and sign the declaration in Section E		

Section C – Statement of actual production Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period.*				
5	Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non-metallic ore	0 tonnes		
6	Mine Dewatering	0 tonnes		
52	Electric power generation	10 MWh* *Note this from 01 January – 30 June 2021		
54	Sewage facility	0 cubic meters per day		
57	Used tyre storage (general)	5 Tyres		
63	Class I inert landfill site	59,995.13 Tonnes		
	Class II putrescible landfill site	610.12 tonnes		
73	Bulk storage of chemical	5950 Litres		

^{*}Supporting information provided in the relevant conditions of the 2021 Annual Environment Report

Section D – Statement of actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity					
Provide the a	Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period.*				
Category	Premises description Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity (2021)				
6	Mine dewatering	0 tonnes			

^{*}Supporting information provided in the relevant conditions of the 2021 Annual Environment Report

Section E – Declaration					
I declare that the information in this Annual Audit Compliance Report is true and correct and is not false or misleading in a material particular ¹ . I consent to the Annual Audit Compliance Report being published on the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation's (DWER) website.					
Signature ² :					
Name: (printed)					
Position:					
Date:	29/03/2022				

2021 AACR L4459 Page | 1

¹ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

² AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during					
Condition number:	ne reporting period. condition number: 44 Date(s) of non-compliance: 15/2/2021 – 2/5/2021			 21	
Details of non-complia	nce:				
Discharge of water from	LCDM (Jacko's	Dam) in exceedance of criteria as բ	per licence condition	44.	
What was the actual (o	r suspected) er	vironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?		
were observed at downs	tream sample lo	ncident was considered negligible. cations (LCSPRGS & LCLBGS), w ent with historical values.			
Cause (or suspected ca	ause) of non-co	ompliance:			
	020), resulting in	10 mm between Nov 2020 & March n increased runoff and seepage in eria.			
Cessation of mining and dewatering prevented dilution of seepage water in Jacko's Dam, leading to criteria exceedance. Decommissioning of infrastructure and processing facilities as part of closure decreased the need for water onsite, and water that was previously recycled through processing water use had to be discharged.					
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:					
Following the initial overflow results (15/2/2021), the sampling regime was increased from fortnightly, as per the Licence criteria, to two samples per week at the discharge point (LCDM) and downstream locations (LCSPRGS & LCLBGS), with daily ongoing field readings collected at LCDM, until overflow ceased. These results were closely monitored for adverse environmental impact downstream.					
Rainfall, seepage inputs (ICI and TWRD) and Jacko's Dam water quality data was analysed to understand the drivers of the exceedance and the relationship with water quality in the downstream environment, including review of the telemetry data at LCSPR. This analysis provided an understanding of the performance of Jacko's Dam as a neutralisation point following closure of the operation and an evaluation of the risk to the downstream environment in the interim period between now and when the long-term closure water management strategy is implemented in 2023.					
Due to the removal of infrastructure as part of mine closure and Make Safe activities in Late 2020, water was unable to be diverted from Jacko's Dam immediately to prevent overflow. To reduce the likelihood of recurrence, a solution to pump water from Jacko's Dam to the former underground mine infrastructure, to prevent overflowing, was proposed to DWER during 2021.					
Was this non-complian	ice reported to	the DWER?			
	WER verbally	Date:		□ No	

2021 AACR L4459 Page | 2

Date: 11/03/2021

⊠ Reported to DWER in writing

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition				
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition number:	55	Date(s) of non-compliance:	8/1/2021	
Details of non-complia	nce:			
Overflow of the process	water tanks cau	sing discharge of 2,401 L of water to	o the environment.	
What was the actual (o	r suspected) er	nvironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?	
		l impact. A sample of the discharge water did not reach Limestone Cree		d and results
Cause (or suspected c	ause) of non-co	ompliance:		
Water was redirected t (RCP2B).	o prevent the o	ongoing discharge from licenced o	discharge point Recl	aimed Pond 2B
Automation of water redirection based on tank capacity had been switched off allowing the tank to overflow. Manual change to cease overflow was not implemented until observed.				
Water use decreased due to cessation of processing and altered water redirection due to decommissioning of pumping infrastructure.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
 Once observed water was immediately redirected to RCP2B (licenced discharge point). A sample of discharged water was collected and analysed, returning results within Licence criteria. Updated water balance model following decommissioning of infrastructure and Trigger Action Response Plan (TARP) was created for ongoing overflow of RCP2B. Management of change/escalation process enforced and implemented for adhoc work requests. 				
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?				
Yes: Reported to D	WER verbally	Date:		⊠ No
Reported to D	WER in writing	Date:		

2021 AACR L4459 Page | 3

Section F – Details of non-compliance with licence condition					
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.					
Condition number:	ondition number: 31 Date(s) of non-compliance: January – December 2021			er 2021	
Details of non-complia	nce:				
Volumetric flow method	calculation unab	le to be calculated from Gap Creek	Sump, as per conditi	ion requirement.	
What was the actual (o	r suspected) er	nvironmental impact of the non-c	ompliance?		
There was no evidence	of adverse envir	onmental impact.			
Cause (or suspected c	ause) of non-co	ompliance:			
Volumetric estimates previously calculated by Telemetry/Hydrologist contractor. Due to third party contractor service issues these volumes were unable to be provided for 2021.					
Action taken to mitigat non-compliance:	Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
There were no adverse	effects associate	d with the non-compliance requiring	g mitigation.		
The volumes were instead estimated using monthly volumetric methods based on percentage difference between overflow, water use and rainfall comparisons from 2018, 2019 and 2020. The percentage differences were then calculated for 2021 and used to provide the figure for Gap Creek Sump overflow in 2021.					
A new third party telemetry/hydrologist contractor was engaged during H2 2021 and will provide volumetric flows for the 2022 reporting period.					
Was this non-compliance reported to the DWER?					
Yes: Reported to D	WER verbally	Date:		⊠ No	
☐ Reported to D	WER in writing	Date:			

2021 AACR L4459 Page | 4