

Amendment Notice 3

Licence Number	L8889/2015/1
Licence Holder	Eastern Metropolitan Regional Council
File Number:	DER2015/000777
Premises	Red Hill Waste Management Facility Lot 11 on Diagram 69105, Lot 2 on Diagram 68630 and Lot 1 on Diagram 15239 Toodyay Road, Red Hill and Lot 12 on Plan 26468 Toodyay Road, Gidgegannup.
Date of Amendment	09 July 2018

Amendment

The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) has amended the above Licence in accordance with section 59 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* as set out in this Amendment Notice. This Amendment Notice constitutes written notice of the amendment in accordance with section 59B(9) of the EP Act.

Date signed: 9 July 2018

Manager Waste Industries Regulatory Services (Environment)

an officer delegated under section 20 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)

Definitions and interpretation

Definitions

In this Amendment Notice, the terms in Table 1 have the meanings defined.

Table 1: Definitions

Term	Definition
AER	Annual Environment Report
Application	Licence amendment application submitted by EMRC on the 14 November 2017 seeking Part V approval to construct and operate three (3) leachate ponds (one holding pond and two evaporation ponds) to manage excess leachate currently being stored in the decommissioned Class IV cell. Ultimately these ponds will be used to store and manage all other existing and future leachate generated from Class III landfill cells at the site in a centralised system. The information provided as part of the Application is documented
	in Table 2.
Category/ Categories/ Cat.	categories of Prescribed Premises as set out in Schedule 1 of the EP Regulations
CEO	means Chief Executive Officer.
	CEO for the purposes of notification means:
	Director General Department Administering the <i>Environmental Protection Act</i> <i>1986</i> Locked Bag 33 Cloisters Square PERTH WA 6850 <u>info-der@dwer.wa.gov.au</u>
CS Act	Contaminated Sites Act 2003 (WA)
Delegated Officer	an officer under section 20 of the EP Act
DWER	Department of Water and Environmental Regulation
EMRC	Eastern Metropolitan Regional Council
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority
EP Act	Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)
EP Regulations	Environmental Protection Regulations 1987 (WA)
Existing Licence	The Licence issued under Part V, Division 3 of the EP Act and in force prior to the commencement of and during this Review

Hydraulic conductivity	A property or measurement that describes the flow of a liquid (usually water) through pore spaces or fractures, per unit of energy gradient
Licence Holder / Licensee	Eastern Metropolitan Regional Council
MS	Ministerial Statement
Occupier	has the same meaning given to that term under the EP Act.
Prescribed Premises	has the same meaning given to that term under the EP Act.
Premises	refers to the Premises to which this Amendment Notice applies, as specified at the front of this Notice.
Risk Event	as described in Guidance Statement: Risk Assessment
Works	refers to the Works specified in this Amendment Notice to be carried out at the Premises.

Amendment Notice

This amendment is made pursuant to section 59 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) to amend the Exiting Licence L8889/2015/1 issued under the EP Act for a prescribed Premises as set out below. This notice of amendment is given under section 59B(9) of the EP Act.

This notice is limited only to an amendment for Category 64 and 65 in relation to the proposed construction and operation of three (3) leachate ponds (1 holding pond and 2 evaporation ponds). No changes to the aspects of the Existing Licence relating to Category 12, 62 and 627A have been requested by the Licensee.

The following guidance statements have informed the decision made on this amendment

- Guidance Statement: Regulatory Principles (July 2015);
- Guidance Statement: Decision Making (February 2017);
- Guidance Statement: Risk Assessment (February 2017);
- Guidance Statement: Land Use Planning (February 2017);
- Guidance Statement: Environmental Siting (November 2016); and
- Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions (October 2015).

Application details

On 14 November 2017 the Eastern Metropolitan Regional Council (EMRC) submitted an Application to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) to amend Licence L8889/2015/1 for the Red Hill Waste Management Facility (Red Hill WMF).

The scope of the application relates to the proposed construction and operation of three (3) leachate ponds (one holding pond and two evaporation ponds) to manage excess leachate currently being stored in the decommissioned Class IV cell.

During the validation of the Application (pre-acceptance) the Delegated Officer determined that further information was required to allow DWER to complete risk-based assessment. EMRC was formally requested to provide additional information on 1 December 2017; EMRC provided the requested information to DWER on 22 December 2017 and 15 January 2018. Additional clarification on technical aspects was also requested as part of the assessment process.

Table 2 lists the documents and information provided with the Application and that were submitted during the assessment process.

Documents and information submitted as part of the Applica	ation
--	-------

Doc	cument/information description	Date received
1	Licence Application Form (EMRC,14 November 2017)	14 November 2017
	 The following attachments were included with the Application Form: Attachment 2 – Maps; and Attachment 3A – <i>Technical Specification Red Hill Waste</i> Management Facility – Leachate Pond Construction (October 2017, Talis). Amendment Fee Calculator (Excel spreadsheet) 	
2	EMRC Response to Information Request (EMRC, 22 December 2017)	22 December 2017.
	 The following attachments were included with in the EMRC response: Attachment 1 – Letter Report: <i>Design Principles of Evaporation</i> <i>Ponds at Red Hill Waste Management Facility</i> (Talis, 22 December 2017); Attachment 2 – Aspects and Impacts Register (EMRC, Undated – Document No. 00000-ENV-PL-001); Attachment 3 – Letter correspondence dated 7 December 2017 between Kott Gunning Lawyers and EMRC providing legal opinion on development approval requirements under the <i>Planning and Development Act 2005</i> for the installation of leachate ponds; Attachment 4 – Letter correspondence dated 8 December 2017 between EMRC and the City of Swan regarding development approval requirements; Attachment 5 – Email correspondence dated 15 December 2017 between EMRC and the City of Swan in relation to consultation on the proposal; and Attachment 6 – Site Plan depicting groundwater, surface water, and 	
	leachate monitoring locations (EMRC, 6 November 2017).	45 1 0040
3	Additional technical information in response to DWER information request dated 1 December 2017: Letter Report: Stability Assessment of Evaporation Ponds at Red Hill Waste Management Facility (Talis, 11 January 2018).	15 January 2018
4	Email correspondence dated 15 February 2017 between EMRC and DWER	15 February 2018
	providing clarification on the use on Factors of Safety (FoS) in the Stability Assessment.	
5	Email correspondence dated 1 May 2018 from ERMC to DWER confirming hydraulic conductivity performance of proposed liner components for leachate ponds	1 May 2018

Amendment description

(EMRC) (Licensee) proposes to construct and operate three (3) leachate ponds (one holding pond and two evaporation ponds) to manage excess leachate currently being stored in the decommissioned Class IV cell.

Initially the ponds will be used to manage approximately 50,000-60,000 m³ of leachate currently being stored in the decommissioned Class IV cell. Once all the leachate has been successfully removed from the decommissioned Class IV cell, the proposed leachate ponds

would then be used to manage all other existing and future leachate generated from Class III (or lower) landfills at the site in a centralised leachate management system. The Class IV cell would then be recommissioned to be an operational Class IV landfill cell.

The leachate ponds are proposed to be constructed on the south-eastern corner of the site within Lot 12 on Plan 26468 Toodyay Road, Gidgegannup; being the most eastern Lot of the Prescribed Premises (refer to Figure 1, Attachment 1). The proposed footprint is currently used to stockpile clay fill materials.

Scope of works for construction

The proposed scope of works as outlined in the Application is limited to the following:

- General earthworks to create voids for new leachate ponds (one Holding Pond and two Evaporation Ponds);
- Installation of 500mm thick Engineered Clay Layer across the base and side slopes;
- Installation of the geosynthetic lining system across the base and side slopes:
 - Geosynthetic Clay Liner (GCL); and
 - o 2mm High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) Double Textured Geomembrane;
- Leak detection survey following the installation of the geomembrane;
- Installation of safety netting on the interior face of the evaporation ponds; and
- Construction and installation of surface water management infrastructure and fencing.

The Licensee is not proposing any changes to the design or throughput capacity of the existing landfill cells from which leachate is generated.

Key personnel or parties involved in the project

A summary of key personnel or parties involved in delivering the project is outlined below (sourced from *Technical Specification* (October 2017, Talis)):

- Superintendent acting on-behalf of the Principal, will serve as a single point of contact for the design engineer, Contractor and CQA consultant;
- Design Engineer provides design engineering services for earthworks. Any proposed changes during construction is to be signed off by the Design Engineer;
- CQA Consultant is an independent party not affiliated with the contractor, subcontractors, suppliers or manufacturers. The CQA consultant may be the design engineer. Has the overall responsibility for managing, coordinating and implementing the CQA activities and confirming that the Contractor's construction quality control activities are performed in accordance with the CQA Plan, construction drawings and technical specifications.
- Contractor is responsible for the timely construction of the project, as delineated in the design drawings and technical specifications and in accordance with the CQA Plan;
- Resin Supplier produces and delivers the resin to the geosynthetics manufacturer
- Geosynthetics Manufacturer responsible for the production of finished material from appropriate raw materials. The geosynthetic manufacturer reports to the geosynthetics installer
- Geosynthetics Installer responsible for field handling, storage, placement, seaming, loading or anchoring against wind uplift and other aspects of the geosynthetic material installation; and
- Materials Testing Laboratory CQA consultant may engage a materials testing

laboratory independent form the Contractor, subcontractors, or any material supplier or manufacturer. The laboratory may conduct tests on representative samples to evaluate their properties and compliance with the technical specifications.

Pond design specifications

Pond sizing

The three leachate ponds have a combined operational volumetric capacity of 64,504m³ and a maximum operational evaporation/catchment area of 34,253m².

Table 3 provides a breakdown of respective pond sizes. The dimensions of the respective ponds are provide in Design Drawing 1 in the proposed Schedule 4 presented in this Notice.

Pond	Operational Capacity m ³ (assuming 500mm freeboard)	Catchment Area m ²
Holding Pond 1	36,294	12,780
Evaporation Pond 1	20,363	15,053
Evaporation Pond 2	7847	6,420
Total	64,504 m ³	34,253 m ²

Table 2: Documents and information submitted as part of the Application

EMRC are proposing to transfer the entire volume of leachate within the decommissioned Class IV Cell to the new leachate ponds, and subsequently evaporate it as soon as practicable. The reported volume of leachate within the Class IV landfill is currently estimated to be approximately 45,000m³. Annual rainfall and pan evaporation data have been used in an evaporation pond algorithm/model to evaluate timeframes for managing this volume of leachate.

Within the model the average and 90th percentile rainfall data was used (records from the onsite weather station from 2006-2016 were used). Historical records of pan evaporation rates were sourced from the Perth Airport Weather Station (ID: 009021). The average and 90th percentile annual rainfall at Red Hill is reported as 843.3 millimetres (mm) and 940.9mm, respectively; the annual of pan evaporation rate was recorded as 2057.8 mm. The following additional factors were considered in the model:

- 500mm operational freeboard;
- no rainfall within an evaporation pond's catchment area was lost to run-off;
- the actual evaporation rate was assumed to be 80% of the potential pan evaporation rate; and
- the evaporation area was set at 1.0m from the top of each pond.

The model considered the volumes within the ponds for a given month including the initial leachate inputs/transfer from the Class IV Cell, the remaining leachate from the previous month, if any and the rainfall within the catchment area, using both the average and 90th percentile rainfall data. No inputs of leachate from other infrastructure on-site was considered as part of the model so that EMRC could consider timeframes for managing and evaporating excess leachate currently stored in the decommission Class IV Cell. Based on the modelled results 45,000m³ of leachate could potentially evaporate within a 2-3 year period.

Once the initial surplus has evaporated EMRC propose to use the new leachate ponds to manage all other existing and future leachate generated at the site in a centralised leachate management system; with the exception of leachate produced from Class IV cells which will be managed in the existing Class IV leachate pond. EMRC have indicated that there are currently seven small leachate ponds on-site which have a total storage capacity of 30,000m³. With the construction of the new ponds these existing leachate ponds will be progressively decommissioned and all leachate directed to the new ponds.

EMRC have indicated that the volume of leachate generated at Red Hill has been estimated using historic climate data and the Hydrologic Evaluation of Landfill Performance (HELP) model. Based on the modelling results, a leachate volume of 4,000 – 7,000m³ is estimated to be generated from the capped and uncapped landfill areas on-site. This is a much smaller volume than that modelled above therefore sufficient capacity will be available in the new leachate ponds.

Stability assessment

EMRC commissioned a stability assessment (Talis, 11 January 2018) to be undertaken in support of the proposal; a summary of the assessment is provided below:

Source: *Stability Assessment of Evaporation Ponds at Red Hill Waste Management Facility* (Talis 11 January 2018); and additional clarification from EMRC/Talis provided to DWER on 15 February (via email) regarding the use of Factors of Safety (FoS) in the Stability Assessment.

- The stability analysis program SLIDE 7.030 from RocScience was used to analyse the sections using the Bishop simplified and Morgenstern-Price forms of analysis;
- The cross section 'XSECT B' (refer to Schedule 4 of proposed amendments in this notice *Design Drawing 1*) has been analysed in its two component parts, the southern outer embankment and the northern embankment and cut slope;
- The sections were analysed under non-operational conditions, operational conditions (pond full) and operational conditions with pseudo-static seismic loading. The pseudo-static seismic return periods considered were:

1:500 - Operating Base Earthquake (OBE)

1:1000 - Maximum Design Earthquake (MDE)

1:2000 - Maximum Credible Earthquake (MCE)

- For the limit state equilibrium analyses, a factor of safety of ≥1.3 is considered appropriate when using peak shear strength parameters under static loading. A factor of safety of ≥1.1 under earthquake loading for an operating base earthquake, and a factor of safety of ≥1.0 for a maximum design earthquake and maximum credible earthquake;
- Calculated factors for safety under static loading ranged from 1.760 to 2.599, and therefore in excess of the minimum acceptable value of 1.3, and are considered acceptable;
- Calculated factors for safety under OBE pseudo-static loading ranged from 1.594 to 1.725, and therefore in excess of the minimum acceptable value of 1.1, and are considered acceptable;
- Calculated factors for safety under MDE pseudo-static loading ranged from 1.462 to 1.586, and therefore in excess of the minimum acceptable value of 1.1, and are considered acceptable.
- Calculated factors for safety under MCE pseudo-static loading ranged from 1.162 to 1.270, and therefore in excess of the minimum acceptable value of 1.0, and are considered acceptable

It was also noted that the leachate ponds are not considered to be permanent features within the landform, and will be subsequently decommissioned during the post-closure period of the site when they are no longer required.

Liner design specifications

The liner for each pond is to consist of the following configuration:

- 500mm thick Engineered Clay Attenuation Layer based on characterisation test results, the clay material to be used exhibits a hydraulic conductivity ranging from 6.449 x 10⁻⁹ m/s to 8.531 x 10⁻⁹ m/s;
- Geosynthetic Clay Liner (GCL) hydraulic conductivity of $\leq 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ m/s; and
- 2mm High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) Double Textured Geomembrane the hydraulic conductivity of a HDPE liner is typically in the order of 1 x 10⁻¹⁴ to 1 x 10⁻¹⁵ m/s

The General Arrangement Plan for the proposed leachate ponds is provided in *Design Drawing 1* (refer to the proposed *Schedule 4* in this notice). The anchor trench and lining detail is presented in *Design Drawing 1* (refer to *Schedule 4* in this notice).

Water level measurements from eight (8) on-site monitoring bores (average wet season groundwater levels based on the June-October monitoring data 2012-2017) were used to estimate separation distances between groundwater and the lowest base elevation of the leachate ponds. Based on these records, groundwater levels have been estimated to be greater than 6.4m below the base elevation in all three ponds. The estimated separation distances are shown in cross sections in *Design Drawing 3 and 4* (refer to *Schedule 4* in this notice). *Design Drawing 2* shows the respective transects for each sections depicted in *Design Drawing 3 and 4*.

A leak detection survey is proposed to be carried out following installation of the geomembrane with the exposed surface being surveyed using a water lance or arc testing method. All detected anomalies will be investigated and repaired, where required. Following repair, the local area will be resurveyed to verify repair work and ensure the defect was not masking further defects. Leak detection survey results are to be reported in the certification report as outlined below.

Construction Quality Assurance Plan

A Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) Plan has been provided in the Application (as part of the Technical Specification (October 2017, Talis)). The CQA Plan details the testing methods and quality assurance procedures to supply and install leachate ponds at the site with specific regard to the scope of works as outlined above.

At the completion of the work, the CQA consultant is to submit to the Superintendent a signed final certification report. The report will document that work has been performed in compliance with the construction documents (Technical Specification (October 2017, Talis)) and will outline that physical sampling and testing has been conducted at the appropriate frequencies specified in the CQA Plan and the required CQA documentation has been completed. As built drawings will also form part of the certification report.

Critical hold points for completed works are listed in Section 2.6 of the *Technical Specification* (October 2017, Talis) which requires the Superintendent and/or the CQA Consultant to check and sign-off before subsequent works can commence – these hold points are summarised in Table 3 below:

Hold Point Number	Item	Reference in <i>Technical Specification</i> (October 2017, Talis)
1	Approval of Traffic Management Plan	Section 2.13
2	Approval of Formation Surface	Section 4.5.8
3	Approval of Engineered Clay Attenuation Layer Field Trial	Section 4.7.2.1
4	Approval of Engineered Clay	Section 4.7.3

Table 3: Construction hold points

Hold Point Number	Item	Reference in <i>Technical Specification</i> (October 2017, Talis)	
	Attenuation Layer Testing		
5	Approval of Engineered Clay Attenuation Layer Installed Thickness	Section 4.7.5	
6	Approval of Engineered Clay Attenuation Surface	Section 6.4.1	
7	Approval of GCL Conformance Testing	Section 6.5.2	
8	Approval of GCL Installation	Section 6.5.3	
9	Approval of HDPE Conformance Testing	Section 6.5.7	
10	Approval of HDPE Non-destructive Testing	Section 6.5.10.1	
11	Approval of HDPE Destructive Testing Section 6.5.10.2	Section 6.5.10.2	
12	Approval of Leak Detection Testing	Section 7	

Operational aspects

Transfer of leachate

EMRC proposes to install a C220 Transfer Pump to transfer all the leachate from the decommissioned Class IV cell to the new leachate ponds. To convey leachate, PN 10/160mm pipe will be installed with joints electrofusion welded.

Smaller transfer pumps will be permanently positioned within respective ponds to transfer leachate between the holding pond and the two evaporation ponds to keep levels at an ideal level for optimum evaporation.

Assisted evaporation

The existing assisted evaporation currently operating at the Class IV cell was originally proposed to be relocated along with the generator and control station as part of the Application.

DWER understands that a mechanical evaporator was installed and fully commissioned within the Class IV cell in April 2015 (EMRC, March 2016 - *Red Hill Annual Monitoring & Compliance Report 2015*). The installation of this equipment was not approved by the Department at the time.

On 19 June 2018, EMRC advised DWER to remove this component from the Application as EMRC was gathering further technical information relating to the activity and would seek approval separately (see additional information in Appendix 2).

Operational freeboard

The leachate levels in all ponds are to be monitored daily and a 500mm freeboard maintained at all times. Pumping infrastructure is in place to relocate leachate to other ponds should this be required to maintain the 500mm freeboard.

Stormwater management/diversions measures

Stormwater diversion drains will be installed around the perimeter of the proposed leachate ponds cell and all drains will be kept clear of any waste to ensure clear drainage and reduce the risk of contamination. Diverted stormwater will be directed to on-site stormwater storage ponds where sediment and nutrients are given a chance to settle. Diversion drains and associated storage ponds are depicted in Attachment 1 (Figure 2).

Surface water and groundwater monitoring program

Red Hill Waste Management Facility is located on the divide of three surface water catchments; Christmas Tree Creek which enters Jane Brook, Susannah Brook and Strelley Brook. The proposed leachate ponds lie within the surface water catchment of Christmas Tree Creek with groundwater flowing in a southerly to south-westerly direction.

A network of monitoring bores already exists in close proximity to the perimeter of the proposed leachate ponds. This network consists of monitoring bores SP43S, FMB1 and FMB3 which are located cross to up-hydraulic gradient of the proposed leachate ponds and will provide background levels to monitor down-hydraulic gradient contaminant levels against. Monitoring bores SP4D, SP36D, SP37D, SP42D and SP46D are located down-hydraulic gradient from the proposed leachate ponds. EMRC have indicated that water quality results will be compared to historical data to determine any potential discharge of leachate constituents from the leachate ponds.

A map of the current groundwater, surface water and leachate monitoring points is provided in Attachment 1 (Figure 3).

DWER notes that the Existing Licence does not currently include requirements to monitor surface water or groundwater. As outlined in the Section 'Legislative context – Part IV of the EP Act' (in this Notice – see below) the Premises is currently subject to several Ministerial Statements (MS) under Part IV of the EP Act, of which there are requirements relating to surface water and groundwater management and monitoring for the site.

In accordance with Ministerial Statement requirements groundwater at the Premises is currently monitored on a quarterly basis and analysed for a range or inorganic and organic parameters. Information provided in a previous amendment application (refer to Amendment Notice 1 dated 6 September 2017) indicates that there are currently 47 bores that are monitored around the facility. EMRC has historically provided a summary of the results obtained from these ongoing monitoring programs within the annual monitoring reports provided in accordance with condition G3 of the Existing Licence.

Legislative context – Part IV of the EP Act

The Premises is currently subject to three Ministerial Statements (MS) under Part IV of the EP Act. In regulating the Premises under Part V, Division 3 of EP Act, DWER will seek to avoid duplication of requirements imposed under Part IV. Pursuant to section 59B(7) of the EP Act, DWER will also not amend a Part V licence to be contrary to, or otherwise than in accordance with, an implementation agreement or decision.

A summary of the respective Ministerial Statements is provided below:

- MS 274 (15 July 1992) Relates to the Red Hill Waste Management Facility Extension;
- MS 462 (21 November 1997) Relates to the establishment of Class IV waste disposal cells at the existing Red Hill Waste Management Facility; and
- MS 976 (9 July 2014) Relates to the proposal to construct and operate a resource recovery facility within the existing Red Hill Waste Management Facility, for the processing of waste to produce energy, using either anaerobic digestion or gasification technology.

MS 274 and MS 462 are the main Statements that relate to the construction, operation and post closure management of waste handling and landfilling aspects at the Red Hill Waste Management Facility.

As part of the Part V assessment, on 29 November 2017 DWER referred the Application to EPA Services to advise whether changes where required to the existing MS under Part IV of

the EP Act.

EPA Services subsequently met with EMRC on 13 December 2017 regarding the proposal and to discuss whether approval under Part IV of the EP Act was required. Following this, on 4 January 2018, EPA Services advised EMRC that the proposed construction of the leachate ponds would be inconsistent with MS 274 and MS 462 and consequently approval under Part IV (section 45C) of the EP Act is required.

On 24 April 2018 EMRC submitted to DWER (EPA Services) a request to change a proposal under section 45c of the EP Act. The proposed changes only relate to MS 274.

On 26 June 2018 the EPA approved the proposed changes in relation to MS 274. EPA concluded that the changes were unlikely to result in a significant detrimental effect of the environment in addition to, or different from, the effect of the original proposal. The approved changes included:

- the incorporation of part of Lot 12 of the Red Hill Waste Management Facility into the authorised extent of MS 274;
- construction and operation of landfill cells within part of Lot 12 as per Licence L8889; and
- to construct separately located leachate ponds on Lot 12 where:
 - $\circ~$ one area is used to store leachate generated from the greenwaste processing area; and
 - o one area is used to store leachate generated from Class III cells.

The leachate currently stored in the decommissioned Class IV cell is of a quality consistent with that derived from Class III landfills and therefore is suitable to be transferred into the new leachate ponds. Once the Class IV cell is operational, associated leachate will be managed within existing dedicated Class IV leachate ponds.

No other changes were made to conditions of MS 274.

Legislative context - Contaminated Sites Act 2003

The Premises is classified as '*Contaminated - remediation required*' under the *Contaminated Sites Act 2003*. The reasons for classifications state that groundwater beneath the southern portion of the site has been impacted by landfill leachate and contains metals and nutrients.

Amendment history

Table 4 provides the amendment history for L8889/2015/1

Table 4: Licence amendments for L8889/2015/1

Instrument	Issued	Amendment
L8889/2015/1	17/03/2016	Construction of a green-waste processing hardstand pad and associated relocation of existing green-waste processing operations.
L8889/2015/1	06/09/2017	Amendment Notice 1 – approval to accept and bury PFAS contaminated solid waste in existing Class III landfill cells (Farm Stage 1 and 2 and Stage 15).
L8889/2015/1	01/05/2018	Amendment Notice 2 – approval to accept and store paint wastes and updates to landfill acceptance criteria for PFAS impacted solid wastes (Special Waste Type 3).
L8889/2015/1	DRAFT	Amendment Notice 3 – construction and operation of three (3) leachate ponds (1 holding pond and 2 evaporation ponds) to manage excess leachate

	currently being stored in the decommissioned Class IV cell. Ultimately these ponds will be used to store and manage all other existing and future leachate generated from Class III landfill cells at the site in a centralised system.
--	---

Location and receptors

The closest residential receptor to the proposed leachate ponds is located approximately 620m south and 850m east.

Table 5 provides a detailed summary on residential receptors and distances from activity boundaries within the Premises.

Residential and sensitive Premises	Distance from Prescribed Premises
Semi-rural residential areas and farms	Immediately to north and north-east of the Premises; multiple Lots ranging from approximately 350m to 1.5km from Farm Stage 1/2 landfill operations (covered by works approval W4547/2009/1 and W5291/2012/1) and 1000m north north-west and north of the proposed leachate pond footprint.
	Immediately to the east of the Premises (Lot 12); Barbarich Estate comprising of multiple Lots ranging approximately from 800m from Farm Stage 1/2 and 720m from the proposed leachate ponds.
	To the south and south-east of the Premises; multiple Lots ranging from approximately 750m from Stage 15, 1.3km from Farm Stage 1/2 and 620m from the proposed leachate ponds. These Lots are separated from the Premises by a vegetation buffer (approx. 260m to 400m wide) located on Lot 82 on Diagram 18309 and Lot 501 on Plan 40105, Parkerville (owned by EMRC), followed by a drainage/public recreation reserve (approx. 50m-125m wide) on Lot 62 on Plan 23731 and Lot 15403 on Plan 40033, Parkerville (vested in the Shire of Mundaring).

 Table 5: Receptors and distance from activity boundary

Table 6 below lists the relevant environmental receptors in the vicinity of the Prescribed Premises which may be receptors relevant to the proposed amendment.

	Table 6: Environmental	receptors and	distance from	activity	boundary
--	------------------------	---------------	---------------	----------	----------

Environmental receptors	Distance from Prescribed Premises
John Forrest National Park (bushland) Lot 11664 on Plan 217947, Red Hill – Crown Reserve 7537	Located to the south and immediately adjacent to the boundary of Lot 11 and 2 of the Premises and approximately 650m to the south-west of the proposed leachate ponds.
Bushland Lot 501 on Plan 40105, Parkerville Lot 82 on Diagram 18309, Parkerville	Bushland is also located immediately adjacent to the boundary of Lot 1 and 12 of the Premises, and approximately 150m south of the proposed leachate ponds. EMRC is the owner of the land.
Threatened / Priority Fauna – Mammals and Birds	Priority Fauna P4 (mammals) – mapped as being observed within Lot 1 of the Premises, in previously landfilled areas currently subject to rehabilitation. Fauna Survey date 29/10/2014
	Priority Fauna P4 (mammals) – mapped as being observed within Lot 1 of the Premises, in previously landfilled areas currently subject to rehabilitation. Fauna Survey date 18/05/2012
	Birds (Schedule 5 – Migratory birds protected under an international agreement); mapped as being observed within Lot 2 of the Premises, in previously landfilled areas currently subject to rehabilitation. Fauna Survey dates 29/10/2014 and 04/11/2015

Environmental receptors	Distance from Prescribed Premises
	Birds (Schedule 3 – Fauna that is rare or is likely to become extinct as vulnerable fauna); mapped as being observed within Lot 2 of the Premises, in previously landfilled areas currently subject to rehabilitation. Fauna Survey dates 29/10/2014 and 04/11/2015.
Designated Area – Surface Water Area	The entire Premises and surrounding land is mapped as proclaimed surface water area under the <i>Rights in Water Irrigation Act</i> 1914 named the "Swan River System".
Susannah Brook (Significant Stream)	Approximately 1km to the north of the Premises boundary, 1.2km from Farm Stage 1/2 Class III cells and 1.8km from the proposed leachate ponds.
	Related drainage lines (classed as minor, perennial watercourses) also run north-south of Susannah Brook; the closest is located approximately 250m north of Farm Stage 1/2 and 920m north of the proposed leachate ponds, located within Lot 51 (1157) Toodyay Road, Gidgegannup.
Christmas Tree Creek (Watercourse - minor, perennial)	Approximately 370m to the south of the Premises boundary, 680m from the Stage 15 Class III cell and 450m south of the proposed leachate ponds. Christmas Tree Creek is a tributary of Jane Brook.

Table 7 summaries the geology and hydrogeological characteristics for the Premises (MSGM, July 2017 and Strategen Environmental Consultants Pty Ltd, June 2017).

Environmental aspect	Description
Geology	The geology of the region is characteristic for that of the Yilgarn Craton, being dominated by granitic basement rocks with occasional intrusive dolerite dykes, weathered basement and weathered duplex soils. The latter consist broadly of ferruginous and lateritic gravels or lateritic hardpans, underlain by white and cream clays (referred to generally as the pallid zone) and with saprolite grits (clayey gravels and clays) overlying weathered basement.
	The thickness of the various duplex soils and weathered zones can vary significantly across sites such as Red Hill.
	The geology is not consistent with the attributes of a high or very high vulnerability aquifer, with very low permeable features.
Hydrogeology	There are two distinct water bearing layers underlying the site:
	• The upper layer comprises of a perched water table associated with shallow lateritic sediments mainly on low lying areas which had developed above pallid zone clays (impermeable layer of kaolinitic clays). Perched aquifers are reported to be limited in there lateral extent and considered ephemeral over/post winter.
	 The lower layer comprises the regional groundwater table within granite bedrock (fracture systems) or within extensive saprolite grits (porous, weathered bedrock) often semi confined by pallid zone clays.
	Based on the inferred regional groundwater contours in the bedrock (granite) aquifer the site exhibits a groundwater divide that extends across the northern part of the site (following topography); north of the divide groundwater flows north-west to west-northwest, and to the south of the divide flows are to the south-west to southerly with moderate hydraulic gradients.
	The depth to groundwater varies across the site. As outlined in 'Amendment description' groundwater levels beneath the construction footprint have been estimated to be greater than 6m below the base elevation in all three ponds.

Table 7: Geology and hydrogeology for the Premises

To support the proposal a geotechnical investigation was undertaken within the proposed leachate pond area (WML, April 2017). The surface geology within the construction footprint was reported to comprise of sandy, silty clay, overlaying lateritic gravels which overlays cap rock (encountered less than one metre below the ground surface in some sections).

Based on the geotechnical investigation, the site was deemed suitable for a lined leachate pond; no unsuitable materials, voids, elevated levels of organics, soft or loose zones were encountered within the fill (WML, April 2017).

Meteorology - wind direction and strength

Meteorological data has been collected from the Bureau of Meteorology site "PERTH AIRPORT" (site number 009021), located approximately 12 km southwest of the Premises.

Winds are predominantly from the east in the mornings and from the southwest in the afternoon. Figure 1 depicts the wind roses as recorded at the Perth Airport at 9am and 3pm (Wind speed in km/h 1 May 1944 to 11 Aug 2017).



Figure1: 9am and 3pm wind speed at Perth Airport

Risk assessment

Table 8 and 9 below describes the Risk Events associated with the proposal consistent with the *Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments*, for both the construction and operational phases. Both tables identify whether the emissions present a material risk to public health or the environment, requiring regulatory controls.

DWER has given consideration to the following aspects in its assessment:

- siting of the proposed leachate ponds;
- proposed emission controls during construction;
- leachate pond design specifications, stability assessment and CQA procedures;
- proposed operational controls and leachate management practices; and
- proposed groundwater and surface water monitoring program.

Table 8: Risk assessment for	proposed	amendments during	g construction
------------------------------	----------	-------------------	----------------

		Risk	Event			Consequence	Likelihood		
Source/	Activities	Potential emissions	Potential receptors	Potential pathway	Potential adverse impacts	rating	rating	Risk	Reasoning
		Dust : associated with construction activities	Semi-rural residential areas and farms – refer to Table 5. Closest residence located approximately 620m south of the proposed leachate pond footprint. Vehicles travelling on Toodyay Rd; approximately 860m north.	Air: Particulate matter (dust)	Health and amenity impacts Nuisance impacts to vehicles travelling on Toodyay Road	Slight	Possible	Low	The Delega construction site impacts therefore th The License the use of v construction Operational potential and that dust su materials.
Cat 64 Class III putrescible landfill site and Cat 65 Class IV secure landfill site	Construction of three (3) leachate ponds (1 holding pond and 2 evaporation ponds)	Noise : associated with construction activities	Semi-rural residential areas and farms – refer to Table 5. Closest residence located approximately 620m south of the proposed leachate pond footprint.	Air: Noise generated by the operation of vehicles and equipment	Amenity impacts	Minor	Possible	Medium	The activitie Protection (Regulation requirement outlined in F site as a res hours on an The hours of hours of the Saturday, a Day and Ne Any works of prescribed s prior consei The contrac noise produ comply with <i>vibration co</i> No addition

ted Officer considers the dust emissions associated with the n of the leachate ponds are likely to be localised with minimal ons and with emissions only potentially occurring at some time, ne resultant risk of dust emissions is Low.

ee implements several dust suppression measures, which include water carts that can be deployed to control dust emissions during n activities. Stabilising agents will also be used if practicable. procedures also dictate that operations with dust-creating e to cease during high winds (>25km/hr) or conditions are such uppression measures are unable to contain dust and wind-blown

al regulatory controls will be included in the Licence. es at the Premises will need to comply with the Environmental Noise) Regulations 1997 (EP Noise Regulations).

13 of the EP Noise Regulations states that, subject to a number of ts being met, the prescribed standard for noise emissions as Regulation 7 does not apply to noise emitted from a construction sult of construction work carried-out between 0700 hours and 1900 ny day which is not a Sunday or public holiday.

of operation for construction works are to align with the operational e site; 7.00am - 4.00pm Monday to Friday, 8.00am - 4.00pm on and 10.00am- 4.00pm on Sunday (except Good Friday, Christmas ew Year's Day when the site is closed).

on a public holiday or Sunday will need to be comply with the standard for noise emissions as outlined in Regulation 7 unless nt is given in accordance with Regulation 13(3).

ctor will be required to employ the best practical means to minimise iced by his operations including plant maintenance, and shall the recommendations in AS 2436-2010 Guide to noise and ontrol on construction, demolition and maintenance sites.

al regulatory controls will be included in the Licence.

	Table 9: Risk assessment for	proposed	amendments	during	operation
--	------------------------------	----------	------------	--------	-----------

	Risk Event				Consequence	Likalihaad			
Sou	ce/Activities	Potential emissions	Potential receptors	Potential pathway	Potential adverse impacts	rating	rating	Risk	Reasoning
		Odour: Storage of leachate	Semi-rural residential areas and farms – refer to Table 5. Closest residence located approximately 620m south of the proposed leachate pond footprint.	Air: Particulate matter (dust)	Health and amenity impacts	Minor	Possible	Medium	The Delega proposed le minimal off- Therefore, t The distanc a low-mediu (dominant w from the sou The closest morning pre receptor to t winds) is ap
			Surface water – refer to Table 6.	Surface runoff	Eutrophication/ contamination of waterway which can disrupt ecosystem function	Slight	Unlikely	Low	Surface wat failure of co with emissions ir Groundwate failure of co
Cat 64 Class III putrescible landfill site and Cat 65 Class IV secure landfill site	SS III DIE Operation of three (3) and leachate ponds (1 SS IV holding pond and 2 dfill evaporation ponds)	Leachate: Leaks/discharges from conveyance infrastructure (piping failure)	Groundwater – refer to Table 7.	Seepage: Infiltration to ground	Contamination of waters or deterioration of local/ regional groundwater quality. Amenity and health impacts to users (potential potable, non-potable water uses).	Minor	Unlikely	Medium	and minima resultant ris topping is M EMRC prop from the dec leachate, PI Regulatory conveyance design spec
		Leachate: Leaks from liner failure	Groundwater – refer to Table 7.	Seepage: Infiltration to ground	Contamination of waters or deterioration of local/ regional groundwater quality. Amenity and health impacts to users (potential potable, non-potable water uses).	Major	Unlikely	Medium	The Delegar will achieve is appropria leachate. The propose Attenuation overlain by a Geomembra information) The License quality contri installation. standards. Regulatory of construction specification These meas constructed being prese The QA/QC Application Geosyntheti

ted Officer considers that leachate odour generated by the eachate ponds will likely cause low-level on-site impacts and site impacts, with emissions possibly occurring at some time. the resultant risk of odour emissions is Medium.

e and direction to the nearest house and the rural setting indicates um risk of potential impact from leachate storage. Prevailing winds vind characteristics) are from the east in the morning and then uth-west in the afternoon.

residential receptor to the west of the site (in the direction of evailing winds) is approximately 5km away. The closest residential the north-east of the site (in the direction of afternoon prevailing proximately 1km away.

al regulatory controls will be included in the Licence. ter: the Delegated Officer considers that leachate discharge from nveyance infrastructure will likely cause minimal on-site impacts, ons unlikely to occur. Therefore, the resultant risk of leachate mpacting surface water from pond over-topping is Low.

er: the Delegated Officer considers that leachate discharge from inveyance infrastructure will likely cause low-level on-site impacts off-site impacts, with emissions unlikely to occur. Therefore, the k of leachate emissions impacting groundwater from pond overledium.

ooses to install a C220 Transfer Pump to transfer all the leachate commissioned Class IV cell to the new leachate ponds. To convey N 10/160mm pipe will be installed with joints electrofusion welded.

controls will be included in conditions of the Licence to ensure the infrastructure (pipe-work and pumps) is installed as per assessed cifications.

ted Officer considers the liner specifications of the leachate ponds a hydraulic conductivity of less than 1x10⁻⁹m/s or equivalent and te to prevent potential impacts arising from the storage of

ed liner configuration consists of a 500mm thick Engineered Clay Layer, overlain by a Geosynthetic Clay Liner (GCL), and then a 2mm High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) Double Textured ane (refer to 'Pond design specifications' section for further

ee also proposes to implement a series of quality assurance and rol measures associated with general earthworks and liner These measures are consistent with industry practice and

controls will be included in conditions of the Licence to ensure of the leachate evaporation ponds adheres to the proposed lining n and quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) protocols. sures will ensure that containment infrastructure will be appropriately and thus mitigate against a contaminant pathway ent thus reducing the potential risk (level of impact).

liner testing protocols are consistent with those stated in the and those outlined in the specifications published by the ic Research Institute (GRI):

RI-GCL3 - Standard Specification for "Test Methods, Required

Risk Event			Consequence	Likelihood				
Source/Activities	Potential emissions	Potential receptors	Potential pathway	Potential adverse impacts	rating	rating	Risk	Reasoning
								Pro (GC • GR Prc (HL
								The Delegat include requ with MS requ a quarterly b parameters. to Amendme currently 47 results annu
								A network of perimeter of network cons located cross provide back levels agains are located of
								The Delegat monitor char discharge of
								The propose requirements above).
		Surface water – refer to Table 6.	Surface runoff	Eutrophication/ contamination of waterway which can disrupt ecosystem function.	Slight	Unlikely	Low	Surface wate ponds will lik occur. There water from p
				Contamination of				Groundwate ponds will lik with emissio emissions in
	Leachate: Overtopping of ponds	Groundwater – refer to	Seepage:	waters or deterioration of local/ regional groundwater quality.	Minor	Liplikoly	Modium	a 500mm free to relocate le 500mm free
		Table 7.	ground	Amenity and health impacts to users (potential potable, non-potable water		Uninkery	Medium	leachate por water collect potential run to ensure cle
								The existing maintain a fr a condition t conditions a Amendment

operties, and Testing Frequencies of Geosynthetic Clay Liners CLs)" (GRI, 2016); and

RI - GM13 - Standard Specification for "Test Methods, Test operties and Testing Frequency for High Density Polyethylene DPE) Smooth and Textured Geomembranes" (GRI, 2016)

ted Officer notes that the Existing Licence does not currently uirements to monitor surface water or groundwater. In accordance uirements groundwater at the Premises is currently monitored on basis and analysed for a range or inorganic and organic Information provided in a previous amendment application (refer ent Notice 1 dated 6 September 2017) indicates that there are bores that are monitored around the facility. EMRC reports these ally in accordance with MS reporting requirements.

monitoring bores already exists in close proximity to the the proposed leachate ponds (Attachment 1, Figure 3). This sists of monitoring bores SP43S, FMB1 and FMB3 which are ss to up-hydraulic gradient of the proposed leachate ponds and will ground levels to monitor down-hydraulic gradient contaminant nst. Monitoring bores SP4D, SP36D, SP37D, SP42D and SP46D down-hydraulic gradient from the proposed leachate ponds.

ted Officer considers the existing monitoring network is suitable to nges in groundwater conditions and quality with regard to potential leachate constituents from the proposed leachate ponds.

ed licence amendment does not propose to alter or duplicate ts covered under these existing Ministerial Statements (see

ter: the Delegated Officer considers that over-topping of leachate kely cause minimal on-site impacts, with emissions unlikely to efore, the resultant risk of leachate emissions impacting surface bond over-topping is Low.

er: the Delegated Officer considers that over-topping of leachate kely cause low-level on-site impacts and minimal off-site impacts, ons unlikely to occur. Therefore, the resultant risk of leachate npacting groundwater from pond over-topping is Medium.

advised that leachate levels in all ponds are monitored daily and eeboard maintained at all times. Pumping infrastructure is in place eachate to other ponds should this be required to maintain the eboard.

also proposed to construct diversion drains around the proposed nds which will capture stormwater run-off and divert to existing tion ponds (refer to Attachment 1, Figure 2), thus removing -off into the new ponds. All drains will be kept clear of any waste ear drainage and reduce the risk of contamination.

licence does not include conditions that require EMRC to reeboard equal to, or greater than, 500mm on leachate ponds, or to maintain diversion drains around leachate ponds. Equivalent re proposed to be included on the Licence as part of this Notice.

Decision

DWER has given regard to the outcome of the section 45c assessment as outlined in the 'Legislative context – Part IV of the EP Act' section to ensure that the decision and conditions for the proposed amended licence are not contrary to, or otherwise than in accordance with, an implementation agreement or decision of the Minister under Part IV of the EP Act.

Based on the Detailed Risk Assessment the Delegated Officer has determined to amend the Licence to authorise the construction and operation of three (3) leachate ponds (one holding pond and two evaporation ponds) within Lot 12.

The following amendments are proposed to the Existing Licence:

- Authorisation to construct (3) leachate ponds (one holding pond and two evaporation ponds) and associated infrastructure as per the assessed design specifications (including CQA provisions).
- Requirement to submit engineering certification from a suitably qualified professional confirming each item of infrastructure or component of infrastructure has been constructed with no material defects.
- Operational controls to:
 - o maintain a freeboard equal to, or greater than, 500mm on leachate ponds; and
 - o maintain diversion drains around the leachate ponds.

Licensee's comments

The Licensee was provided with the draft Amendment Notice on 31 May 2018. Comments received from the Licensee have been considered by the Delegated Officer as shown in Appendix 2.

Amendment

1. The Licence is amended by the insertion of the following definitions:

'AS 1289.2.1.1' means the Australian Standard AS 1289.1.1 Methods of testing soils for engineering purposes Soil moisture content tests – Determination of the moisture content of a soil – Oven drying method (standard method);

'AS 1289 5.2.1' means the 'Australian Standard AS 1289 5.2.1 Methods of testing soils for engineering purposes - Soil compaction and density tests - Determination of the dry density/moisture content relation of a soil using modified compactive effort;

'AS 3798- 2007' Australian Standard AS 3798- 2007 Guidelines on earthworks for commercial and residential developments;

'ASTM D792' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D792 Standard Test Methods for Density and Specific Gravity (relative Density) of Plastics by Displacement;

'ASTM D1004' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D1004 Standard Test Method for Tear Resistance (Graves Tear) of Plastic Film and Sheeting;

'ASTM D1505' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D1505 Standard Test Method for Density of Plastics by the Density-Gradient Technique; 'ASTM D1603' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D1603 Standard Test Method for Carbon Black Content in Olefin Plastics;

'ASTM D3895' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D3895 Standard Test Method for Oxidative-Induction Time of Polyolefins by Differential Scanning Calorimetry;

'ASTM D4595' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D4595 Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Geotextiles by the Wide-Width Strip Method; 'ASTM D4833' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D4833 Standard Test Method for Index Puncture Resistance of Geomembranes and Related Products;

'ASTM D5199' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D5199 Standard Test Method for Measuring the Nominal Thickness of Geosynthetics;

'ASTM D5397' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D5397 Standard Test Method for Evaluation of Stress Crack Resistance of Polyolefin Geomembranes Using Notched Constant Tensile Load Test;

'ASTM D5641' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D5641 Standard Practice for Geomembrane Seam Evaluation by Vacuum Chamber;

'ASTM D5721' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D5721 Standard Practice for Air-Oven Aging of Polyolefin Geomembranes;

'ASTM D5820' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D5820 Standard Practice for Pressurized Air Channel Evaluation of Dual-Seamed Geomembranes;

'ASTM D5885' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D5885 Standard Test Method for Oxidative Induction Time of Polyolefin Geosynthetics by High-Pressure Differential Scanning Calorimetry;

'ASTM D5887' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D5887 Standard Test Method for Measurement of Index Flux Through Saturated Geosynthetic Clay Liner Specimens Using a Flexible Wall Permeameter;

'ASTM D5890' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D5890 Standard Test Method for Swell Index of Clay Mineral Component of Geosynthetic Clay Liners;

'ASTM D5993' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D5993 Standard Test Method for Measuring Mass Per Unit of Geosynthetic Clay Liners;

'ASTM D6392' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D6392 Standard Method for Determining the Integrity of Nonreinforced Geomembrane Seams Produced Using thermos-Fusion Methods;

'ASTM D6496' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D6496 Standard Test Method for Determining Average Bonding Peel Strength Between Top and Bottom Layers of Needle-Punched Geosynthetic Clay Liners;

'ASTM D6693' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D6693 Standard Test Method for Determining Tensile Properties of Nonreinforced Polyethylene and Nonreinforced Flexible Polypropylene Geomembrane;

'ASTM D6768' means the ASTM International Standard ASTM D6768 Standard Test

Method for Tensile Strength of Geosynthetic Clay Liners;

2. The Licence is amended by the insertion of the following Conditions G10, G11, G12, G13, G14 and G15:

LEACHATE POND CONSTRUCTION

- G10 The Licensee must install and undertake the Works for the infrastructure and equipment:
 - (a) specified in Column 1;
 - (b) to the requirements specified in Column 2; and
 - (c) at the location specified in Column 3

in Table A of Schedule 4

- G11 The Licensee must not depart from the requirements specified in Column 2 of Table A in Schedule 4 except:
 - (a) where such departure does not increase risks to public health, public amenity or the environment; and
 - (b) all other Conditions in this Amendment Notice are still satisfied.
- G12 Subject to Condition G11, within 30 days of the completion of the Works specified in Column 1 of Table A in Schedule 4, the Licensee must provide to the CEO a report and engineering certification from a suitably qualified professional confirming each item of infrastructure or component of infrastructure specified in Column 1 of Table A in Schedule 4 has been constructed with no material defects and to the requirements specified in Column 2 of Table A in Schedule 4.
- G13 Where a departure from the requirements specified in Column 2 of Table A in Schedule 4 occurs and is of a type allowed by Condition G11, the Licensee must provide to the CEO a description of, and explanation for, the departure along with the certification required by Condition G12.

LEACHATE POND CONSTRUCTION QUALITY ASSURANCE

- G14 The Licensee must undertake quality assurance including visual inspection and materials testing for the GCL and HDPE membrane specified in Condition G10 in accordance with Table B and Table C in Schedule 4.
- G15 The report and engineering certification required by Condition G12 must be accompanied by a Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) Validation Report that:
 - (a) is written and certified by the Geotechnical Inspection and Testing Authority that completed the construction quality assurance processes required by Table A, Table B and Table C in Schedule 4;
 - (b) certifies that the sub-grade and liner components are free of fault or defect, built to the design specification and fit for the intended purpose;
 - (c) assesses test results against minimum values in Table B and Table C in Schedule 4, including a summary of failures, corrective measures and retest results;
 - (d) demonstrates that a minimum separation distance of 5m between the lowest elevation of respective leachate ponds specified in Condition G10 and the highest recorded natural elevation of groundwater was achieved at the time of construction, as supported by on-site groundwater monitoring data;
 - (e) includes progress photos;

- (f) includes copies of results of surveys and drawings of the final built leachate ponds and surface water diversion bunds,
- (g) includes copies of results of surveys of inspections, monitoring and testing results for the sub-grade preparation and liner installation; and
- (h) demonstrates compliance with Condition G10
- 3. The Licence is amended by the insertion of the following Schedule:

SCHEDULE 4

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3
Infrastructure/ Equipment	Requirements (design and construction)	Site plan / drawing reference
Leachate ponds – General cconstruction quality assurance processes	The following works shall be subject to construction quality assurance processes in accordance with Level 1 of the Australian Standard AS 3798-2007 Guidelines on earthworks for commercial and residential developments: (a) the removal of unsuitable material, prior to the placement of compacted fill at the base and/or side slopes of the leachate ponds; and	N/A
	(b) leachate pond construction, including liner placement, in accordance with the requirements specified in this Table.	
Leachate ponds – dimensions and general	The leachate ponds are to be located in accordance with the Design Drawings in this Schedule.	Design Drawings in in this Schedule 1) General
arrangement	The leachate ponds shall be constructed to ensure that a minimum separation distance of 5m is achieved between the lowest elevation of respective leachate ponds and the highest natural elevation of groundwater recorded in surrounding monitoring bores.	Árrangement Plan 3) and 4) Pond Cross Sections
Leachate ponds – configuration and	The liner configuration for each pond is to consist of the following:	Design Drawings this Schedule
liner permeability requirements	(a) 500mm thick Engineered Clay Attenuation Layer using; overlain by a	2) Typical Construction
	(b) Geosynthetic Clay Liner (GCL) – hydraulic conductivity of ≤ 2.5 x 10-11 m/s; overlain by a	Sections and Details
	(c) 2mm High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) Double Textured Geomembrane – hydraulic conductivity with a range from 1 x 10 ⁻¹⁴ to 1 x 10 ⁻¹⁵ m/s.	
Leachate ponds – Subgrade	The Licensee must design, excavate and construct the leachate ponds subgrade to achieve the following:	Design Drawings in in this Schedule 1) General

Table A – Illiasi uclure and Requirements

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3
Infrastructure/ Equipment	Requirements (design and construction)	Site plan / drawing reference
	 (a) formation surfaces shall be proof rolled with a 10 tonne dead-weight roller to prove the formations structural strength and capacity; 	Arrangement Plan 2) Typical Construction
	(b) unsuitable material shall be excavated and disposed of and filled with crushed rock material or similar;	Sections and Details 3) and 4) Pond
	(c) subgrade surface shall be constructed to the shape and levels as shown in the Design Drawings in this Schedule;	Cross Sections
	 (d) during the whole of the compaction process the moisture content, at any point in the Lot, of the subgrade material shall be within ±2% of the optimum moisture content for that material; 	
	(e) the completed subgrade layer shall be in a homogeneous uniformly bonded condition with no evidence of layering or disintegration;	
	 (f) the completed subgrade surface shall be maintained in its conforming condition until construction commences and shall be watered as necessary to prevent shrinkage cracking, dusting or loosening of its surface; 	
	 (g) where material for a depth of 150mm below the subgrade surface contains more than 20% by mass of material retained on a 37.5mm sieve then that material shall be compacted as per provision (a) except that the vibratory pad-foot rolling shall be followed by no less than six (6) complete coverage by a fully ballasted 15 tonne rubber tyred roller; and 	
	 (h) the final surface shall be smooth and free from any sharp objects, stones, debris, water and desiccation cracks 	
Leachate ponds – 500mm thick Engineered Clay	The Licensee must design, excavate and construct a 500mm thick Engineered Clay Attenuation Layer to each leachate pond achieve the following:	Design Drawings in in this Schedule 1) General
Attenuation Layer	 (a) clay is to be moisture conditioned prior to placement as clay liner by thorough mixing and breaking down of clay clods, and where appropriate water addition, is required prior to placement and compaction; 	Árrangement Plan 2) Typical Construction Sections and Details
	(b) clay clods of greater than 300mm across shall not be placed prior to compaction;	3) and 4) Pond
	(c) a vibratory sheepsfoot and/or padfoot roller or other suitable equivalent compaction plant shall be used for construction of the	

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3
Infrastructure/ Equipment	Requirements (design and construction)	Site plan / drawing reference
	 clay liner; (d) the surface of compacted clay shall be sealed with a smooth roller after each lift. The smooth surface is then to be scarified and watered before the next lift of clay is placed; (e) uncompacted lift thickness must not exceed 300mm; (f) clay material shall have a moisture content, during and after compaction, within the range of optimum moisture content (OMC) - 0% to +3% as determined by the methods of test within the Australian Standard AS 1289 5.2.1 Methods for testing of soils for engineering purposes, to >95% modified maximum dry density (MMDD); (g) compacted clay liner shall and (h) the final clay surface shall be protected from desiccation prior to geosynthetic placement. Surfaces containing desiccation cracks exceeding 12mm deep or exhibiting swelling, heaving or other similar conditions shall be replaced or reworked as per the above provisions. 	
Leachate ponds – Geosynthetic Clay Liner (GCL)	 The Licensee must be design and construct a GCL to each leachate pond to achieve the following: (a) GCL shall be a needle punched multilayered system comprising two layers of geotextiles encapsulating a layer of dry bentonite; (b) Overlying; and in contact with the Subgrade; (c) Shall be securely anchored according to the specifications and dimensions as shown in the Design Drawings in Schedule 1; (d) The seams shall have a minimum overlap of 300mm and shall be joined by the addition of bentonite powder, at a minimum of 0.3kg/m length of seam, unless the GCL has impregnated surfaces along sheet edges and is installed under the manufacturer's guidelines; and (e) Material properties meeting 'minimum values' as defined in Table B in this Schedule. 	Design Drawings in in this Schedule 1) General Arrangement Plan 2) Typical Construction Sections and Details 3) and 4) Pond Cross Sections

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3
Infrastructure/ Equipment	Requirements (design and construction)	Site plan / drawing reference
Leachate ponds – High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) Double Textured Geomembrane	 The Licensee must design and construct a HDPE Double Textured Geomembrane to each leachate pond to achieve the following: (a) Manufactured specified thickness of 2mm; (b) HDPE Membrane shall be uniform and free of pinholes, blisters, undispersed raw materials and contamination by foreign matter; (c) Overlying; and in contact with the GCL; (d) Shall be securely anchored according to the specifications and dimensions as shown in the Design Drawings in Schedule 1; (e) There shall be no cross tie-in seams between batter and base panels within 2m of toe of batter; (f) Material properties meeting 'minimum values' as defined in Table C in this Schedule. 	Design Drawings in in this Schedule 1) General Arrangement Plan 2) Typical Construction Sections and Details 3) and 4) Pond Cross Sections
Anchor trenches	 The Licensee must design and construct anchor trenches for the leachate ponds to meet the following: (a) Anchor trenches for the geosynthetic liner components (GCL and HDPE) shall be constructed at the locations shown in the Design Drawings in this Schedule; (b) The anchor trench shape and dimensions shall be as shown in the in the Design Drawings in this Schedule; (c) Backfilled with material that was excavated from the anchor trench where the material is suitable otherwise it shall be replaced with clay material or other suitably equivalent material; (d) Fill shall be placed and suitably compacted in horizontal layers not exceeding 150mm in thickness; and (e) Marker posts must be installed once the backfilling has been completed to locate the centreline of the anchor trenches. 	Design Drawings in in this Schedule 1) General Arrangement Plan 3) and 4) Typical Construction Sections and Details
Leak detection survey	A leak detection survey must be undertaken following the installation of the HDPE geomembrane using either a water lance or arc testing method to detect any leaks/defects. All anomalies shall be investigated and repaired where required.	N/A

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	
Infrastructure/ Equipment	Requirements (design and construction)	Site plan / drawing reference	
	Following repairs, the area shall be locally resurveyed to ensure the defect was not masking further defects in the adjacent areas.		
Stormwater diversion drains	Stormwater diversion drains must be installed around the perimeter of the proposed leachate ponds to prevent surface water run-off from entering the leachate ponds.	N/A	
	All drains are to be kept clear of any waste to ensure clear drainage and reduce the risk of contamination.		
Leachate conveyance pipework	PN 10/160mm pipe must be installed with joints electrofusion welded.	N/A	

Table B – Construction Quality Assurance Testing for GCL

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5
ltem	Property	Standards/ Method	Frequency	Minimum Value*
Conformance testing of GCL,	Mass per unit area of bentonite content	ASTM D5993	2,500m²	3,700g/m²
shipment to site	Montmorillonite content	X-ray diffraction method	10,000m²	70%
	Mass of GCL	ASTM D5993	4,000m²	4000g/m²
	Moisture content (bentonite)	ASTM D5993 or AS 1289.2.1.1	4,000m²	35% maximum (*no minimum)
	Tensile strength	ASTM D6768 or ASTM D4595	10,000m²	4kN/m
	Swell index (free swell of clay/bentonite)	ASTM D5890	5,000m²	24 ml/2g
	Peel strength	ASTM D6496	4,000m²	360 N/m

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5
ltem	Property	Standards/ Method	Frequency	Minimum Value*
	Permeability	ASTM D5887	25,000m²	$\leq 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ m/s
	Index flux	ASTM D5887	25,000m²	1x10 ⁻⁸ m³/s-m²
Visual inspection (on arrival and during placement)	Colour, thickness, needle punching, presence of needles or broken needles, and sewing density or other faults in the material	N/A	Each roll	Observed, validated and recorded by the consultant

Table C – Construction Quality Assurance Testing for HDPE Geomembrane

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5
ltem	Property	Standards	Frequency	Minimum Value
Conformance following shipment to site	Thickness	ASTM D5199	Each roll	2mm
	Density	ASTM D1505 or ASTM D792		0.94g/cm ³
	<u>Tensile properties:</u> Yield strength Break strength Yield elongation Break elongation	ASTM D6693 Type IV	5,000m ² , or every five rolls delivered to site, whichever is the greatest number	29kN/m 53kN/m 12% 700%
	Puncture resistance	ASTM D4833		640N
	Tear resistance	ASTM D1004		249N
	Carbon black content	ASTM D1603		2-3%
	Stress crack resistance	ASTM D5397	10,000m2, or resin type or	300hr

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5
ltem	Property	Standards	Frequency	Minimum Value
	<u>Oxidative induction</u> <u>time (OIT):</u> Standard OIT or High Pressure OIT	ASTM D3895 or	manufacturing run	100 min
	<u>Oven ageing and</u> <u>oxidative induction</u> <u>time at 85°C:</u> Standard OIT - %	ASTM D5721	Per each	
	retained after 90 days High Pressure OIT - % retained after 90 days	ASTM D3895 or ASTM D5885	formulation	55% 80%
Start-up test weld	Welding equipment	N/A	Start of works daily and whenever the welding equipment is shut-off for more than 1 hour. Also after significant changes in weather condition	N/A
	Weld conditions	N/A	Test weld strips will be required whenever personnel or equipment are changed and/or wide temperature fluctuations are experienced. Minimum 1.5m continuous seam	N/A
Destructive weld testing	Onsite, hand tensiometer in peel and shear	ASTM D6392	Every weld	Observed, validated and recorded by the consultant
Non- destructive	Air pressure test	ASTM D5820	All seams over full length	Observed, validated and recorded by

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5
ltem	Property	Standards	Frequency	Minimum Value
weld testing				the consultant
	Vacuum box test	ASTM D5641		Observed, validated and recorded by the consultant
Visual inspection	Tears, punctures, abrasions, cracks, indentation, thin spots, or other faults in the material	N/A	Every roll	Observed, validated and recorded by the consultant



Design Drawing 1 – General Arrangement Plan for Leachate Ponds

Source: Drawing No C-010 from Letter Report: Design Principles of Evaporation Ponds at Red Hill Waste Management Facility (Talis, 22 December 2017)



Design Drawing 2 – Pond Construction Sections and Details

Source: Drawing No C-901 from Technical Specification Red Hill Waste Management Facility - Leachate Pond Construction (Talis, 31 October 2017)



Design Drawing 3 – Pond Cross Sections – Sheet 1

Source: Drawing No C-020 from Letter Report: Design Principles of Evaporation Ponds at Red Hill Waste Management Facility (Talis, 22 December 2017)



Design Drawing 4 – Pond Cross Sections – Sheet 2

Source: Drawing No C-020 from Letter Report: Design Principles of Evaporation Ponds at Red Hill Waste Management Facility (Talis, 22 December 2017)

4. Condition W2 of the Licence is amended by insertion of the red text shown in underline below:

STORMWATER AND STORMWATER DRAINS

- W2(a) The licensee shall ensure stormwater is diverted from the active landfill cells, leachate ponds and from previously filled areas of the site to dedicated stormwater drains.
- W2(b) The licensee shall ensure stormwater drains are kept clear of any waste to allow effective draining.
- 5. The Licence is amended by the insertion of Condition W5:

LEACHATE PONDS

W5 The Licensee shall manage all leachate ponds such that a freeboard equal to, or greater than, 500mm is maintained.

Appendix 1: Key documents

	Document title	In text ref	Availability	
1	Licence L8889/2015/1 (Eastern		accessed at <u>www.dwer.wa.gov.au</u>	
	Metropolitan Regional Council, Red	L8889/2015/1		
	Hill Waste Management Facility)			
5	DER Guidance Statement: Regulatory	DER, 2015	accessed at <u>www.dwer.wa.gov.au</u>	
_	Principles (July 2015)	,		
6	DER Guidance Statement: Decision	DER 2017	DER 2017	
	Making (February 2017)			
7	DER Guidance Statement: Risk	DED 2017		
	Assessments (February 2017)	DER, 2017		
8	DER Guidance Statement: Setting	DED 2015		
	Conditions (October 2015)	DER, 2015		
9	DER Guidance Statement:			
	Environmental Siting (November	DER, 2016		
	2016)			

Appendix 2: Summary of Licensee comments

The Licence Holder was provided with the draft Amendment Notice on 31 May 2018 for review and comment. The Licence Holder responded on 19 June 2018. The following comments were received on the draft Amendment Notice.

Condition	Summary of Licensee comment	DWER response
N/A	EMRC is still awaiting an outcome of the section 45C (Part IV – EP Act) assessment and understand that the	Noted.
	amendment notice will be dependent upon and will need to reflect this outcome.	The section titled 'Legislative context – Part IV of the EP Act' has been updated to reflect the section 45c approval which was signed 26 June 2018.
N/A	Assisted evaporation/ mechanical evaporator: in relation to the outstanding matters i.e. technical information relating	Assessment updated to reflect this request.
	to assisted evaporation, EMRC is in the process of preparing the information requested and will submit accordingly. In the interim, please do not include the assisted evaporation device in the current amendment	The use of the assisted evaporation device has not been approved through this Amendment Notice.
	package.	A separate licence amendment application should be submitted for assessment to facilitate its use.

Attachment 1:



Figure 1: Aerial map depicting the general area and boundaries of the proposed leachate ponds (Attachment 2 – EMRC, 14 November 2017)



Figure 2: Stormwater diversion drains – (Source: Drawing No C-050 from *Technical Specification Red Hill Waste Management Facility* - Leachate Pond Construction (Talis, 31 October 2017)



Figure 3: Map of the proposed groundwater monitoring points relating to the proposed leachate ponds - SP43S, FMB1 and FMB3 SP4D, SP36D, SP37D, SP42D and SP46D (Bores in blue circles)