

Amendment Notice 1

Licence Number	L8141/2007/2
Licence Holder ACN	Mt Weld Rare Earths Project 053 160 400
File Number:	DER2014/000427
Premises	Mt Weld Rare Earths Project Elora Road LAVERTON WA 6440 Mining tenement M38/58

Date of Amendment 14 November 2017

Amendment

The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) has amended the above Licence in accordance with section 59 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act) as set out in this Amendment Notice. This Amendment Notice constitutes written notice of the amendment in accordance with section 59B(9) of the EP Act.

Date signed on 14 November 2017

Tim Gentle

Manager Licensing (Resource Industries)

an officer delegated under section 20 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)

Definitions and interpretation

Definitions

In this Amendment Notice, the terms in Table 1 have the meanings defined.

Table 1: Definitions

Term	Definition					
AACR	Annual Audit Compliance Report					
ACN	Australian Company Number					
AER	Annual Environment Report					
Amendment Notice	refers to this document					
Category/ Categories/ Cat.	categories of Prescribed Premises as set out in Schedule 1 of the EP Regulations					
CEO	means Chief Executive Officer.					
	CEO for the purposes of notification means:					
	Director General Department Administering the <i>Environmental Protection</i> <i>Act 1986</i> Locked Bag 33 Cloisters Square PERTH WA 6850 <u>info-der@dwer.wa.gov.au</u>					
CS Act	Contaminated Sites Act 2003 (WA)					
Delegated Officer	an officer under section 20 of the EP Act					
Department	means the department established under section 35 of the <i>Public Sector Management Act 1994</i> and designated as responsible for the administration of Part V, Division 3 of the EP Act.					
DWER	Department of Water and Environmental Regulation					
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority					
EP Act	Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)					
EP Regulations	Environmental Protection Regulations 1987 (WA)					
EPBC Act	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth)					

Licence Holder	Mt Weld Mining Pty Limited
M ³	cubic metres
Minister	the Minister responsible for the EP Act and associated regulations
MS	Ministerial Statement
mtpa	million tonnes per annum
Occupier	has the same meaning given to that term under the EP Act.
Prescribed Premises	has the same meaning given to that term under the EP Act.
Premises	refers to the premises to which this Amendment Notice applies, as specified at the front of this Amendment Notice.
Risk Event	as described in Guidance Statement: Risk Assessment
RO	Reverse Osmosis
RWP	Return Water Pond
TSF	Tailings Storage Facility
UF	Ultrafiltration

Amendment Notice

This amendment is made pursuant to section 59 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (EP Act) to amend the Licence issued under the EP Act for a prescribed premises as set out below. This notice of amendment is given under section 59B(9) of the EP Act.

This amendment relates to changes in treatment of discharges under category 5. No changes to the aspects of the original Licence relating to Category 89 have been requested by the Licence Holder.

The following guidance statements have informed the decision made on this amendment:

- Guidance Statement: Regulatory Principles (July 2015) •
- Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions (October 2015) •
- Guidance Statement: Decision Making (November 2016) •
- Guidance Statement: Risk Assessment (November 2016) •
- Guidance Statement: Environmental Siting (November 2016)

Amendment description

Mt Weld Mining Pty Ltd has requested an amendment to their Licence L8141/2007/2 to permit the discharge of tailings supernatant directly to the evaporation ponds, without being treated via a clarifier unit. The clarification step, prior to discharge, was conducted primarily to reduce the suspended solids concentration of the supernatant. Recent improvements to management of the tailings discharge and deposition to the TSF (Tailings Storage Facility) have resulted in improved clarification and settling of the suspended solids entrained in the tailings upstream of the TSF, thereby achieving the desired result without the need for clarification. Refer to the table below for a comparison of the tailings supernatant water quality to that previously assessed as acceptable for discharge to the evaporation ponds.

Table 2: Comparison of tailings supernatant water quality proposed to be discharged to evaporation ponds with water streams previously approved for discharge (Mt Weld 2017a)

Parameter	рН	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Calcium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Total Alkalinity	Silica
Unit	рН unit	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Raffinate alone W5078/2011/1	7.9	16,267	48.4	503	604	4,835	901	189
Combined Wastewater (Raffinate and Clarified TSF Decant) W5533/2014/1	9.1	2,900	268	6.7	3.5	980	847	147
Proposed RWP Supernatant	8.2	3,960	24	52	50	1,400	300	103

able Note:

Actual raffinate/RO Reject water quality between 2011-2014
 Proposed RWP Supernatant data averaged from samples between December 2015 and January 2017 (see Appendix A).

3. Source: (Lynas, 2017a).

Previous assessments and groundwater modelling investigation for associated Works

Approvals W5078/2011/1 and W5533/2014/1 have determined that seepage originating from disposal of either the treated supernatant or raffinate from the reverse osmosis plants to the evaporation ponds would take approximately 20 years to migrate to the adjacent groundwater monitoring bore LMW10 (as depicted as Figure 2 in Schedule 1 of the Licence.)

No changes have been requested to the category throughputs authorised by the existing Licence.

This application is made with the understanding that the provision to discharge directly to the evaporation ponds is allowed as a contingency only, in order to allow for flexibility in the management of the water balance onsite. Occasions where it may be necessary to dispose of supernatant from the TSF without treatment and re-use may occur as a result of severe rain events or due to maintenance or mechanical failures to the ultrafiltration or reverse osmosis units. The primary route for tailings supernatant will continue to be processed via the series of ultrafiltration units and reverse osmosis units to recover water (permeate) to re-use within the processing plant. This is as shown in the Figure below.



Figure 1: Proposed Mt Weld water balance flow sheet (where RO is reverse osmosis and UF ultrafiltration; Mt Weld 2017a)

The pipeline to transfer the supernatant from the Return Water Pond (RWP) to the evaporation ponds will be located within an earthen bund and fitted with a flowmeter (to allow the record of discharge amounts) and with isolation valves, to allow isolation of the line in the event of a failure or maintenance requirements. The proposed pipeline route is as shown in red below.



Figure 2: Pipeline route for supernatant discharge to the evaporation ponds (as shown in red; Mt Weld 2017a)

Other approvals

No other approvals are relevant to the requested amendment.

Amendment history

Table 3 provides the amendment history for L8141/2007/2.

Table 3: Licence amendments

Instrument	Issued	Amendment
L8141/2007/2	31/10/2013	Licence amendment to allow for pilot plant trial of tailings dewatering plant (screw press, dewatering system and thickener).
L8141/2007/2	19/08/2016	Licence amendment to authorise category 5 production capacity increase consistent with W5078 (Phase 2 tonnages), and increase to authorised amount of wastewater discharged to the evaporation ponds.
L8141/2007/2	07/04/2017	Licence amendment to authorise operation of TSF2 following receipt of commissioning and compliance documentation. The amendment also authorises reuse of clarified water for dust suppression. Improvement condition 4.1.1 is removed as the surface water management plan was submitted as required.

L8141/2007/2	14/11/2017	Amendment Notice 1 – authorise removal of clarifiers and discharge of TSF supernatant direct to evaporation ponds, without additional treatment.

Location and receptors

There are no adjacent sensitive land uses. The nearest population is the accommodation camp at Granny Smith Mine, approximately 10.5 km to the west of Mt Weld. The nearest residential communities are at Mt Margaret Aboriginal Community, located 33km to the west and the town of Laverton, located 35km to the north west of the Premises.

Table 4 below lists the relevant environmental receptors in the vicinity of the Prescribed Premises which may be receptors relevant to the proposed amendment.

Table 4: Environmental receptors and distance from activity boundary

Environmental receptors	Distance from Prescribed Premises
Lake Carey	11.5 km to the west

Risk assessment

Table 7 (following) describes the Risk Events associated with the amendment consistent with the *Guidance Statement: Risk Assessments*. The table identifies whether the emissions present a material risk to public health or the environment, requiring regulatory controls.

The risk rating for these risk events has been determined in accordance with the risk rating matrix set out in Table 5 below.

Likelihood	Consequence								
	Slight	Minor	Moderate	Major	Severe				
Almost certain	Medium	High	High	Extreme	Extreme				
Likely	Medium	Medium	High	High	Extreme				
Possible	Low	Medium	Medium	High	Extreme				
Unlikely	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	High				
Rare	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High				

Table 5: Risk rating matrix

The assessment of the consequence and likelihood of the Risk Event was made in accordance with the criteria in Table 6 below.

Table 6: Risk criteria table

Likelihood		Consequen	Consequence						
The following c	riteria has been	The following	criteria has been used to determine the conseq	uences of a Risk Event occurring:					
the Risk Event occurring.			Environment	Public health* and amenity (such as air and water quality, noise, and odour)					
Almost Certain	The risk event is expected to occur in most	Severe	onsite impacts: catastrophic	Loss of life Adverse health affects, high lavel or					
	circumstances		or above	Adverse nearth enects: high level of ongoing medical treatment					
			offsite impacts wider scale: mid-level or above	Specific Consequence Criteria (for public health) are significantly exceeded					
			 Mid to long-term or permanent impact to an area of high conservation value or special significance^A 	Local scale impacts: permanent loss of amenity					
			Specific Consequence Criteria (for environment) are significantly exceeded						
Likely	The risk event will probably occur in most circumstances	Major	onsite impacts: high level	Adverse health effects: mid-level or frequent medical treatment					
			offsite impacts local scale: mid-level	Specific Consequence Criteria (for					
			Short-term impact to an area of high	public health) are exceeded					
			conservation value or special significance^	Local scale impacts: high level impact to amenity					
			Specific Consequence Criteria (for environment) are exceeded						
Possible	The risk event could occur at	Moderate	onsite impacts: mid-level	Adverse health effects: low level or occasional medical treatment					
			offsite impacts local scale: low level	Specific Consequence Criteria (for					
			Orrsite impacts wider scale: minimal Specific Consequence Criteria (for	public health) are at risk of not being met					
			environment) are at risk of not being met	Local scale impacts: mid-level impact to amenity					
Unlikely	The risk event will probably not occur	Minor	onsite impacts: low level	 Specific Consequence Criteria (for public health) are likely to be met 					
	circumstances		offsite impacts local scale: minimal	Local scale impacts: low level impact					
			offsite impacts wider scale: not detectable	to amenity					
			Specific Consequence Criteria (for environment) likely to be met						
Rare	The risk event may only occur in	Slight	onsite impact: minimal	Local scale: minimal to amenity					
	exceptional circumstances		Specific Consequence Criteria (for environment) met	Specific Consequence Criteria (for public health) met					

A Determination of areas of high conservation value or special significance should be informed by the *Guidance Statement: Environmental Siting.* * In applying public health criteria, DWER may have regard to the Department of Health's *Health Risk Assessment (Scoping) Guidelines.*

Risk Event										
	Source/#	Activities	Potential emissions	Potential receptors	Potential pathway	Potential adverse impacts	Consequence rating	Likelihood rating	Risk	Reasoning
	Cat 5 Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non- metallic ore	Disposal of tailings supernatant direct to evaporation ponds	Seepage	Groundwater abstracted for pastoral station use	Groundwater	Alteration of livestock water quality due to seepage resulting in an increase in groundwater salinity.	Minor	Rare	Low	Water quality of the tailings supernatant proposed to be discharged is better than that of the combined clarified supernatant and raffinate stream (with the exception of total dissolved solids). Refer to Table 2 of this Amendment Notice 1. Previous fate and contaminant transport modelling has determined that any seepage from the evaporation ponds would take approximately 20 years to travel to groundwater bore LMW10, located 200m to the east of the evaporation ponds (AECOM 2014, quoted in Appendix B of Decision Document for L8141/2007/2). Additionally this seepage would be within the groundwater cone of depression of the mining operation and possibly recovered through the premises' groundwater abstraction, thereby resulting in no net impact. The permeability of the evaporation pond cells 1- 5 is estimated at 5.33 x 10 ⁻⁹ m/s and the cells 6-8 as less than 1 x

Table 7: Risk assessment for proposed amendments during operation

Licence: L8141/2007/2

								10 ⁻⁸ m/s (L8141/2007/2), and have been assessed as engineered containment infrastructure.
	Overflow from evaporation ponds	Native vegetation	Direct release	Release to vegetation of brackish water	Minor	Unlikely	Medium	No change to the disposal rates is proposed with this amendment. No change to risk previously assessed as part previous amendment to L8141/2007/2. (Freeboard for evaporation pond cells is sufficient to contain a 1 in 100 AEP (annual exceedance probability) rainfall event over 72 hours.
Transferring tailings supernatant from the Return Water Pond to evaporation ponds via pipeline	Tailings supernatant spill	Native vegetation	Direct release	Release to vegetation of brackish water	Minor	Unlikely	Low	The pipeline will be located within earthen bund with isolation valves installed at either end to isolate flow if required. The pipeline is located between the evaporation ponds and TSF2 and the surrounding land immediately adjacent to the pipeline (Mt Weld 2017a).

Decision

The amendment is granted. Current Condition 1.3.1 on the Licence restricts the total volume of discharges to the evaporation pond to 810 000m³ per annum. Condition 1.3.2 requires all pipelines carrying environmentally hazardous substances to be bunded or equipped with telemetry systems and pressure sensors to detect failures. Condition 1.3.3 prescribes a minimum freeboard of 300mm on all containment infrastructure. Evaporation pond delivery lines and freeboards are required to the inspected daily by Condition 1.3.4.

Table 3.2.1 of Condition 3.2.1 has been updated to include recording of volumes of tailings supernatant discharged to the TSF.

Licence Holder's comments

The Licence Holder was provided with the draft Amendment Notice on 10 November 2017. No comments received from the Licence Holder. The Licence Holder waived the remaining consultation period on 14 November 2017.

Amendment

1. Definitions of the Licence are amended by the deletion of the text shown in strikethrough below and the insertion of the red text shown in underline below:

'CEO' means the Chief Executive Officer of the Department of <u>Water</u> and Environment<u>al</u> Regulation

'CEO' for the purpose of correspondence means;

Director GeneralDepartment Administering the Environmental Protection Act 1986Locked Bag 33CLOISTERS SQUARE WA 6850Telephone:(08) 9333 7510Facsimile:(08) 9333 7550Email:info@der.wa.gov.auinfo-der@dwer.wa.gov.au

<u>'environmentally hazardous substances'</u> means any liquors or slurries (solid and liquids in solution) that are either alkaline, acidic, saline or have the potential to cause environmental harm if released to the environment.

2. Table 3.2.1 of the Licence is amended by the insertion of the red text shown in underline below:

Table 3.2.1: Process monitoring								
Monitoring point reference	Parameter ^{1, 2}	Units	Frequency	Method				
Evaporation Ponds	Volume discharged to ponds	m ³	Cumulative Monthly Total	None specified				
Combined wastewater	pН	-	Monthly	Spot sample				
(measured from standpipe)	Total dissolved solids	mg/L						

	Aluminium Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Copper Iron Manganese Mercury Molybdenum Nickel Selenium Strontium Uranium Thallium Thorium Zinc Calcium Magnesium Sodium Potassium	mg/L	Quarterly	Spot sample
	Sodium Potassium Carbonate Chloride Sulfate			
TSF1	Volume	m ³ (wet)	Cumulative Manthly Tatal	None
TSF2	aischargea	& DIVI I	iviontniy i otai	specified
RWP	Volume discharged to Evaporation Ponds	<u>m³</u>		

Note 1: In-field non-NATA accredited analysis permitted for pH and TDS. Note 2: Metals analysis for soluble component only.

Appendix 1: Key documents

	Document title	In text ref	Availability
1	Mt Weld Licence Amendment Application dated 21 July 2017	Mt Weld 2017a	DWER records (A1485573)
2	Licence L8141/2007/2 – Mt Weld Rare Earths Project	L8141/2007/2	accessed at <u>www.dwer.wa.gov.au</u>
3	Works Approval W5078/2011/1– Mt Weld Rare Earths Project, amended 10 January 2013	W5078/2011/1	DWER records (A589635)
4	Works Approval W5533/2013/1– Mt		DWER records (A124233); also
	Weld Rare Earths Project, amended 5 April 2016	W5533/2013/1	available at <u>www.dwer.wa.gov.au</u>
5	Ministerial Statement 4761	MS 476	accessed at www.dwer.wa.gov.au
6	DER, July 2015. <i>Guidance Statement:</i> <i>Regulatory principles.</i> Department of Environment Regulation, Perth.	DER 2015a	accessed at <u>www.dwer.wa.gov.au</u>
7	DER, October 2015. <i>Guidance</i> <i>Statement: Setting conditions.</i> Department of Environment Regulation, Perth.	DER 2015b	
8	DER, November 2016. <i>Guidance</i> <i>Statement: Risk Assessments.</i> Department of Environment Regulation, Perth.	DER 2016a	
9	DER, November 2016. <i>Guidance</i> <i>Statement: Decision Making.</i> Department of Environment Regulation, Perth.	DER 2016b	