Annual Audit Compliance Report Form

Environmental Protection Act 1986, Part V

Section A - Licence	e Details		
Licence number:	L4612/1989/11	Licence file number:	2012/006877
Licence holder:	BHP Nickel West		
Trading as:	Nickel West Leinster		
ACN:	004 184 598		
Registered address:	125 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6000		
Reporting period:	01/08/2017 to 31/07/2018		

Section B - Statement of Compliance with Licence Conditions

Did you comply with all of your licence conditions during the reporting period? (please tick the appropriate box)

☐Yes – please complete:

- section C;
- section D if required; and
- sign the declaration in Section F.

⊠No – please complete:

- section C;
- · section D if required;
- section E; and
- sign the declaration at Section F.

Section C - Statement of Actual Production

Provide the actual production quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Production Quantity		
5	2,558,609 tonnes		

Section D – Statement of Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity

Provide the actual Part 2 waste discharge quantity for this reporting period. Supporting documentation is to be attached.

Prescribed Premises Category	Actual Part 2 Waste Discharge Quantity	
6	782,133 tonnes	
57	<500 tyres at any one time	
64	1413.03 tonnes	
85	Average 34.09m3 per day	

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition				
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	W15	Date(s) of non- compliance:	17 April 2018	
Details of non-comp	oliance:			
On Tuesday 17 April 2018, the required minimum 300mm freeboard (stipulated by condition W15 of Licence 4612) was not maintained in the Leinster Nickel Operation TSF 3 decant pond facility. This was an administrative non-compliance.				
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmen	tal impact of the non-c	compliance?	
NOTE – please attacl compliance took place	n maps or diagrams to provide i e.	nsight into the precise lo	cation of where the non-	
The overall capacity of the decant pond was exceeded in low lying sections, however all water was contained within facility perimeter bunding, resulting in no discharge to environment. No environmental impact occurred as a consequence of this event.				
Cause (or suspecte	d cause) of non-compliance:			
The primary cause of this event was a mechanical fault causing the decant facility discharge pumps to trip at approximately 1730 on 17 April 2018 and stop functioning. The pumps were reset by 1830, however tripped and stopped functioning again shortly after. Additional efforts to re-start the pumps during the course of the nightshift were unsuccessful				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
Nickel West has implemented a number of corrective action in response to this incident including dredging the sediment from the decant pond to increase storage capacity, reviewed training on TSF design, operation and management practices, improved alarms in the DCS system and installed visual markers in the pond.				
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?				
□ No				
Yes, and	NACD verballs	Date: / /		
	OWER verbally	Date: / /		
Reported to DWER in writing Date: 19/ 04/2018				

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition				
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	W7	Date(s) of non- compliance:	13 March 2018	
Details of non-comp	oliance:			
On Tuesday 13th March 2018, quarterly monitoring of MB42 observed the standing water level to exceed the 4m groundwater level limit (Condition W7) identified during a routine review of monitoring data provided by groundwater monitoring consultants.				
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmen	tal impact of the non-co	ompliance?	
NOTE – please attact compliance took place	h maps or diagrams to provide i e.	nsight into the precise loo	cation of where the non-	
No environmental impact occurred as a consequence of this event.				
Cause (or suspecte	ed cause) of non-compliance:			
Groundwater level increased at MB42 due to a malfunction of the MB06 recovery bore resulting in reduced seepage recovery.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
A replacement pump was installed as soon as possible, in addition an additional pump was ordered to ensure there is a spare readily available. Replacement pumps have a greater draw capacity compared to the original MB06 recovery bore pump. Repeat monitoring of this bore completed on the 18/4/2018 indicated the bore has returned to below the 4m licence condition requirement.				
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?				
⊠ Yes, and				
Reported to [OWER verbally	Date: / /		
⊠ Reported to [Reported to DWER in writing Date: 20/04/2018			

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition				
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	W21	Date(s) of non- compliance:	1 st February 2018	
Details of non-com	pliance:			
On Thursday 1st February 2018, a fault in the "high level" indication sensor resulted in an overtopping event from the final irrigation tank at the Leinster Nickel Operation Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP). On inspection, the released material was found to contain hydrocarbon.				
What was the actua	al (or suspected) environmen	tal impact of the non-c	ompliance?	
NOTE – please attac compliance took plac	ch maps or diagrams to provide i	nsight into the precise lo	cation of where the non-	
All released material was contained to an adjacent concrete pad and previously disturbed area. No environmental impact occurred as a consequence of this event.				
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:				
Cause (or suspecte	ed cause) of non-compliance:			
A fault on a high le immediate area su	ed cause) of non-compliance: evel sensor in the 'aeration ta rrounding the WWTP. On in em. Further investigations in	ank' resulted in a relea spection, hydrocarbon	s were identified as being	
A fault on a high le immediate area su present in the syst water separator. Action taken to miti	evel sensor in the 'aeration to	ank' resulted in a relea spection, hydrocarbon dicated the hydrocarb	s were identified as being ons originated from an oil	
A fault on a high le immediate area su present in the syst water separator. Action taken to mitinon-compliance: Earthen bunding wathe facility. Residual Impacted soil from Access Landfill facilicenced facility. Du remaining within the	evel sensor in the 'aeration ta rrounding the WWTP. On in em. Further investigations in	ank' resulted in a release spection, hydrocarbon dicated the hydrocarbon-compliance and present associated site drainacted and stockpiled with loffsite by a waste corrbon in the WWTP syspage system was reme	s were identified as being ons originated from an oil event recurrence of the fithin the area adjacent to ge system were removed. hin the site Restricted itractor to an appropriately tem, septic material oved and disposed offsite,	
A fault on a high lead immediate area surpresent in the syst water separator. Action taken to mitinon-compliance: Earthen bunding wathe facility. Residual Impacted soil from Access Landfill facilicenced facility. Duremaining within the at an appropriately	evel sensor in the 'aeration to irrounding the WWTP. On in tem. Further investigations in gate any adverse effects of new constructed to contain the all contents of the WWTP and around the WWTP was collectly (Class 2), prior to removal to the presence of hydrocalle to the presence of hydrocalle wwTP and associated drain	ank' resulted in a release spection, hydrocarbon dicated the hydrocarbon on-compliance and presented and stockpiled with a special to a waste corrbon in the WWTP syspange system was remedir the high-level sensor	s were identified as being ons originated from an oil event recurrence of the fithin the area adjacent to ge system were removed. hin the site Restricted itractor to an appropriately tem, septic material oved and disposed offsite,	
A fault on a high lead immediate area surpresent in the syst water separator. Action taken to mitinon-compliance: Earthen bunding wathe facility. Residual Impacted soil from Access Landfill facilicenced facility. Duremaining within the at an appropriately	evel sensor in the 'aeration to irrounding the WWTP. On in tem. Further investigations in as constructed to contain the al contents of the WWTP and around the WWTP was collectly (Class 2), prior to removate to the presence of hydrocate WWTP and associated draiticenced facility. Work to repare	ank' resulted in a release spection, hydrocarbon dicated the hydrocarbon on-compliance and presented and stockpiled with a special to a waste corrbon in the WWTP syspange system was remedir the high-level sensor	s were identified as being ons originated from an oil event recurrence of the fithin the area adjacent to ge system were removed. hin the site Restricted itractor to an appropriately tem, septic material oved and disposed offsite,	
A fault on a high lead immediate area surpresent in the syst water separator. Action taken to mitinon-compliance: Earthen bunding wathe facility. Residual Impacted soil from Access Landfill facilicenced facility. Duremaining within the at an appropriately Was this non-comp	evel sensor in the 'aeration to irrounding the WWTP. On in tem. Further investigations in as constructed to contain the al contents of the WWTP and around the WWTP was collectly (Class 2), prior to removate to the presence of hydrocate WWTP and associated draiticenced facility. Work to repare	ank' resulted in a release spection, hydrocarbon dicated the hydrocarbon on-compliance and presented and stockpiled with a special to a waste corrbon in the WWTP syspange system was remedir the high-level sensor	s were identified as being ons originated from an oil event recurrence of the fithin the area adjacent to ge system were removed. hin the site Restricted itractor to an appropriately tem, septic material oved and disposed offsite,	

Section E – Details of Non-Compliance with Licence Condition				
Please use a separate page for each condition with which the licence holder was non-compliant at a time during the reporting period.				
Condition no:	W6(a)	Date(s) of non- compliance:	30 October 2018	
Details of non-com	pliance:			
During preparation and data review for the Nickel West Leinster Annual Environment Report – DWER (1st August 2017 - 31st July 2018), Nickel West became aware of the following missing groundwater data: MB53 Standing Water Level (06 December 2017) – Unable to be sampled EPMB05B Evaporation Pond Standing Water Level (20 September 2017) – Unable to Locate MB53 Annual Ni and TDS (07 December 2017) – Unable to be sampled MB56 Annual Ni and TDS (06 December 2017) – Unable to be sampled EPMB02A Annual Ni and TDS (08 December 2017) – Unable to be sampled EPMB12 Annual Ni and TDS (08 December 2017) – Unable to be sampled				
What was the actual (or suspected) environmental impact of the non-compliance? NOTE – please attach maps or diagrams to provide insight into the precise location of where the non-compliance took place.				
No environmental impact has occurred as a consequence of missing groundwater data This non-compliance is administrative only.				
Cause (or suspected cause) of non-compliance:				
Reason for bores unable to be sampled include bore inaccessible or unsafe to access, bore dry, bore blocked.				
Action taken to mitigate any adverse effects of non-compliance and prevent recurrence of the non-compliance:				
Nickel West will implement a bore maintenance program to address issues with collecting groundwater samples.				
Was this non-compliance previously reported to DWER?				
☑ Yes, and				
Reported to I	OWER verbally	Date: / /		
□ Reported to I	OWER in writing	Date: 30/10/2018 (N	ILN AER 2018)	

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

Section F – Declaration

is not false or mis	leading in a material particula	ar ¹ . I/We consent to	Report is true and correct and the Annual Audit Compliance nmental Regulation's (DWER)
Signature ² :		Signature:	
Name: (printed)	Chris Stone	Name: (printed)	
Position:	Ğeneral Manager Nickel West Northern Operations	Position:	
Date:	29/10/18.	Date:	
Seal (if signing under seal):			

 $^{^{1}}$ It is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act* $\overline{1986}$ for a person to give information on this form that to their knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular.

² AACRs can only be signed by the licence holder or an authorised person with the legal authority to sign on behalf of the licence holder.