

Application for Works Approval Amendment

Part V Division 3 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986

Works Approval Number	W6504/2021/1
Works Approval Holder	Norton Gold Fields Pty Limited
ACN	112 287 797
File Number	DER2021/000023~1
Premises	Binduli North Minesite
	Legal description -
	Mining tenements M26/115, M26/243, M26/387, M26/420, M26/430, M26/445, M26/446, M26/447, M26/468, M26/474, M26/629, M26/833
	As defined by the Premise maps attached to the revised works approval
Date of Report	11 February 2022
Decision	Revised works approval granted

A/Manager, Resource Industries REGULATORY SERVICES

an officer delegated under section 20 of the Environmental Protection Act 1986 (WA)

Table of Contents

1.	Decision summary1					
2.	Scope	e of as	sessment	1		
	2.1	Regula	atory framework	1		
	2.2	Ameno	Iment summary	1		
	2.3	Delega	ated Officer-initiated Amendment	2		
3.	Risk a	assess	ment	2		
	3.1	Source	e-pathways and receptors	2		
		3.1.1	Emissions and controls	2		
		3.1.2	Receptors	3		
	3.2	Risk ra	itings	5		
	3.3	Detaile	ed risk assessment for operation of SWCP and sediment pond	7		
		3.3.1	General characterisation of emission and potential adverse impacts	7		
		3.3.2	Emission	7		
		3.3.3	Pathway	7		
		3.3.4	Receptor	8		
		3.3.5	Proposed controls	8		
		3.3.6	Risk Assessment – Overtopping of SWCP and sediment pond	8		
4.	Cons	ultatio	n	8		
5.	Concl	usion		9		
	5.1	Summ	ary of amendments	9		
Refe	rences	S		.10		
Арр	endix ′	1: App	lication validation summary	.11		
		•	design capacity changes			

Table 3: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity	.4
Table 4. Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises during time-limited operation	.6
Table 5: Pond infrastructure design and catchment siting	.7
Table 6: Consultation	.9
Table 7: Summary of works approval amendments	.9

Figure 1: Distance to native vegetation receptors......4

1. Decision summary

Works Approval W6504/2021/1 is held by Norton Gold Fields Pty Limited (Works Approval Holder) for the Binduli North Minesite (the Premises), located on mining tenements M26/115, M26/243, M26/387, M26/420, M26/430, M26/445, M26/446, M26/447, M26/468, M26/474, M26/629 and M26/833.

This Amendment Report documents the assessment of potential risks to the environment and public health from proposed changes to the emissions and discharges during the construction and operation of the Premises. As a result of this assessment, Revised Works Approval W6504/2021/1 has been granted.

2. Scope of assessment

2.1 Regulatory framework

In completing the assessment documented in this Amendment Report, the department has considered and given due regard to its Regulatory Framework and relevant policy documents which are available at https://dwer.wa.gov.au/regulatory-documents.

2.2 Amendment summary

On 20 September 2021, the Works Approval Holder submitted an application to the department to amend works approval W6504/2021/1 under section 59 and 59B of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* (EP Act). The following amendments are being sought:

- Changing the approved design for two surface water catchment ponds (SWCP) with a storage capacity of 180,000 m³ each, to a sediment pond and a SWCP with a reduced storage capacity of 7,733 m³ and 49,640 m³, respectively;
- Construction and operation of additional dewatering pipeline infrastructure connecting Fort William Pit and Fort Scott Pit; and
- Addition of Fort Scott Pit as an authorised discharge location for mine dewater.

This amendment is limited only to infrastructural changes to Category 5 and 6 activities from the existing works approval, with no changes to the approved design/throughput capacities. No changes to the aspects of the existing works approval relating to Category 7, 12, 52 and 64 have been requested by the Works Approval Holder.

Table 1 below outlines the proposed changes to the existing works approval.

Category	Current design capacity	Proposed design capacity	Description of proposed amendment
5 – Processing or beneficiation of metallic or non-metallic ore	5,000,000 tonnes per annual period	No change in capacity.	 Decrease in storage capacity design for two surface water catchment ponds.
6 – Mine dewatering	1,500,000 tonnes per annual period	No change in capacity.	 Additional authorised discharge location (Fort Scott Pit) and associated dewatering pipeline infrastructure.

Table 1: Proposed design capacity changes

2.3 Delegated Officer-initiated Amendment

In conjunction with the amendment application submitted by the Works Approval Holder, the department also initiated an administrative amendment to works approval W6504/2021/1 to incorporate the following changes:

• Changes to the wording of condition 15, to provide more clarity on the timing requirements of noise monitoring required once construction of the ore processing facility is complete.

Both the Works Approval Holder-initiated and department-initiated amendments are assessed and detailed in this Amendment Report.

3. Risk assessment

The department assesses the risks of emissions from prescribed premises and identifies the potential source, pathway and impact to receptors in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk assessments* (DWER 2020b).

To establish a Risk Event there must be an emission, a receptor which may be exposed to that emission through an identified actual or likely pathway, and a potential adverse effect to the receptor from exposure to that emission.

3.1 Source-pathways and receptors

3.1.1 Emissions and controls

The key emissions and associated actual or likely pathway during premises construction and time-limited operation, which have been considered in this Amendment Report, are detailed in Table 2 below. Table 2 also details the proposed control measures the Works Approval Holder has proposed to assist in controlling these emissions, where necessary.

Table 2: Works	Approval Holder	controls
----------------	-----------------	----------

Emission	Sources	Potential pathways	Proposed controls	
Sediment laden and hydrocarbon- impacted stormwater	Operation of SWCP and sediment pond.	Overtopping, resulting in direct discharge to land.	 Existing controls for SWCP assessed under works approval W6504/2021/1 include: Ponds must be lined with compacted clay. Additional controls proposed include: Extraction of excess water for dust suppression or process water; Pump and discharge of excess water to an authorised discharge pit; Raising northern road by 300 mm to act as additional barrier during uncontrolled overflow event; Maintaining a minimum design freeboard of 500 mm; and Hydrocarbon testing if rainfall is greater than 30 mm within a 24 hour period or if standing water level breaches freeboard limit. 	
Hypersaline mine dewater	Deposition of mine dewater to Fort Scott Pit.	Overtopping, resulting in direct discharge to land. Pipeline leak or rupture, resulting in direct discharge to land.	 Proposed controls for Fort Scott Pit were the same as with the other authorised discharge locations assessed under works approval W6504/2021/1: Monitoring at discharge point for volumetric flow rate, standing water level, pH and total dissolved solids. Existing controls for dewatering pipelines assessed under works approval W6504/2021/1 include: Telemetry shutoff and leak detection; Catch pits installed at low points; Pipelines bunded or buried; and Twice-daily inspections when operational. No additional controls were proposed as part of the amendment. 	

3.1.2 Receptors

In accordance with the *Guideline: Risk assessments* (DWER 2020b), the Delegated Officer has excluded employees, visitors and contractors of the Works Approval Holder from its assessment. Protection of these parties often involves different exposure risks and prevention strategies, and is provided for under other state legislation.

Table 3 below provides a summary of potential human and environmental receptors that may be impacted as a result of activities upon or emission and discharges from the prescribed premises (*Guideline: Environmental siting* (DWER 2020a)).

Table 3: Sensitive human and environmental receptors and distance from prescribed activity

Human receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
N/A	N/A
Environmental receptors	Distance from prescribed activity
Native vegetation	Directly abutting the premises and surrounds. The dominant vegetation group consists of mixed Eucalypt woodlands, comprising predominantly of <i>Eucalyptus griffithsii, E. horistes</i> and <i>E. lesouefii</i> over sparse shrubland.
Threatened fauna	The endangered Carnabys Cockatoo <i>(Calyptorhynchus latirostris)</i> vulnerable Melleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>) has a medium chance of appearing in woodlands within and surround the premises.



Figure 1: Distance to native vegetation receptors

3.2 Risk ratings

Risk ratings have been assessed in accordance with the *Guideline: Risk Assessments* (DWER 2020b) for those emission sources which are proposed to change and takes into account potential source-pathway and receptor linkages as identified in Section 3.1. Where linkages are incomplete they have not been considered further in the risk assessment.

Where the Works Approval Holder has proposed mitigation measures/controls (as detailed in Section 3.1), these have been considered when determining the final risk rating. Where the Delegated Officer considers the Works Approval Holder's proposed controls to be critical to maintaining an acceptable level of risk, these will be incorporated into the works approval as regulatory controls.

Additional regulatory controls may be imposed where the Works Approval Holder's controls are not deemed sufficient. Where this is the case the need for additional controls will be documented and justified in Table 4.

The Revised Works Approval W6504/2021/1 that accompanies this Amendment Report authorises construction and time-limited operations. The conditions in the Revised Works Approval have been determined in accordance with *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions* (DER 2015).

A licence is required following the time-limited operational phase authorised under the works approval to authorise emissions associated with the operation of the Premises i.e. screening of metallic ore, discharge of mine dewater to pits, heap leaching etc. A risk assessment for the operational phase has been included in this Amendment Report, however licence conditions will not be finalised until the department assesses the licence application.

Risk Event				Risk rating ¹			Justification for	
Source/Activities	Potential emission	Potential pathways and impact	Receptors	Works Approval Holder's controls	C = consequence L = likelihood	Works Approval Holder's controls sufficient?	Conditions ² of works approval	additional regulatory controls
Operation (including	Operation (including time-limited-operations operations)							
Operation of SWCP and sediment pond	Sediment laden and/or hydrocarbon-impacted stormwater - Overtopping	Direct Localised discharge to native land vegetation	Localized	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Minor L = Possible Medium Risk Refer to detailed risk assessment in Section 3.3 below.	Yes	Condition 1, Table 1 Condition 14, Table 6	N/A
Deposition of mine dewater to Fort Scott Pit	Hypersaline mine dewater - Overtopping		harge to native	Refer to Section 3.1	C = Moderate L = Rare Medium Risk	Yes	Condition 13, Table 5 Condition 14, Table 6	N/A
	Hypersaline mine dewater – Pipeline leak or rupture			Refer to Section 3.1	C = Minor L = Unlikely Medium Risk	Yes	Condition 1, Table 1 Condition 8, Table 3 Condition 12, Table 4	N/A

Table 4. Risk assessment of potential emissions and discharges from the Premises during time-limited operation

Note 1: Consequence ratings, likelihood ratings and risk descriptions are detailed in the Guideline: Risk assessments (DWER 2020b).

Note 2: Proposed Works Approval Holder's controls are depicted by standard text. Bold and underline text depicts additional regulatory controls imposed by department.

3.3 Detailed risk assessment for operation of SWCP and sediment pond

3.3.1 General characterisation of emission and potential adverse impacts

Works approval W6504/2021/1 authorised the construction of two surface water catchment ponds (SWCP) at the northern end of the heap leach area, abutting the northwestern boundary of the premises. The purpose of the SWCPs were to capture stormwater runoff within the mining and processing area, which may contain sediment or hydrocarbons, acting as containment ponds for rainfall events (Table 5).

The approved storage capacity for each SWCP was 180,000 m³, resulting in a total of 360,000 m³. However, the revised site plans indicated that the two SWCPs were replaced with a SWCP and sediment pond, with a storage capacity of 49,640 m³ and 7,733 m³, respectively. Cumulatively, this represented an 84 % reduction in total storage capacity. The location for both ponds remain unchanged.

Infrastructure	Volume (m ³)	Effective catchment area (m ²)	Catchment land use and associated contaminants of concern
Surface Water Catchment Pond (SWCP)	49,640	1,459,200	Undisturbed area – Sediment Topsoil – Sediment Mining – Sediment Workshop/Plant Area - Hydrocarbon
Sediment Pond	7,733	1,804,300 *	Undisturbed area – Sediment

Table 5: Pond infrastructure design and catchment siting

* Catchment size is estimated to decrease over the life of project as the size of the heap leach increases. The heap leach falls under a different catchment and has appropriate diversion channels.

Due to the proposed reduction in storage capacity, the primary risk of emission at the SWCP and sediment pond is overtopping, resulting in a discharge of land. As detailed in Table 5, the potential emissions are sediment-laden and hydrocarbon-impacted stormwater.

3.3.2 Emission

The Works Approval Holder noted that the impact of emissions would be minor as both ponds act as a sediment trap, allowing sediment load in stormwater to reduce.

The presence of hydrocarbon-impacted stormwater would likely be derived from the fuel farm, power plant, workshop area and washdown bay. These areas will be constructed and managed appropriately to reduce the risk of hydrocarbon release to the environment. The fuel storage tanks are double-lined and self-bunded, with refueling conducted within concrete aprons. Potential hydrocarbon runoff from the washdown bay will be directed into a concrete-lined silt trap and oily water separator. These controls have been conditioned in the current works approval and will reduce the risk of contaminated water entering the drainage channel, and subsequently, the SWCP.

3.3.3 Pathway

Additionally, the Works Approval Holder has attempted to quantify the risk of overtopping occurring at SWCP. The amended design exceeds the capacity requirements of a 1-in-2 year average recurrence interval (ARI), with sufficient capacity to contain a rainfall event of 71.61

mm (when factoring in the loss of water from ground infiltration over the catchment area). In the last 10-year period, a rainfall event exceeding 71.61 mm was estimated to occur on one day for every two years, where containment of SWCP is likely to be insufficient.

3.3.4 Receptor

The Works Approval Holder acknowledges that uncontrolled overflow due to overtopping of SWCP is possible, during extreme weather events.

The area adjacent to the SWCP and sediment pond consists of mixed Eucalypt woodlands, comprising predominantly of *E. griffithsii*, *E. horistes* and *E. lesouefii* over sparse shrubland. There is also medium likelihood that the endangered Carnabys Cockatoo (*C. latirostris*) vulnerable Melleefowl (*L. ocellata*) occur in woodlands within and surround the premises. Disturbance was observed in the area, due to historical exploration, pastoral and recreational (e.g. 4WD) activities.

The closest aquatic receptor is the salt lake system located approximately 2.5 km northwest of the SWCP. It is unlikely to be impacted by overtopping of the SWCP, as natural topography slopes to the north.

3.3.5 **Proposed controls**

To address the likelihood of overtopping during a heavy rainfall event, the Works Approval Holder has proposed the following controls:

- Extracting excess water from SWCP for dust suppression or processing purposes;
- Discharge of excess water from SWCP to unused pits within the premises;
- Raising the northern road by 300 mm above the natural ground level to act as an additional barrier during uncontrolled overflow events;
- Maintaining a minimum design freeboard of 0.5 m; and
- Undertaking water sampling for hydrocarbons if greater than 30 mm of rainfall has been recorded over a 24-hour period OR if water level breaches the freeboard limit.

3.3.6 Risk Assessment – Overtopping of SWCP and sediment pond

The Delegated Officer considers that despite the reduction in storage capacity, the amended design of the SWCP and sediment pond in addition to the Works Approval Holder's management controls and commitments, is adequate and does not pose an unacceptable risk to human health, environment and visual amenity.

The consequence rating is classed as **minor** due to the potentially low sediment load and hydrocarbon concentrations entering SWCP and the lack of sensitive environmental receptors in the area.

The likelihood rating is classified as **possible** as the capacity of the SWCP is insufficient during heavy rainfall events, estimated to occur once every two years.

These result in a **moderate** risk rating.

Conditions in the works approval have been updated as part of this amendment, to incorporate the controls proposed by the Works Approval Holder. The Works Approval Holder may request that this risk be reassessed in the future. Water quality monitoring results and historical rainfall data would be required to support such a request.

4. Consultation

Table 6 provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the department.

Table 6: Consultation

Consultation method	Comments received	Department response		
Works Approval Holder was provided with draft amendment on 10 February 2022	No changes. Works Approval Holder provided updated Figure 1 and Figure 2 for amended works approval.	Figure 1 and 2 of the amended works approval updated.		

5. Conclusion

Based on the assessment in this Amendment Report, the Delegated Officer has determined that a Revised Works Approval will be granted, subject to conditions commensurate with the determined controls and necessary for administration and reporting requirements.

5.1 Summary of amendments

Table 7 provides a summary of the proposed amendments and will act as record of implemented changes. All proposed changes have been incorporated into the Revised Works Approval as part of the amendment process.

Condition no.	Proposed amendments
Condition 1	In Item 7 of Table 1, changes to the SWCP and sediment pond storage capacity.
Condition 13	In Table 5, added Fort Scott Pit as a Discharge Point.
Condition 14	In Table 6, added Fort Scott Pit and Surface Water Catchment Pond as additional Discharge Points.
Condition 15	Changes to specify that the condition only applies upon commencement of time-limited operation for the ore crushing/screening plant as specified in Table 1.

Table 7: Summary of works approval amendments

References

- 1. Department of Environment Regulation (DER) 2015, *Guidance Statement: Setting Conditions*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 2. Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) 2020a, *Guideline: Environmental Siting*, Perth, Western Australia.
- 3. DWER 2020b, Guideline: Risk Assessments, Perth, Western Australia.

Appendix 1: Application validation summary

SECTION 1: APPLICATION SUMM	IARY (a	is updated from vali	dation checklist)			
Application type						
Works approval						
		Relevant works approval number:		None		
		Has the works appr with?	oval been complied	Yes □	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	
Licence		Has time limited op works approval den acceptable operation	nonstrated	Yes 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆	
		Environmental Com Critical Containmen Report submitted?		Yes □	No 🗆	
		Date Report receive	ed:			
Renewal		Current licence number:				
Amendment to works approval	\boxtimes	Current works approval number:	W6504/2021/1			
		Current licence number:				
Amendment to licence		Relevant works approval number:		N/A		
Registration		Current works approval number:		None		
Date application received		20 September 2021				
Applicant and Premises details						
Applicant name/s (full legal name/s)		Norton Gold Fields	Pty Limited			
Premises name		Binduli Mine				
Premises location		M 26/446, M 26/447	7, M 26/629, M 26/883			
Local Government Authority		City of Kalgoorlie/Boulder				
Application documents						
HPCM file reference number:		DER2021/000023~1				
Key application documents (addition application form):	nal to	Supporting document – Attachment 8 Attachments 1a, 1b, 2b, 2c and 9				
Scope of application/assessment		·				
		Works approval ar	nendment			
	Construction and operation of:					
Summary of proposed activities or changes to existing operations.		Sediment control pond (7,733 m ³) and surface water catchment pond (24,000 m ³).				
		Being constructed (180,000 m ³ each)	Being constructed instead of 2x surface water catchment ponds			

Category number/s (activities that cause the premises to become prescribed premises)

Table 1: Prescribed premises categories

Prescribed premises category and description		essed production or gn capacity	Proposed changes to the production or design capacity (amendments only)
Category 5: Processing or 5,0 beneficiation of metallic or non- metallic ore		0,000 tonnes per annual od	No change.
Category 6: Mine dewatering	1,50 perio	0,000 tonnes per annua od	No change.
Category 7: Vat or in situ leaching of material	5,00 perio	0,000 tonnes per annua od	No change.
Category 12: Screening, etc. of material	925,	000 tonnes per annual perio	d No change.
Category 52: Electric power generation	13 MW capacity		No change.
Category 64: Class II or III putrescible landfill site	350 tonnes per annual period		No change.
egislative context and other approv	vals		
Has the applicant referred, or do they intend to refer, their proposal to the El under Part IV of the EP Act as a significant proposal?	PA	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	Referral decision No: N/A Managed under Part V □ Assessed under Part IV □
Does the applicant hold any existing Part IV Ministerial Statements relevant to the application?		Yes 🗆 No 🖂	Ministerial statement No: N/A EPA Report No: N/A
Has the proposal been referred and/or assessed under the EPBC Act?		Yes 🗆 No 🖂	Reference No: N/A
Has the applicant demonstrated occupancy (proof of occupier status)?		Yes 🛛 No 🗆	Certificate of title □ General lease □ Expiry: Mining lease / tenement ⊠ Expiry: Other evidence □ Expiry:
Has the applicant obtained all relevant planning approvals?		Yes □ No ⊠ N/A ⊠	Approval: N/A Expiry date: N/A If N/A explain why?
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing EP Act clearing permit in relation to this proposal?		Yes □ No ⊠	CPS No: N/A No clearing is proposed.

Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing CAWS Act clearing licence in relation to this proposal?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	Application reference No: N/A Licence/permit No: N/A No clearing is proposed.
Has the applicant applied for, or have an existing RIWI Act licence or permit in relation to this proposal?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	Application reference No: N/A Licence/permit No: N/A Licence / permit not required.
Does the proposal involve a discharge of waste into a designated area (as defined in section 57 of the EP Act)?	Yes □ No ⊠	Name: N/A Type: N/A Has Regulatory Services (Water) been consulted? Yes □ No □ N/A ⊠ Regional office: N/A
Is the Premises situated in a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA)?	Yes □ No ⊠	Name: N/A Priority: P1 / P2 / P3 / N/A Are the proposed activities/ landuse compatible with the PDWSA (refer to <u>WQPN 25</u>)? Yes I No I N/A I
Is the Premises subject to any other Acts or subsidiary regulations (e.g. Dangerous Goods Safety Act 2004, Environmental Protection (Controlled Waste) Regulations 2004, State Agreement Act xxxx)	Yes □ No ⊠	N/A
Is the Premises within an Environmental Protection Policy (EPP) Area?	Yes □ No ⊠	N/A
Is the Premises subject to any EPP requirements?	Yes □ No ⊠	N/A
Is the Premises a known or suspected contaminated site under the <i>Contaminated Sites Act 2003</i> ?	Yes □ No ⊠	Classification: N/A Date of classification: N/A